	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3	EASTERN DIVISION
4	
	~~~~~~~~~~~
5	
6	IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION MDL No. 2804
	OPIATE LITIGATION
7	Case No. 17-md-2804
8	Judge Dan Aaron
	This Document Relates To: Polster
9	
10	The County of Lake, Ohio v.
	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.
11	Case No. 18-op-45032
12	
	The County of Trumbull, Ohio v.
13	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.,
	Case No. 18-op-45079
14	
15	Track 3 Cases
16	
	~~~~~~~~~~~~
17	
18	Remote videotaped deposition of
	GEORGE P. PAVLICH
19	
20	
21	December 14, 2020
2.2	9:01 a.m.
22	
2324	Ponco I Pollogrino PPP CIP
24 25	Renee L. Pellegrino, RPR, CLR
۵۵	(Appearing Remotely)

```
Page 2
     REMOTE APPEARANCES:
1
     On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
        Spangenberg Shibley & Liber
3
        PETER H. WEINBERGER, ESQ.
        1001 Lakeside Avenue E
4
        Suite 1700
        Cleveland, Ohio
                          44114
5
        (216) 600-0114
        pweinberger@spanglaw.com
          - and -
6
        Napoli Shkolnik
7
        HUNTER SHKOLNIK, ESQ.
        270 Munoz Rivera Avenue
        Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918
8
        (212) 397-1000
9
        hunter@nsprlaw.com
           - and -
10
        The Lanier Law Firm
        MILDRED CONROY, ESQ.
11
        6810 Cypress Creek Parkway
        New York, New York 10022
1 2
        (800) 723-3216
        mildred.conroy@lanierlawfirm.com
13
            - and -
        Simmons Hanly Conry
14
        LAURA S. FITZPATRICK, ESQ.
        112 Madison Avenue, 7th Floor
15
        New York, New York 10016-7416
        (212) 257-8482
16
        lfitzpatrick@simmonsfirm.com
     On behalf of the Ohio Board of Pharmacy and the
17
     Witness:
18
        Ohio Attorney General's Office
        HENRY APPEL, ESQ.
19
        30 East Broad Street
        16th Floor
        Columbus, Ohio
20
                        43215
        (614) 466-8600
        henry.appel@ohioattorneygeneral.com
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 3
1
     REMOTE APPEARANCES, CONT'D:
     On behalf of Walmart:
        Jones Day
3
        JASON Z. ZHOU, ESQ.
        77 West Wacker
        Suite 3500
 4
        Chicago, Illinois 60601-1692
        (312) 269-4097
 5
        jzhou@jonesday.com
6
     On behalf of Giant Eagle:
7
        Marcus & Shapira LLP
        ROBERT BARNES, ESQ.
8
        One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor
        Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219
9
        (412) 338-3344
        rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com
10
     On behalf of CVS Indiana, LLC and CVS Rx Services,
11
     LLC:
        Zuckerman Spaeder LLP
12
        DANIEL MOYLAN, ESQ.
        100 East Pratt Street
13
        Suite 1000
        Baltimore, Maryland 21202-1031
14
        (410) 949-1159
        dmoylan@zuckerman.com
15
           - and -
        Zuckerman Spaeder LLP
        STEVEN HERMAN, ESQ.
16
        1800 M Street NW
        Suite 1000
17
        Washington, D.C. 20036-5807
18
        (202) 778-1883
        sherman@zuckerman.com
19
     On behalf of Walgreens:
20
        Bartlit Beck
        KATHERINE SWIFT, ESQ.
        54 West Hubbard Street
21
        Chicago, Illinois 60654
22
        (312) 494-4410
        kate.swift@bartlitbeck.com
23
24
25
```

```
Page 4
    REMOTE APPEARANCES, CONT'D
1
2.
    On behalf of Rite-Aid:
        Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
3
        JAMES NORTEY, ESQ.
        1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 4000
        Houston, Texas 77002-5006
 4
        (713) 890-5472
5
        james.nortey@morganlewis.com
    ALSO PRESENT:
6
 7
        Clint Thomas, Technical Support
        Robert Rudis, Videographer
8
        Nicole Dehner, Esq., Ohio Board of Pharmacy
9
10
11
1 2
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 5
1	TRANSCRIPT INDEX
2	
3	APPEARANCES2
4	INDEX OF EXHIBITS6
5	INDEX OF OBJECTIONS7
6	
7	EXAMINATION OF GEORGE P. PAVLICH:
8	BY MR. BARNES11
9	BY MS. SWIFT273
10	BY MR. MOYLAN324
11	BY MR. NORTEY337
12	BY MR. ZHOU359
13	
14	AFTERNOON SESSION103
15	
16	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
17	
18	EXHIBIT CUSTODY - RETAINED BY COURT REPORTER
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			Page 6
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2			
3	Number	Description	Marked
4			
5	Exhibit 1	Notice of Videotape Deposit	ion 13
		of George Pavlich	
6			
	Exhibit 56	Walgreens Pharmacy Inspection	on 280
7		Reports Beginning Bates Star	qn
		BOP_MDL1801987	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		Page 7	
1		INDEX OF OBJECTIONS	
2		INDER OF ODOLCTIONS	
3	Objection		
	Objection		
4	Objection		
	Objection		
5	Objection		
	Objection		
6	Objection		
	Objection	112	
7	Objection	213	
	Objection		
8	Objection		
	Objection		
9	Objection		
	Objection		
10	Objection		
	Objection		
11	Objection		
1.0	Objection		
12	Objection		
13	Objection Objection		
13	Objection		
14	Objection	240	
	Objection		
15	Objection	247	
	Objection		
16	Objection		
	Objection		
17	Objection	253	
	Objection		
18	Objection		
	Objection		
19	Objection		
	Objection		
20	Objection		
	Objection		
21	Objection		
	Objection		
22	Objection		
	Objection		
23	Objection	318	
24			
25			

		Page 8	
1		INDEX OF OBJECTIONS, CONT'D	
2			
3	Objection	33	31
4	Objection		1
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 9

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now going on the record at 9:01 a.m. December 14, 2020. Please note that the microphones are sensitive and may pick up whispering and private conversations. Please mute your microphone whenever possible. Audio and video recording will continue to take place unless all parties agree to go off the record. This is media unit one of the video recorded deposition of George Pavlich taken by counsel for Defendant in the matter of In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation, filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division, MDL Number 2804, Case Number 17-md-2804. This deposition is being held remotely. My name is Robert Rudis from the firm Veritext Legal Solutions and I am the videographer. Our court reporter today is Renee Pellegrino, also from Veritext. I am not related to any party in this action nor am I financially interested in the outcome.

Counsel and everyone attending remotely will please now state their appearances and affiliations for the record.

Page 10 If there are any objections to proceeding, 1 2 please state them at the time of your 3 appearance, beginning with the noticing 4 attorney. 5 MR. BARNES: Good morning. Robert Barnes for Giant Eagle. 6 7 MR. NORTEY: Good morning. This is James Nortey for Rite-Aid. 8 9 MR. MOYLAN: Daniel Moylan with 10 Steve Herman, Zuckerman Spaeder, for CVS. 11 MS. SWIFT: Kate Swift for 12 Walgreens. 13 MR. ZHOU: Good morning. Jason 14 Zhou, Jones Day, for Walmart. 15 MR. WEINBERGER: Peter Weinberger 16 from the Spangenberg firm for the Plaintiffs. 17 MS. CONROY: Mildred Conroy for the Plaintiffs. 18 19 THE WITNESS: George Pavlich for 20 myself. 21 MR. APPEL: Henry Appel. I'm with 22 the Ohio Attorney General's Office. I'm 23 representing Mr. Pavlich as a witness. I'm 24 also representing the interests of the State of Ohio Board of Pharmacy. And I also have Nicole 25

Page 11 Dehner on the line. She is in-house counsel 1 with the Board of Pharmacy. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I believe that's 3 4 it. Will our court reporter please swear in 5 the witness? 6 THE COURT REPORTER: Due to the 7 need for this deposition to take place remotely, will the parties stipulate that the 8 9 court reporter may swear in the witness over 10 virtual videoconference and that the witness 11 has confirmed that he is, in fact, George 12 Pavlich? 13 MR. WEINBERGER: Yes. 14 MR. BARNES: Yes for Defendants, 15 unless one of my colleagues has an objection. 16 GEORGE P. PAVLICH, of lawful age, called 17 for examination, as provided by the Federal Rules 18 of Civil Procedure, being by me first duly sworn, 19 as hereinafter certified, deposed and said as 20 follows: 21 EXAMINATION OF GEORGE P. PAVLICH 2.2 BY MR. BARNES: 23 Good morning, Mr. Pavlich. Q. 24 Good morning. Α. 2.5 Q. My name is Robert Barnes.

Page 12 represent Giant Eagle. Giant Eagle has been 1 2 sued, along with four other chain pharmacies, CVS, Walgreens, Rite-Aid, and Walmart. I may 3 sometimes refer to the group of us, these five 4 5 companies, as the pharmacy Defendants. 6 okay with you if I use that term? I mean all 7 five of Giant Eagle, CVS, Walgreens, Rite-Aid and Walmart. Can we proceed with that 8 9 understanding? 10 Α. We can. 11 Thank you. 0. 12 Could you please state your full 13 name, sir, and your current address, city only, 14 please? 15 Α. George Paul Pavlich; 3146 16 Autumnwood Trail, Poland, Ohio. 17 Mr. Pavlich, do you understand that Q. 18 you are appearing today pursuant to a notice of 19 deposition and a subpoena that was served and 20 accepted by the State Board of Pharmacy on your 21 behalf? 2.2 Α. I'm aware. 23 And have you received a binder of 0. 24 exhibits that were shipped directly to your 2.5 home?

Page 13 1 Two boxes. Α. 2. Ο. Two boxes, okay. Have you had an opportunity to look over those exhibits before 3 your deposition today? 4 5 Yes. I opened the two boxes. 6 There was one big binder and A through L, I 7 believe, sealed envelopes. I have them here. Okay. If you could put the binder 8 0. 9 in front of you. We'll be going through some of 10 those exhibits. 11 Α. Here. 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 1, 14 Notice of Videotape Deposition of 15 George Pavlich, was marked for 16 purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Okay. If you flip to Exhibit 1, 19 please. On the third page there's a notice of 20 videotape deposition of George Pavlich. Do you 21 see that? It's been marked Pavlich Exhibit 1. 2.2 Α. I got it. 23 And are you testifying today 0. 24 pursuant to that notice and the subpoena that 2.5 was served on the Board of Pharmacy?

Page 14 1 Α. I am. 2. Ο. You'll see, Mr. Pavlich, that most 3 of these exhibits have been already marked. Most of them are marked Edwards with an exhibit 4 5 number, and that's because they were used in the 6 Friday deposition of Trey Edwards, and rather 7 than remark them, I just decided to use the same exhibits for your deposition. So when I refer 8 9 to an exhibit, if I say Edwards 2, it's because 10 it was used in Trey Edwards' recent deposition. 11 Okay? 12 Understood. Α. 13 Ο. Now, Mr. Pavlich, have you ever been 14 deposed before? 15 Α. Yes. 16 How many times? 0. 17 One time I remember specifically. Α. 18 And when was that approximately? Q. 19 It was over the Overholt Pharmacy. Α. 20 So that was quite a while ago? Q. 21 Α. Well, I retired March 1st, 2012. 22 It was, I believe, in 2011. Okay. Do you generally recall how 23 0. the deposition proceeds? I will ask you 24 2.5 questions. If you would please wait for me to

Page 15 finish my question, make sure that you hear and 1 2. understand it. If you don't hear it properly or 3 don't understand it, please ask me to restate it or assist you in understanding it; otherwise, 4 5 I'll assume that you fully heard the question and fully understood it and are giving your most 6 7 truthful response. Can we agree on that? 8 9 Α. We can agree. 10 Now, besides being deposed, have you 11 testified in evidentiary hearings or court 12 proceedings? 13 Α. Yes, all courts, federal through 14 municipal. 15 0. Approximately how many times have 16 you testified? 17 Α. Oh, hundreds. 18 Okay. And is your -- when was your 0. 19 most recent court testimony? 20 Just prior -- you talking trial or Α. 21 iust --2.2 Any court. Ο. 23 I would say January 2012 was the Α. 24 last case I was finishing, Evankovich. 2.5 Evankovich, that was the name of the Q.

Page 16 1 case? Yeah. I believe I was in the court 2. Α. 3 under some preliminary issues. It could have been late 2011. I'm not specific. 4 5 All right. When testifying today, please make sure to give verbal responses 6 7 because the court reporter cannot take down head nods and things of that nature. If you need a 8 9 break at any time, let me know. 10 This is, of course, a remote 11 deposition due to the current pandemic. If we 12 have any technical difficulties on anybody's 13 part, we'll stop and take a break and try and 14 fix that. Communications other than with your 15 counsel during this remote deposition are 16 prohibited. 17 Can you please confirm with me that 18 you are alone and testifying from your home? 19 I'm alone. Α. 20 What did you do to prepare for your Q. 21 deposition, Mr. Pavlich? 22 Α. I briefly went through this big binder. 23 24 Did you do so with the assistance of 0. Mr. Appel, your counsel? 25

Page 17 Α. 1 No. 2. 0. Did you talk to Mr. Edwards or anybody else about your deposition? 3 Α. 4 No. 5 Do you have an understanding of the nature of the lawsuit that you're testifying in, 6 7 the opioids lawsuit? I have an understanding. 8 Α. 9 0. What is your understanding? 10 That multiple pharmacy chains are Α. 11 being held accountable for opiate dispensings 12 in excess of what is legitimate in the state of 1.3 Ohio. 14 Did you get that understanding from 0. 15 news reports? 16 Yes, I did. Α. 17 Was your understanding in any way based upon discussions with lawyers for the 18 19 counties, Lake and Trumbull County? 20 Α. No. 21 Can you tell us about your 2.2 educational background, Mr. Pavlich, beginning with college? 23 24 Α. I graduated from Youngstown State University in 1974. I went -- I graduated from 25

Page 18 the DEA academy in October of '84. I was a 1 2. Youngstown policeman for ten years, two years 3 blue, eight years narcotics special investigation. Went to the Board of Pharmacy 4 5 in 1987, and attended National Association of Drug Diversion Investigator seminars and 6 7 classes throughout my career. Okay. Let's back up for a second. 8 Ο. 9 What was your degree in from 10 Youngstown State University in 1974? 11 Criminal justice. Α. 12 And did you do any post-undergrad 0. 13 work at any college or university? 14 Α. No. 15 0. What was your first job out of 16 Youngstown State in 1974? 17 Youngstown Police Department. I was hired in 1977. 18 19 Okay. What did you do from '74 to Ο. 20 177? 21 I worked in an aluminum factory as Α. 2.2 a laborer. 23 And you were ten years at the Ο. 24 Youngstown Police Department, you said two years in the blue division? 2.5

Page 19 Yes, two years patrol, blue 1 Α. 2. division. Speed patrol, is that what you're 3 Q. referring to? 4 5 Yeah, patrol car. 6 Ο. Okay. And eight years in the 7 narcotics branch; is that what you said? Well, just prior to narcotics 8 Α. 9 division, I went to juvenile division, were a 10 plain clothes detective down there, and then 1 1 went to narcotics, which was called the strike 12 force special investigation unit, and I was 1.3 assigned to that division. 14 Q. And you were a detective in the 15 strike force special investigative unit for 16 eight years? 17 I was a patrolman assigned as a Α. 18 plain clothes officer working detective-type cases, investigative. 19 20 Q. Were you always a patrolman rank with the narcotics division --21 22 Α. Yes. 23 -- in that eight years? Q. 24 Α. Yes. 25 Okay. Now, as a plain clothes Q.

Page 20 patrolman working in that division, did you do 1 2. investigations, drug investigations, in the 3 Youngstown area? Yes, most specifically 4 5 pharmaceuticals. 6 0. What county is Youngstown in, sir? 7 Α. Mahoning. Was most of your work in Mahoning 8 Ο. 9 County? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And what types of pharmaceutical 12 investigations did you perform while with the 13 Youngstown Police Department narcotics division? 14 Anything specifically related to 15 prescription/prescriber issues, going into 16 pharmacies, looking at false prescriptions, 17 illegal prescriptions, street diversion by 18 non-health professionals, all of that. I was 19 pretty much the main guy in that unit that did 20 that. 21 I see. How many other patrolmen or 2.2 detectives were in the unit that worked pharmacy 23 diversion or pharmaceutical diversion? 24 Other than one detective that was Α. in charge of the unit, we were all patrolmen. 2.5

They hadn't given us promotional tests for a long time so we did not reach the rank, but we were assigned there and there was probably 15 working vice and narcotics.

- Q. And what types of prescription diversion cases did you investigate and prosecute in that role? You said generally prescriptions and prescriber issues, illegal prescriptions and street diversion. I was scribbling notes while you were talking, but I just want to make sure I fully understand. What is street diversion of pharmaceuticals? What are you referring to?
- A. Well, diversion of a prescription would be where an individual would obtain a prescription from a physician and then divert those prescription drugs to illicit sources, or create a false prescription off of a legitimate prescription; a doctor writes 30 tablets of Percocet and they photocopy it or reproduce it and take it to pharmacy A, B, C, D, and instead of filling it once, they fill it four times, and then they would go out and sell the drugs or use them themselves.
 - Q. And do you recall in that time frame

2.

2.2

2.5

2.

2.5

Page 22

- while you were at the Youngstown Police

 Department where your leads came from mostly?

 Did you have confidential informants or did you hear from other sources about these types of activities?
- A. I was pretty aggressive in the street. I had numerous confidential informants. I would speak with pharmacists constantly, asking them if they would see things that were out of -- not the scope of legitimate medical practice involving a physician or prescriptions coming in that looked like they were false, and then I would investigate.
- Q. Do you recall some of the company names for the pharmacists that you dealt with?
- A. I spoke to pharmacists from independents to all the chains in Mahoning County. I also would go into other counties, but primarily in Mahoning.
- Q. I gave you the list of names of the pharmacy Defendants. Would you have worked with pharmacists from the pharmacy Defendants in that time period with respect to getting leads for diversion behavior?

- A. Sure, I would have.
- Q. Did you find the pharmacists for the pharmacy Defendants to be cooperative with you and provide you with leads for investigation of diversion?
 - A. Yes, I did.

2.5

- Q. Were they generally cooperative and were they interested in stamping out diversion?
- A. They were, and if they weren't, I would take appropriate actions.
- Q. Now, you said that you were ten years with Youngstown Police. That takes you to 1987. You started with the Ohio Board of Pharmacy in '87?
- A. Yes. I had worked with, who then became the number two man in Columbus, Tim Benedict. He was assigned as a field agent. He was a pharmacist, too, in Mahoning County and multiple other counties, and I had met him through the course of investigations or -- I don't know how many years it was, but -- and through that acquaintance I ended up being offered a position with the Board of Pharmacy.
- Q. And what was the position you took in 1987 with the board?

A. Field agent. Compliance agent I think the title officially was.

- Q. With what duties? What were your duties?
- A. To investigate, regulate and inspect all pharmaceuticals, institutions and facilities and individuals in the state of Ohio, specifically, though, being assigned to northeast Ohio.
 - Q. Which would encompass what counties?
- A. Well, when I was first hired, I had from Ashtabula -- I'm not going to name all the counties because I can't think of them all.

 Ashtabula, Trumbull, Mahoning, Columbiana and Jefferson County, and straight across to Wayne and Medina County, excluding Cuyahoga and Lake County. That was another agent. We only had like eight field agents.
- Q. And were you a field agent with the board from 1987 until you retired in March of 2012?
- A. Yes, but my -- the board had hired a few more agents in my specific counties probably in the last six years or eight -- maybe longer. I don't recall. I ended up

- 1 | having Trumbull County, Mahoning County,
- 2 | Columbiana County and Jefferson County as my
- 3 | four specific counties that I would conduct
- 4 inspections and investigations in, though I was
- 5 sent a lot of counties by my supervisor to help
- 6 or assist or conduct specific investigations.
 - Q. Did you assist in Lake County from time to time?
 - A. I did.
- 10 Q. Did you work with Mr. Trey Edwards,
- 11 | Agent Trey Edwards, from time to time in Lake
- 12 County?

7

8

9

- 13 A. Yes. I also trained him.
- 14 O. You mentioned earlier the National
- 15 Association of Drug Diversion Investigators.
- 16 You said you took some courses with them?
- 17 A. Yes. Every year they would have a
- 18 | national meeting involving -- I don't know how
- 19 many states were affiliated with it. They were
- 20 | all over the United States, from California to
- 21 Maine. And they would have a national
- 22 conference for like three days, and I would
- 23 attend those, and they would give a good
- 24 picture of diversion that was happening through
- 25 the United States and different aspects of

Page 26 investigations and regulatory compliance. As a 1 2. matter of fact, I was named national investigator in '04, I won that award. 3 And was that for the quality of your 4 0. 5 work? It was quality and quantity of 6 7 investigations involving lots of physicians and pharmacists and healthcare professionals and 8 9 street people. 10 Q. And did you -- you said you trained 11 Trey Edwards. Did you at some point in time 12 have those responsibilities to train new agents? 13 Α. I pretty much trained everyone. When I got hired, I was the first of two hired 14 15 by Tim Benedict and Bob Cole, my immediate 16 supervisor, to go out and do things 17 aggressively. 18 Okay. And besides training agents, did you also train -- provide training sessions 19 20 for members of the board or agents for the board 21 from time to time? 2.2 Α. Members of the board? 23 0. Yes. 24 Field agents. I trained pretty Α.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

much pharmacists to field agents, pretty much

25

Page 27 taught them all. 1 2. 0. Have you ever had anything to do 3 with the Lake County Narcotics Agency? Anything to do with them other 4 Α. 5 than, you know, I might have gone up there on a couple of their cases for insight or 6 7 assistance. I know one case I worked with Trey Edwards was Dr. Peter Franklin and Overholt 8 9 Pharmacy. That was pretty much toward the end 10 of my career. It was a large case. 11 And in your work as an agent for the 12 board, doing all those duties you just testified 13 to, did you need to become familiar with the 14 Ohio Revised Code and the Ohio Administrative 15 Code sections that regulate the pharmacy 16 industry? 17 Α. I did. Now, you've referenced several times 18 19 the Franklin Overholt Pharmacy matter. Was that 20 a particularly complex matter, a long 21 investigation? Very complex. 2.2 Α. What made it complex? 23 Ο. 24 The massiveness of prescribing and Α. 25 dispensing of opiates.

Q. We'll get into that matter a little bit later.

2.

I want to just talk to you a little bit about diversion of pharmaceuticals. What types -- in your experience, what types of diversion are there in Ohio with respect to the diversion of pharmaceuticals? I mean, what -- can you give us some examples of the common things that you saw and investigated?

A. Well, top of the hill is all prescriptions must be legitimately prescribed for a legitimate medical purpose. That would be the prescriber.

Next would be corresponding responsibilities to the pharmacists, what is prescribed by a physician and the pharmacist has, under Administrative Code 4729-5-30, which I used commonly in conversations or whatever, the manner of issuance. Pharmacists should not take on its face value prescriptions that are written without thinking of legitimate medical purpose and corresponding responsibility.

Then next you have people in the street who would get a legitimate prescription, legally authorized, and divert it in various

2.

2.2

2.5

fashions and forms, creating multiple copies, creating their own type of format, signature, so on and so forth, and then the selling of those prescriptions or the drugs from the prescriptions and/or the actual prescription.

Q. Okay. You mentioned earlier prescribers. Did you investigate prescribers not fulfilling their function? I guess that's the first thing that you mentioned. That's a prescriber responsibility to issue the prescription for a legitimate purpose. Did you investigate physicians and healthcare professionals that failed to follow that obligation?

- A. More than anyone else at the board.

 Approximately -- I'm going to guess -- in my 25

 years I investigated which resulted in the

 indictment and/or conviction of 90 prescribers.
- Q. And what were these prescribers, these 90 prescribers, generally doing?
- A. Not prescribing to legitimate medical practice standards.
- Q. So a lot of prosecutions. Were those all criminal prosecutions or were some civil?

- A. No. I'm talking criminal prosecutions in federal and state court. It was a lot. I was very busy.
- Q. And these 90 prescribers or so, did some of them go to jail for their conduct?
 - A. Yes.

2.5

- Q. Where generally did these investigative leads come from for these 90 or so prescribers that you prosecuted? How did those investigations normally start?
- A. As I taught younger agents, you must work the street, you must work the pharmacies. You work the pharmacies to get leads from pharmacists. They're there every day looking at these things. You follow up. For an example, a bad prescription. You go and find the person that passed that bad prescription and then you question them and see the basis of legitimate medical practice from the physician to that person.
- Q. All right. So it sounds like a lot of the leads came from pharmacists in the pharmacies because they were on the front line, so to speak?
 - A. I did my best to make friends with

all pharmacists and anyone else that I encountered. I was very straightforward with everyone. And they would call me, and -- I would get a lot of phone calls, yes. And to the best of my ability, I tried to investigate everything, going after the biggest fires first, and trickle it on down, if I could, to small things, but small things a lot of times led to big things.

- Q. Do you recall getting or befriending pharmacists at any of the pharmacy Defendants' locations, including Giant Eagle?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did they -- did the Giant Eagle pharmacists provide leads to you that resulted in prosecutions of bad actors, including doctors and street-level people?
- A. All pharmacists did at all the chains, including independents. I can't be specific as to what Giant Eagle pharmacists told me or CVS, but I will tell you I was in all of the pharmacies, and I would receive 20, 25 calls a day on different things, not only Ohio Revised Code criminal violations but administrative things, too, questioning what do

2.

2.2

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 32

you think about this or that. So, you know, I'm trying to answer you to say pharmacists in all stores cooperated, and if they didn't cooperate, I would go to that store and ask them what their specific issue was with not reporting something and then I would document it on an inspection report. If I asked for a profile, let's say a patient profile or a physician dispensing profile, and I didn't get it within a reasonable time, three days, or immediately, I would go to that store and confront the responsible pharmacist or pharmacist on duty and say, "I've requested Where is it?" In 90 -- high 90 percent this. of the cases I would get everything I needed immediately from chains or independents. have no problems.

- Q. I see. So if they didn't respond as fast as you wanted, you would confront them and make sure you got what you needed?
- A. I would make a personal visit to that specific location and meet with each pharmacy, whether it was a chain or an independent, had a responsible person, it was called, for the specific terminal distributor

license. I would try to meet with them specifically, but if not, the individual pharmacist and/or a supervisor for that a lot of times change.

2.5

- Q. Did the doctors or other subscribers similarly provide leads to you or was it mostly from the pharmacists?
- A. I would get calls from some doctors as to, Agent Pavlich, I see patients lining up outside this office. I don't think something is going on here that's legitimate. I'm in the same practice building. You might want to look into this. Or a pharmacist again calling about what they thought was not legitimate.
- Q. You referenced a couple of minutes ago getting 20 to 25 calls a day from pharmacists not only related to potentially illegitimate prescriptions but also just calls about complying with the regulations. Is that what you said?
- A. Yeah. 20 to 25 calls from everywhere, I mean whether it was someone in the street -- it was related to a lot of different things. My phone was pretty busy.
 - Q. But would pharmacists call you not

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 34 only to say, hey, I think we got an illegitimate script here, but would they call you and ask for your advice about compliance issues, like how do I comply with this regulation or that regulation? Many times, and understand I'm not Α. a pharmacist, but I was pretty familiar with administrative and criminal code, so I was the If they didn't call Columbus, they called quy. me. I see. In your 25 years with the Ο. board, Mr. Pavlich, were you able to observe the forms of diversion changing over time to react perhaps to law enforcement efforts? Do you understand my question? Α. T do.

- Q. Did the forms of diversion change over time in your 25 years?
- A. Yes. It increased quite a bit from when I first started from Youngstown Police

 Department to when I ended my career.

 Increased in a way -- some physicians were just blatant about it, and some would -- for an example, a doctor by the name of Orr was

dispensing opiate amphetamine prescriptions for

Page 35 diet out of his office thinking no one is going 1 2. to find out, I'm giving it all out of my 3 office, no pharmacist is going to be able to call on me because they're not going to take a 4 5 prescription to the pharmacy. So, you know, that was one way of doing diversion and hoping 6 7 no one finds out. And then there was -- you know, 8 9 there was physicians that prescribed diet 10 drugs, which was real big for a long time till 11 we put a stop to that to the best of our 12 abilities. 13 And then the opiates. 14 You know, a lot of good practicers, 15 practicing physicians out there, but it was 16 pretty bad for a while. 17 When you referenced a lot of good 18 doctors or a lot of good practicing physicians 19 out there, was that your experience, 20 Mr. Pavlich, that most physicians practiced in good faith and it was just a small number of bad 21 2.2 doctors that caused a lot of the problems? 23

Α. Yes.

24

25

You referenced Dr. Orr and Ο. prescribing out of his office so that it

wouldn't -- the prescriptions wouldn't go to the pharmacies. How was his conduct uncovered if it wasn't through the pharmacists because they weren't bringing the prescriptions to the pharmacists?

This goes back to the early part of my career with the state. I believe I got information from probably someone picked up with diet pills, being amphetamines, Adipex for an example, and from that I believe it led to where did you get them. They were in a vial or whatever, unmarked to a pharmacy. And from that, then I -- let me think about this for a second. I ran reports for manufacturers who sell that particular drug, Adipex or phentermine, and I noticed that doctor was purchasing huge volumes of these drugs, and then I said to myself, oh, I see what's going on, he's just bringing the patients in, getting cash, which I found out, and then giving the pills directly to them, and no one knows but him and his patients that he was giving it to, and his office staff.

So that resulted in an investigation that resulted in me writing a

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

search warrant for his office and going in and pulling every single patient file out of that office.

2.

2.2

- Q. Was that a technique that you were able to apply later in your career? And by that I mean starting with information related to the drugs being purchased from the manufacturers and tracing it down to the doctors.
- A. Yeah. It wasn't an easy process then. We didn't have a system -- if you're familiar with OARRS. Everything was manual. So if I -- if I had 500 patients, I would have to manually enter them into an Excel system, and all the prescriptions or all the specific drugs, the quantities, so on and so forth, like an OARRS report, and manually do this. And my secretary was -- it wasn't an easy thing, but that's how we did it, and that's how I put together that case on Dr. Orr.
- Q. Later -- I think OARRS came in in early 2006. Do you recall that?
- A. I don't remember the date. I'll take your word on 2006.
- Q. Do you recall OARRS being a beneficial tool for your criminal law

enforcement investigations in terms of having ready access to prescription information that used to be manual?

- A. Best tool I ever had.
- Q. So was that a dramatic shift in your ability to investigate criminal behavior involving pharmaceutical diversion?
 - A. Dramatic.

2.

- Q. How so? Can you tell us?
- A. Well, if I'm investigating Dr. A and I want to see where all the patients are taking all their prescriptions, all I had to do was run a report on him. Instead of making phone calls to 50 pharmacies in a set radius, I get a report on it.

Or if I was investigating patient B and wanted to see what he was doing, I'd print his profile through OARRS and it would show me that he went to Dr. A, B, C, D, E and took the prescriptions to pharmacy E, F, so on and so forth down the line. I found everything immediately instead of making 50 phone calls for every pharmacy asking them do you have this patient, do you have this doctor's prescriptions. It made it simple.

Page 39 Did it speed up your criminal 1 2. investigations? It did. 3 Α. We'll get into OARRS shortly, but I 4 0. 5 want to ask you a few more general questions. Can you describe the type of 6 7 criminal activity that occurs in the pharmaceutical diversion area? What types of 8 9 crimes are occurring or did occur in your 25 10 years there? 11 Trafficking in drugs, illegal Α. 12 processing of drug documents, drug abuse. 13 Those are probably the top three. 14 The top three. Then trafficking in Ο. 15 drugs, trafficking in pharmaceuticals, what did 16 you see in your experience? What types of 17 criminal trafficking was occurring while you 18 were a board agent? 19 Illegal prescribing, illegal 20 dispensing, illegal selling, illegal self-use. 21 That's pretty much it. 2.2 Ο. Okay. And illegal processing, what 23 does that mean? What are you referring to? 24 Α. You get a prescription for -- from a legitimate prescriber for ten tablets of 25

Percocet, a Schedule II controlled substance, and before you take it to the pharmacy, it's written out in black by the doctor and you put a zero next to the 10, and you take it in and you give it to the pharmacist, and instead of getting 10, you get 100. That's illegal processing.

- Q. So you get -- it starts with a legitimate prescription that is altered fraudulently in some way?
- A. Well, it could also be you create it, the prescription, and bring it in, or duplicating a legitimate prescription and bringing it in. An example would be if you get the ten tablets of Percocet from a doctor, you take it to a copy machine, you run it off ten times and you take it to ten different pharmacies. And if the pharmacist calls the office with a question about, you know, this looks like a photocopy, and he calls the office and asks the nurse do you have a prescription for George Pavlich for ten tablets of Percocet, and the nurse looks at the file, yes, he was issued a prescription for this, and they fill it. And unless the nurse catches that ten

2.5

Page 41 pharmacists called me about the same 1 prescription from ten different pharmacies, 2. they get filled. 3 I see. So in that type of 4 5 circumstance, the pharmacist is doing what he can to verify but not knowing that the focal 6 point, the nurse who is checking, isn't telling him or the other pharmacists that I got nine 8 9 other calls before yours? 10 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection as to form. 11 12 Q. Did I understand that correctly, 13 Mr. Pavlich? 14 Yes, that is true. Pharmacists 15 rely upon the source of the prescription, being 16 the physician, to legitimize what they have in 17 front of them if they call. I mean, there was times when -- I can think of one doctor that 18 19 his nurse kept legitimizing all these 20 prescriptions from someone who was 21 photocopying, and I had to go pay that 22 physician a visit and I explained to him what 23 was going on. 24 Did your investigations involve 0. 25 multiple agencies from time to time? And I'll

2.2

2.5

Page 42

break that down for you. Did you ever work with the DEA?

- A. Yes, I did. I was actually working right out of their task force office for a number of years.
 - Q. Were you on the task force?
- A. Not assigned, but I worked out of their office a number of years. I worked with one specific person that was assigned down there and one specific person from the Youngstown Police Department that was assigned pharmaceuticals out of their unit, and Mahoning County Drug Task Force, Trumbull County Drug Task Force, Columbiana County. I worked with all of them.
- Q. Okay. I want to just direct your attention, Mr. Pavlich, to some of the information that we have regarding the State Board of Pharmacy.

Now, you spent 25 years of your career with the State Board of Pharmacy. How would you describe the roles of the State Board of Pharmacy in terms of regulating and enforcing the drug laws in Ohio?

A. During my career, aggressive,

compliant, and professional.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. Was the Board of Pharmacy the primary regulator and law enforcement agency for the pharmaceutical industry in Ohio during your career?
 - A. State-wise, in my opinion, yes.
- Q. It sounds like others might have a different opinion.
- A. Well, you got the federal government, the DEA. They had a compliance unit up in Cleveland that relied on us to help them with a lot of things. You had Youngstown Police Department, which is, you know, the city that relied on me helping them work cases. I had a lot of experience, so I was pretty much in demand to help different agencies do different things. I had issues with some. You know, if they didn't want to do it a certain way, then I wouldn't work with them anymore.
- Q. Did the DEA inspect pharmacies in Ohio or was that the board's function?
- A. They had gone in some that I know of. I don't know to what extent they did it. They pretty much sat in their office up in Cleveland.

- Q. Okay. What I'm getting at is I just want to understand, was it a normal function of the DEA to inspect pharmacies in Ohio or was that primarily the board's function?
 - A. Primarily the board.

2.5

- Q. Does the board also have an important licensing function?
- A. You couldn't dispense drugs without a board license or a DEA license.
- Q. So that was one of the roles that the board played, the licensing of pharmacies and renewals of pharmacy licenses; am I correct?
- A. The board licensed all terminal distributors, wholesale providers, anywhere that pharmaceuticals were in the state of Ohio from a first aid unit to a major manufacturer.
- Q. And you mentioned the DEA also provided registration. Would that be the same --
- A. Not everywhere would have a DEA license. Only if you were specific into use or the dispensing or the prescribing of controlled substances did they come into play.
- Q. You used the term "terminal distributor of dangerous drugs," TDDD. I've

2.

2.2

Page 45

seen that reference. In layman's terms, is that a pharmacy or is that too narrow of a description?

- A. No. That would be a pharmacy.
- Q. Are there other entities that are included in that TDDD description?
- A. Anywhere where the drugs would go in, and they would terminate at that particular location; in other words, terminate being dispensed or administered would be a terminal distributor of dangerous drugs.
- Q. Are there a lot of doctors' offices that have TDDD license -- licenses?
- A. Now? I'm not sure now. Then there were some that had it. There were some that were, oh, let's say for purposes of, in their words, a lot of times trying to help their patients out. They would have stock of certain wholesale purchased medications and then provide them to their patients for a nominal fee, but not the majority of physicians.
- Q. Is one of the roles of the board when you were there for 25 years -- was it one of their primary roles to enforce the Ohio Revised Code regarding drug offenses and

Page 46 1 controlled substances and dangerous drugs? 2. Α. Yes. I put in this exhibit binder Edwards 3 Ο. If you want to flip to it in your 4 Exhibit 2. 5 binder. 6 Α. Yes. 7 0. If you go to page 4 of this exhibit --8 9 Α. Okay. 10 -- there's a page called "Role of the Board, " and it says, "Charged with enforcing 11 12 key chapters of the Ohio Revised Code," 13 including drug offenses, pure food and drug 14 laws, controlled substances and pharmacists, 15 dangerous drugs. Was that what you understood 16 the role of the board to be in your 25 years 17 there? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And on the next page it has further 20 information about the role of the board broken 21 down between administration, communication and 22 education and law enforcement. Administration 23 includes licensing and regulating pharmacists, 24 pharmacy interns and locations that store 25 dangerous drugs, like pharmacies, EMS, physician

Page 47 offices, wholesalers. Does that strike you as 1 2. accurate from your 25 years at the board, that that's what the board was charged with doing, 3 including all the way down to enforcing the drug 4 5 laws and rules for the state of Ohio? That there completes the 6 Α. Yes. 7 total picture. 8 Okay. There's a reference on Ο. 9 pages -- on page 6 to the agency structure, 10 having a licensing department, a legal affairs 11 department, policy and communication, compliance 12 and enforcement department, and an OARRS 13 department. Do you recall that being the 14 structure of the board while you were there? 15 Α. Not initially when I got hired was 16 there an OARRS department, but when I left 17 there was an OARRS department. Yes, that's 18 pretty much it. 19 The next few pages, 7 through Ο. Okay. 20 9, they talk about field staff, and they start 21 with an agent, then they have a specialist. 22 you recall the distinction while you were 23 working as an agent between agents and 24 specialists? 2.5 Α. Yes.

Page 48 You were not a specialist; am I 1 Ο. 2. correct? 3 Α. Correct. What was a specialist from your 4 experience? What was the difference between a 5 6 specialist and an agent? 7 An agent was like myself, a former law enforcement person. A specialist was a 8 licensed pharmacist. We had similar but 9 10 different duties and inspection facilities, 11 though we could all go into each other's 12 facilities when necessary or someone wasn't 13 available, like I would go into pharmacies a 14 lot, a specialist would go into hospitals and nursing homes. But because I was with the 15 16 board a long time, I, a lot of times, would go 17 into hospitals and those types of facilities to not only assist a specialist but do things. 18 19 Similar but different. 20 I see. One of the first duties 0. 21 mentioned on page 7 of an agent is to inspect 22 pharmacies. 23 Do you see that? 2.4 Α. That's the first one noted, yes. Was that an important function of 2.5 Q.

2.

Page 49

the board agents, to inspect the pharmacies that were licensed by the board?

- A. To me it was very important, and we were required to do 50 full -- not just to walk in and say hello, 50 full inspections every year.
- Q. And if you did that for the 25 years you were there, that would give you what, about 1,200 inspections?
- A. Your math is better than mine, but it was a lot. More -- I had a hard time doing them.
- Q. Now, you made a distinction. You said they weren't just stop in and say hello.

 They were actual full inspections. What do you recall a full inspection involving?
- A. A full inspection would be I would go in there -- and on inspection sheets there was a little column to the left on the manual sheet, and, as I recall, it would start off licenses and go all the way down and encompass just about every aspect of what you would need to look at in a pharmacy to meet administrative regulatory compliance.
 - Q. All right. We'll get into

Page 50 inspections in a little bit. I want to just 1 finish this document. 2. If you go to page 10, there's a 3 section called "Investigations: Criminal." 4 5 Are you with me? 6 Α. Oh, I see it, "Investigations: Criminal, "okay. 7 Do you see it says there, "Drug 8 Ο. 9 Diversion: Any criminal act involving a 10 prescription drug. Includes theft of drugs, 1 1 tampering with drugs, deception to obtain 12 dangerous drugs, illegal processing of drug --13 of drug" -- I guess they mean or, "of drug or drug documents." 14 15 Do you see that? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Does that cover the four primary 18 types of criminal investigations that you conducted in your 25 years at the board? 19 20 there anything missing is what I'm getting at? 21 There's self-abuse by a physician, 22 where they would write prescriptions, give it to someone, have them fill them, bring them 23 24 back to them and they were using them. So self-abuse I would have added into that little 25

Page 51 1 category. 0. Okay. Anything else? That's a diversion. 3 Α. I don't know why they don't have 4 5 trafficking in drugs, but I didn't write this. Apparently Mr. Wimberly did. 6 7 Do you know Mr. Wimberly? 0. Α. I know him. 8 9 0. And what was his position at the 10 board? 11 He was a field agent. He's now a Α. 12 supervisor, I believe. 13 Ο. Okay. But you would add self-abuse by doctors and trafficking --14 15 Α. I would. I would have put that 16 there. 17 Q. Okay. I mean, obviously they're both 18 19 diversions of drugs. If you get a legitimate 20 prescription and you divert it by selling it, 21 that's trafficking in drugs. 2.2 Q. Okay. 23 Or you illegally use it for 24 yourself. That's diversion of drugs. 2.5 Okay. The last part of this Q.

Page 52 pamphlet or document speaks about OARRS, and 1 2. it's pages 11 through 24. OARRS would have been 3 in effect for the last six years of your career approximately, if we assume OARRS started in 4 5 2006? Yeah. That would be about -- well, 6 7 I left March 1st of 2012, so in January and February I was pretty much wrapping things up. 8 I was finishing the Evankovich case, the 10 Overholt case. I wasn't really going out doing 11 inspections or anything. So really '06, '07, 12 '08, '09, '10, and I don't know when they 13 started in '06. So maybe six years, yeah. 14 Ο. About six years. 15 What did you learn about OARRS in 16 those -- let's call it those six years? 17 OARRS a discretionary or a mandatory system 18 while you were an agent? 19 It was mandatory that all 20 pharmacies comply and produce electronic 21 documentation to the State Board of Pharmacy in 22 Columbus. 23 Q. Are you speaking of in the 2011-2012 time period, because what I'm getting at is --24 and I'll be more specific. When did it become 25

mandatory that an OARRS report be run and under what conditions?

2.5

- A. I don't remember how often they compiled OARRS reports from specific stores, but I know from chains to independents, a dispensing pharmacy had to electronically send all their dispensing controlled substances, and there might have been another -- like Soma was not a controlled substance at the time -- electronically to the Board of Pharmacy. It was every two weeks, every month. I'm not exactly recalling that. And if they did not send it, then I think Columbus would make a phone call and try to ascertain what was the problem. But everyone had to comply, at least to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. And it wasn't only pharmacies providing this information, doctors and wholesalers were also providing information to the OARRS database; is that correct?
- A. No. Doctors did not have to provide -- unless they were dispensing medications out of their office. They might have been. I'm not familiar with that.

Q. Okay.

- A. But wholesalers had to comply.
- Q. And they provided their wholesale sales information to the Board of Pharmacy on a periodic basis in addition to the pharmacies reporting their dispensing information?

 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection as to
 - Q. You can answer, sir.
- A. I'm not positive on wholesalers but I'm pretty sure they had to comply.
- Q. Okay. Did you develop an understanding over time of when a pharmacist or a doctor was required to access the OARRS database and run a report on a patient under certain conditions?
 - A. Doctor or a pharmacist?
- Q. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

form.

A. I know they had responsibilities to look for a patient profile. I don't know how -- I can't recall how frequently they were required to do it, if it was every patient or a random sampling of patients, but I know when I went in and talked to a pharmacist for an investigation or a physician on a patient for an investigation, when OARRS was up and

Page 55 running, that would be one of the questions I 1 2. would ask them, did you run an OARRS report. You know, I don't recall the exact standard. 3 Understood. 4 0. 5 If you look at page 14 and 15 of this Edwards Exhibit 2, you see there's a 6 7 presentation of when a pharmacist must query OARRS. Now, on page 14 this is listed as 8 effective February 1 of 2016, and by then you 9 10 had been retired almost four years. I don't 11 mean for this to be a memory test for you, but 12 I'm just seeing if this jogs your memory as to 13 what was in effect prior to your retirement in 14 terms of when a pharmacist must query OARRS. 15 Do you recognize any of these 16 conditions or you don't recall, either one? 17 Am I looking at 14? Α. 18 Page 14, yes. It has a caption "RPh Q. must query OARRS" on Exhibit 2. 19 20 I'm going to hold this up. You Α. 21 tell me if I got the right thing. It doesn't look like what you're talking about. 22 It's that same document that has 23 Ο. 24 Wimberly on it. 25 This document (indicating)? Α.

Page 56 1 Q. No. 2. Α. Well, that's 14 in my folder. 3 Yeah. I'm sorry. I meant page 14. Q. If I said Exhibit 14 --4 5 Α. Oh, you said --6 Q. I misspoke. Sorry. 7 Going back --Α. Go back to Exhibit 2, page 14. 8 Ο. 9 Α. Okay, Exhibit 2, page 14. That's 10 better. Sorry. I might have misunderstood 11 you. That's fine. 12 0. 13 Α. Okay. There we go. I got it. 14 And I just was wanting you to take a Ο. look at some of those conditions of when a 15 16 pharmacist must query OARRS, recognizing that 17 this text begins effective February 1 of 2016. I just wanted to see if it jogged your memory in 18 19 any way as to when you recall pharmacists were 20 supposed to check OARRS while you were an agent before your retirement in 2012. 21 2.2 Α. I don't recall this at all as 23 something that was brought to my attention when 24 I worked, these specifics. Like I say, I 25 wasn't familiar with it.

Page 57 Okay. Same on page 15, are you also 1 0. not familiar with these conditions? 2. No, I'm not familiar. 3 Α. All right. I think I know the 4 0. 5 answer. If you would look at page 15 and 17 that has "Prescriber must query OARRS." Are you 6 also not familiar with these -- these 7 conditions, Mr. Pavlich? 8 9 Α. They all make sense, but I don't 10 remember anything specific like this during my 11 time. I mean, we might have talked about this 12 during staff meetings, but I don't remember 13 anything laid out like this. 14 Okay. How about I direct your Ο. attention to Exhibit 3 in the same binder. 15 16 Α. That's the tab marked 3, right? 17 Q. Yes. 18 Α. Okay. Go ahead. 19 Do you know Steven Schierholt? Ο. 20 he the executive director while you were still 21 an agent? 2.2 A. I don't know him. 23 I'm sorry. Do you know Ο. 24 Mr. Schierholt? 2.5 A. I don't know him.

Page 58 1 Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't hear your 0. 2. answer. 3 If you look at page 3 of this exhibit, Mr. Pavlich -- they're not numbered 4 5 so --6 Α. Yeah, I got it. 7 -- under Priority number 2, "Ensure 0. routine inspections and enhance capacity to 8 conduct administrative and criminal 10 investigations." 11 Do you see that? 12 I see it. Α. 13 Now, the second paragraph below that states, "Currently, board agents and specialists 14 are charged with conducting inspections as well 15 16 as investigations of individuals and entities in 17 violation of Ohio laws and rules." 18 Is that what you understood your 19 role was as an agent as well as specialists in 20 terms of conducting inspections of pharmacies 21 while you were an agent? 2.2 Conducting inspections and investigations in violation of Ohio law and 23 rules, yep, that was my duty. 24 2.5 Q. If you flip to the next page, at the

top, the first full paragraph, you see where it states, "Routine inspections allow board staff to review facilities to ensure they comply with security, recordkeeping and other rules designed to deter and detect the diversion of prescription drugs, including opioids. Routine inspections provide the opportunity to correct problems before they escalate to a complaint requiring a more time-intensive investigation."

Is that consistent with your understanding of what the purpose of inspections of pharmacies was when you were an agent with the board, Mr. Pavlich?

inspections provide the opportunity to correct problems before they escalate to a complaint requiring a more time-intensive investigation.

To a small extent, true, but if I walked into a pharmacy -- we'll use Overholt Pharmacy -- and saw prescriptions to the extent of what was going on in there, there would be no correction of problems, there would be prosecution of problems. So I'm not in total agreement with the wording, just like the standard today versus when I worked may be different in

2.

- approaching investigations or creating investigations than when I worked.
- Q. But that first sentence in that paragraph, sounds like you agree with it, that the purpose of the inspection was to ensure compliance with security, recordkeeping and other rules designed to deter and detect the diversion of prescription drugs, including opioids?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. Did the OARRS database evolve over time while you were there, I guess those six years, the last six years of your career? Did you see it change in any way?
 - A. Got more data in it.
- Q. In what way? What was the additional data?
- A. Well, when it first started, it had nothing, and by the end of my career, it had all this data from all these locations in its database, so if I was looking for me at the beginning, there would be nothing, but if I went out over the course of a number of years and visited a number of doctors, obviously there would be more data in there and it would

show this. I mean, I can't think of any -- I mean, it got faster, it worked quicker, it was easier to access.

- Q. Okay. Could you tell from the OARRS data whether a prescription is legitimate or not?
- 7 A. Just from the data? To an extent, 8 yes.
 - Q. How so?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, I would always need to look Α. at the actual prescription, always. That's the written bible, the actual prescription. But if I looked at a database from OARRS using my name and it showed that I got an oxycodone prescription from Dr. A and took it to pharmacy B, C, D and F, I'd think something was wrong. Or I went to Dr. A, B, C, D and got oxycodone prescriptions from all four with, let's say, a month supply for each prescription, I would think something is wrong and that would result in me going to pharmacy A, B, C and D, finding those prescriptions and see what's going on and why physicians -- four physicians had issued four prescriptions for the same drug in a 30-day supply to one specific patient.

- Q. And would you also -- I'm sorry.
 Were you done?
 - A. Yeah.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Would you also want to talk to the doctors to see why they issued the prescription?
 - A. I always did.
- Q. Okay. And why did you always talk to the doctors in your investigation?
- Α. To determine legitimate medical purpose, which was a requirement to charge someone, legitimate medical purpose. If there's no legitimate medical purpose, then the prescription, on its face value, is illegal. So if I went to Dr. A and said did you write this for patient George Pavlich, and he said yes. And what was the reason you wrote it? Well, he had severe back pain. Okay. And then I'd go to B and ask him did you write this for George Pavlich? Yes. And for what reason? has severe back pain. Well, when you wrote this, did you know that Dr. A wrote him a 30-day supply? No, I did not. Then it would go right on down the line and I would charge however. And if it involved a physician, then

I would charge him, too.

in the Ohio Revised Code.

2.

- Q. Okay. So the OARRS database could provide some information that something might be wrong, which would require further investigation, including talking to doctors?
- A. Yes. On its face it was an enlightening experience to look at it that way, but then it would require follow-up to complete, beyond a reasonable doubt requirement
 - Q. Okay. Can the OARRS database identify the top prescribers, the top patients getting controlled substance prescriptions, things of that nature?
 - A. It could identify -- I'm trying to recall how this thing worked. I could run a physician profile if I had a question and it would provide me the specific for prescribing during a specific time frame. For an example, Dr. A, I want to see what he prescribed for the first three months in '06 for oxycodone, and I believe it could produce it.
 - Q. Mr. Pavlich, or Agent Pavlich, were pharmacists able to do that the same as you in terms of being an investigative agent? Could

they go in and run physician profiles in OARRS or was that something only the investigative agents could do?

2.

- A. I know agents could do it. I don't know initially when it started, but later on -- again, if it started in '06 -- I'm not sure exactly when it went up. But the pharmacists could input a patient's name and see if that patient was going to other pharmacies or doctors. I don't know to what extent or time frame. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. But what I was getting at is could a pharmacist pull up a doctor and say, "I want to see everything Dr. Smith has been up to in the last couple years; regardless of the fact that I only have his one patient in front of me, I want to see his whole dispensing or his whole prescribing history for a certain time frame"?

 Do you know if that was accessible by pharmacists or you don't recall?
- A. I don't -- I don't think it was accessible, but I'm not certain. I know it was for patient specific.
- Q. Does the -- did the board while you were there have subpoena powers?

Page 65 I'm not sure. I believe they did. 1 2. I'm not sure. I believe they did but I'm not 3 sure. Was it one of the primary board 4 0. 5 functions to not only gather the OARRS data but also to analyze it for investigative leads? 6 7 I don't know what they did down in Columbus. I know I would analyze what I 8 9 specifically asked for. 10 Do you recall getting leads from the 11 OARRS department based upon their analyses of 12 the OARRS data? 13 Α. No. I don't recall getting anything from the OARRS department unless I 14 15 specifically asked for it. 16 You testified earlier, Agent 17 Pavlich, that you were familiar with the Ohio Revised Code and the Ohio Administrative Code, 18 19 correct? 20 Α. Not as well as I was in 2012, but 21 I'm sort of familiar. 2.2 Ο. Would you flip to Exhibit 5, please, in the binder? 23 24 Α. Okay.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Were the legal requirements for

2.5

Q.

pharmacies contained either in the Ohio Revised Code or the Ohio Administrative Code based on your experience?

- A. This is the administrative code. I mean, there might be a corresponding code in the Ohio Revised Code, but I'm looking at this and this is all I know.
- Q. But when you were doing your job as an agent, including inspecting pharmacies, were you attempting to enforce the Ohio
 Administrative Code and whatever statutory provisions back up that Administrative Code?
- A. Yes. Like this code I know would have a corresponding federal code. How it relates to Ohio Revised Code would be if you left your pharmacy wide open and had no security and let anyone access the place, then that would be, in my opinion, a violation of the criminal code.
- Q. Are you familiar with this security requirement from the Ohio Administrative Code in Section 4729-9-05, and I'll read Subsection A, "All licensees and registrants shall provide effective and approved controls and procedures to deter and detect theft and diversion of

2.

2.5

dangerous drugs. In order to determine whether a licensee or registrant has provided effective and approved controls against diversion, the State Board of Pharmacy shall use the security requirements set forth in Rule 4729-9-11 of the Administrative Code as standards for the security controls and operating procedures necessary to deter and detect diversion."

Are you familiar with that security requirement, Agent Pavlich?

A. I am.

2.

2.2

- Q. Is that the main security requirement required of pharmacies licensed in the state of Ohio, that they shall provide effective and approved controls and procedures to detect theft and diversion of dangerous drugs? Was that the main requirement that was being enforced when you were doing your duties as an agent?
 - A. For security requirements, yes.
- Q. Okay. And it says in Subsection B,
 "Substantial Compliance with the Standards Set
 Forth in Rule 4729-9-11 of the Administrative
 Code may be deemed sufficient by the State Board
 of Pharmacy after evaluation of the overall

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 68

security system and needs of the applicant, licensee or registrant. In evaluating the overall security system of a licensee, registrant or applicant, the State Board of Pharmacy may consider any of the following factors as deemed relevant for compliance with security requirements," and then it lists 14 separate requirements.

Do you recognize this Administrative Code section, Mr. Pavlich?

- A. I agree I recognize it.
- Q. So what do you understand in paragraph A -- go back to A, "Effective and Approved Controls and Procedures to Deter and Detect Theft and Diversion of Dangerous Drugs" -- what does that mean in your experience?
- A. Well, only a licensed pharmacist in the state of Ohio shall access and control the access of a pharmacy by means that prevent diversion, theft of drugs. And that would be electronic barricade, physical barricade, key control access to the electronic and key control barricades. That pretty much covers it.
 - Q. But the controls were broader than

that, were they not, in terms of not only physical security controls? Wouldn't it also include internal controls in the pharmacy to prevent theft and diversion?

MR. WEINBERGER: Objection. Form.

0. When I'm referring to, Mr. Pavlich, in paragraph B, "Substantial Compliance," the substantial compliance provision and the factors that are considered, if you look over those 14 factors, you'll see that that begins with the type of activity conducted, the type and form of dangerous drugs handled, the quantity of dangerous drugs handled, location of the premises, type of building construction, type of vaults and safes, types of closures on vaults and safes and secure enclosure, adequacy of key control systems, adequacy of electronic detection and alarm systems, extent of unsupervised public access to the facility, adequacy of supervision over authorized employees having access to areas containing dangerous drugs, procedures for handling business quests, availability of local police protection, and number 14, adequacy of the licensee's, registrant's or applicant's system

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 70 for monitoring the receipt, manufacture, 1 distribution, and disposition of dangerous drugs 3 in its operation. So the reason I read all 14 of 4 5 those is I wanted to ask you, do you understand those to not only include physical controls 6 7 like barricades, but also operational controls, like systems for monitoring dangerous drugs? 8 9 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection. Form. 10 Ο. You can answer. 11 I'm trying to think how to answer Α. 12 this thing. I agree under this section that 13 all of those standards are in place to not only protect the theft or diversion of drugs but the 14 15 documentation, being computers, being profiles, 16 being prescriptions; anything that should be 17 held in confidentiality falls under security 18 requirements. 19 Ο. Okay. 20 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection. Move 21 to strike. 2.2 Ο. The term "substantial compliance," 23 what do you recall that term to mean based upon 24 your experience? 2.5 I don't recall it. Α.

2.5

Page 71

- Q. Do you recall as an agent evaluating pharmacies' compliance with the security requirement by considering the type of activity conducted and the type and form of dangerous drugs handled and the quantity of dangerous drugs handled? Was that something that was a factor -- were these factors evaluated when considering whether pharmacies were adhering to the security requirement?
- A. I don't know. I don't think I understand what you're saying.
- Q. Okay. Let me put it this way: When you went in for your pharmacy inspections, the 50 a year that you said you did, was one of the things that you were trying to make sure is that the pharmacies were adhering to the code requirements with respect to effective controls and procedures to detect and prevent theft and diversion? Is that the primary purpose of those inspections?
- A. Right. I would look at is everything secured so that anyone who should not have access is prevented from having access.
 - Q. And did it matter to you whether or

2.

2.2

Page 72

not the pharmacy was only handling certain types of drugs or -- in only certain quantities? Was that part of your evaluation?

- A. No. It would include all drugs except OTC drugs, over-the-counter drugs, those. Anything that had a federal legend on it would be required secured.
- Q. You said earlier that you thought that there was a federal counterpart to the security requirement. What were you thinking of specifically?
- A. I don't recall the federal code. I didn't usually use it. But usually it's -- it mirrors what's in the Administrative Code, like 4729-5-30, Manner of Issuance. I know there is a federal code that is the manner of issuance. So usually they mirror off of each other.
- Q. Okay. So you believe that in the Code of Federal Regulations there's a security requirement that mirrors the Ohio security requirement?
 - A. I'm pretty sure.
- Q. If you go to the third page of this Exhibit 5, the third physical page, there's an Ohio Code Section 4729-9-11, Security and

Page 73 1 Control of Dangerous Drugs. 2. Do you see that? 3 Where are you at, 4729-5-11? Α. No. -9-11. It's the third physical 4 Ο. 5 page of Exhibit 5. In the top left it should 6 say 4729-9-11. 7 A. One, two, three. We're counting the back side of pages, are we? 8 9 0. No. 10 No, you're not counting the back Α. 11 side of pages. Okay, I got it, 4729-9-11. 12 Okay, we're there. 0. 13 Are you familiar with this Ohio 14 code provision? 15 I'm sure I was more familiar in the 16 past, but yes. Okay. Go ahead. 17 Now, was this code section something 18 that you had in mind and were attempting to 19 enforce compliance with when you did pharmacy 20 inspections? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. There's Section A of this. It has the caption "In a pharmacy," and under 1 it 23 24 says, "Except as provided in paragraph (A)(2) of this rule, a pharmacist shall provide personal 25

Page 74 1 supervision of dangerous drugs, exempt 2. narcotics," et cetera. Is that a provision that you recall? I'll call it the supervision 3 requirement. 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. All right. So how did you 7 understand that rule to apply when you were doing your inspections? What were you looking 8 for? 9 10 Α. I was looking for all records and 11 drugs to be secured within an environment 12 controlled by a licensed pharmacist with no 13 access to non-authorized personnel. 14 Okay. When a pharmacist could not Ο. 15 supervise, say when the pharmacy was closed, 16 were you looking for certain requirements to be 17 met? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And what were those requirements? Ο. 20 A secure barricade or electronic Α. 21 barricade. 2.2 Ο. Are you familiar with the term "drug utilization review"? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 What do you understand that to be? Q.

Page 75 And I'll call it a DUR. What is a DUR? 1 2. Drug utilization review would be an 3 examination of a patient profile, a doctor profile, a dispensing profile, a purchase 4 5 profile, a sales profile. Is that something that you looked 6 7 for in your inspections, whether or not the pharmacists were conducting DURs? 8 9 Α. Yes. Is the DUR a mechanism to -- in your 10 11 experience, a mechanism to detect unusual usage 12 and questionable disposition of pharmaceuticals 13 or suspicious orders of pharmaceuticals? 14 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection. 15 Go ahead. 16 Yes. Α. 17 In your experience, besides 18 performing a DUR, was there anything that you 19 recall that you wanted to see pharmacists 20 performing when they were filling prescriptions 21 in order to detect unusual usage, questionable 2.2 disposition and/or suspicious orders besides doing the DUR? 23 24 The actual face value of the Α. Yes. prescription itself, did it look like it was 2.5

Page 76 legitimate in the mind of a licensed 1 2. pharmacist. 3 All right. Anything else that you Ο. recall you had in mind from a compliance 4 5 perspective with regard to pharmacists trying to detect suspicious orders or unusual usage or 6 7 questionable disposition of prescriptions besides the DUR and looking at the face value of 8 9 the prescription? 10 Well, the actual patient 11 themselves. I mean, if a patient came in and 12 looked like they were loaded or high, should I 13 say, I wouldn't dispense it. 14 Okay. I just want to make sure I --Ο. 15 You know, those three factors, drug 16 utilization review, actual prescription, 17 patient character. Those are the three things I would be looking at. 18 19 And did you tell the pharmacies when 20 you were doing the inspections that that's what 21 you expected them to be doing in terms of 22 complying with these code provisions? I said a lot of things when I was 23 24 doing inspecting. I don't remember those three

catch phrases. I'm sure they were part of

Page 77 conversations, but I didn't specifically walk 1 in and say this, this, and this. If you go to the last page of 3 Exhibit 5 -- and by last page, I mean last 4 5 physical page. Which one? 6 Α. 7 Exhibit 5. 0. Okay, I got it, 5. 8 Α. 9 It's Code Section 4729-9-02, Minimum Ο. 10 Standards for a Pharmacy. Do you recall this code provision, Agent Pavlich? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 And can you summarize for us what minimum standards for a pharmacy were from the 14 15 Ohio Code perspective? 16 Summarized would be that they have 17 all the operational means available to them to 18 practice pharmacy legally in the state of Ohio. 19 Is this something that you covered Ο. 20 in your inspections, and that is that they were 21 complying with this Ohio Administrative Code 2.2 provision for minimum standards, that's something you specifically checked for? 23 24 Α. I would say yes. 2.5 Q. They appear to cover things like

Page 78

library, equipment, stock of drugs, prescription container, space and fixtures, hours and personnel. Is that something you looked at during your inspections, each of those items?

- A. I would have. I wouldn't possibly document each and every single little thing on my inspection sheet, but if I walked in and they didn't have a law book, I would note library not up to standard. If they didn't have a way of documenting a prescription in a file, I would document it. If they didn't have lights on in the pharmacy, I would document it. But I didn't document each and every thing on every inspection I would observe.
- Q. The last section -- or the next to last section refers to personnel, Subsection G. It says, "The pharmacy shall be appropriately staffed to operate in a safe and effective manner pursuant to Section 4729.55."

Did you look at things like the qualifications and licensing of the pharmacists and support personnel?

- A. Always.
- Q. Agent Pavlich, are you familiar with some pharmacies having what are called pharmacy

Page 79 1 loss prevention departments? Yes, I'm familiar. 2. Α. 3 And do you recall specifically the pharmacy Defendants in this case having pharmacy 4 5 loss prevention departments? I'm not familiar with every single 6 7 one of them, loss prevention, but I'm certain they had them. 8 9 So, for example, Giant Eagle, do you 10 recall an individual by the name of Rick Shaheen 11 being the head of their pharmacy loss prevention 12 department? 13 Α. I do not. 14 Do you recall working with Giant 15 Eagle's loss prevention department in connection 16 with pharmacy diversion matters? 17 Α. I do not. 18 What about any of the other pharmacy 0. Defendants, Rite-Aid, CVS, Walmart, Walgreens? 19 20 I could tell you I probably spoke Α. 21 to people in their loss prevention chain --22 chains, but I primarily dealt with the 23 pharmacist and the pharmacy supervisors. I 24 didn't have too much to do with their loss prevention, unless I really had to, but I can't 25

Page 80 1 speak accurately to that. 2. Ο. All right. Understood. 3 Did you view having a loss prevention department as a good internal 4 5 control to prevent theft and diversion? 6 No. I actually thought -- this is 7 my opinion. I actually thought the loss prevention unit was more a facade than actually 8 9 the staff pharmacist and the pharmacy 10 supervisor who would be on-site. I didn't have 11 much use for the loss prevention people. 12 0. I see. 13 Α. They didn't give me any 14 information. They would never call my office 15 or call me and say, hey, we see this, we see 16 that. Everything they were doing was, in my 17 opinion, internal. I can't recall one time getting a call. 18 19 So you worked primarily with the 20 pharmacists and the pharmacy supervisors rather 21 than --2.2 Α. That's right. 23 And is that because they were more 0. 24 on the ground in terms of your investigation? 25 That's who I held responsible. Α.

Page 81 they weren't there. I have no idea where loss 1 2. prevention was. 3 MR. BARNES: I know we're breaking at 11:30, as agreed, for Mr. Appel, but we've 4 5 been at it for an hour and 50 minutes and I think we should probably take a break, use the 6 7 restroom, get some water, things of that 8 nature. 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 10 record at 10:50. This marks the end of media 1 1 unit number 1. Thank you. 12 (Recess had.) 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:00. This begins media unit 14 15 number 2. 16 BY MR. BARNES: 17 Q. Hello, Agent Pavlich. We're back after a short break. 18 19 I want to direct your attention to 20 the licensing issue. We spoke earlier about 21 pharmacies needing to be licensed. Were you 2.2 involved at all in the licensing process for 23 pharmacies? 24 The process? The only process I was involved in, it was sent to me and I would 2.5

Page 82 bring it to a pharmacy to license them. 1 2. nothing to do with anything down in the office. 3 I see. So you were never part of 0. the licensing division of the board in terms of 4 5 processing applications and checking on qualifications and things of that nature? 6 7 Α. No. Did you have an understanding of 8 9 what it took to get a license to be a pharmacy in Ohio? 10 11 Not really. Α. 12 But in your inspections you checked 0. 13 to make sure that they had an active license? My inspection involved that I would 14 bring the TDDD license, the terminal 15 16 distributor license, to a location that they 17 said was a pharmacy, and I would walk in and look for a human being that had a Board of 18 19 Pharmacy registration license that they were a 20 pharmacist and then I would give them the 21 license after my inspection. 2.2 Ο. So you took the pharmacy license and manually handed it to the licensed pharmacist? 23 24 That is correct. If there was no Α.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

pharmacist, they didn't get a license for a

2.5

pharmacy.

2.

2.2

- Q. I see. I take it you were also not involved at all with the licensing of the pharmacists themselves, that was something outside of your agent duties?
 - A. Totally outside my duty.
- Q. But in your inspections you would check on the active status license for the pharmacist in charge; is that right?
- A. I would ask them for their ID card or look at their ID card that would be on the wall with their board certificate, and look at its current status, if it was for the year 2020, 2019, so on. And if they did not have one there, the ID card that would show it, not just the board plaque, I would call Columbus and ask if they had a valid license, because I caught a few pharmacists that weren't up to standard.
- Q. Okay. If you look at Exhibit 6 in this binder, these are some code provisions,
 Ohio Revised Code provisions related to terminal distributor licenses, and I want to just ask you if you've seen them before.
 - A. I'm familiar with this code.

Q. 4729.54, the terminal distributor license provision?

2.

- A. Yes, I'm familiar with this. Make note, though, it says 12-7-20, so this has been updated a lot I guess since I retired in 2012. There's -- there's changes to this probably and that's where it shows 12-7-20.
- Q. But in the -- in your 25 years as an agent, you understood that the revised code required pharmacies to have licenses, and in order to get a license, they had to submit an application to the board?
 - A. I'm familiar with that.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the couple pages after this first page? There's a provision 4729.55, Terminal Distributor License Requirements.
- A. I'm looking at it. I don't know how familiar I am with this particular section, but go ahead.
- Q. Well, let me ask you this generally. I understand that you retired in 2012, but what did you understand the pharmacy license requirements to be generally?
 - A. A pharmacy license was a document

that authorized them to dispense and sell prescription and controlled substances from that location and to document the sale and dispensing of those substances from that location.

- Q. Okay. If you look at .55, 4729.55, you see there's a list of requirements to get a license, and the first requirement, under A, is "equipped as to land, buildings, and equipment to properly carry on the business of a terminal distributor of dangerous drugs within the category of licensure approved by the board."

 Is that something that you recall being a pharmacy license requirement?
- A. Well, if they didn't have a building, they wouldn't have got a license, they wouldn't be on land and they would have to have equipment in order to proceed, so yes.
- Q. Okay. Under B it says, "A pharmacist, licensed healthcare professional authorized to prescribe drugs, other person authorized by the board will maintain supervision and control over the possession and custody of dangerous drugs and controlled substances that may be acquired by or on behalf

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 86 of the applicant." 1 2. Do you recall that being a 3 requirement that -- for a pharmacy to have a licensed pharmacist on board to provide 4 5 supervision and control over the dangerous 6 drugs? 7 In a pharmacy a licensed pharmacist 8 was required, yes. 9 0. Okay. And Subsection C, "Adequate 10 safeguards are assured to prevent the sale or 11 other distribution of dangerous drugs by any 12 person other than a pharmacist or licensed 13 healthcare professional authorized to prescribe drugs," was that a requirement when you were an 14 15 agent with the board, having adequate 16 safequards? 17 I would say, yes. Α. 18 0. Okay. And D references adequate 19 safequards to practice pharmacy in a safe and 20 effective manner. Is your answer the same, that 21 that was a requirement when you were an agent? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 If you flip to the next page, 4729.551, the Licensing of Retail Sellers, is 24 this a general license requirement for all 25

Page 87 1 retail pharmacies? Α. That's what it says. 3 Could pharmacies be disciplined if they failed to comply with the board's -- the 4 5 Ohio laws and the board's rules related to the operation of a pharmacy? 6 7 Α. Yes. Could the license be suspended or 8 0. 9 revoked, restricted or limited for failure to so 10 comply? 11 Yes. Α. 12 If you look at the next page on 13 this, 4729.57, Disciplinary Actions - Terminal Distributor, are you familiar with that code 14 15 provision or were you familiar with it when you 16 were an agent? 17 Α. I'm familiar with it. 18 And do you recall that being 0. 19 something that could occur if you found 20 violations in the pharmacies, you could cause 21 the pharmacy license to be suspended or revoked 2.2 if the violation was serious? 23 I could send a report down to the Α. 24 board and they could cause it. 2.5 Right. But you would be the one Q.

Page 88 finding the violation and instigating the

- A. I would write a report and send it down, and if they determined it was valid, we would proceed with disciplinary action.
- Q. And did that happen from time to time in your career, you caused a pharmacy license to be suspended or revoked because of a violation of board rules?
 - A. Yes.

matter, right?

2.

2.2

- Q. In order to get a license renewed by the board, a pharmacy has to show continuing compliance with board rules and regulations; is that correct?
- A. They'd have to send their money in first and then they'd get consideration.
- Q. So there's a fee, but it's not a question of you just have to comply at the time of the original license, you have to continue to comply to get renewed; is that correct?
- A. To whatever standard they require down at the board office. It had nothing to do with me.
- Q. Okay. There's a licensing renewal provision in 4729.58. It's the last page of

Page 89 Exhibit 6. Do you recognize this provision? 1 A. I'm not familiar with it, but it's 2. 3 in front of me. Now, the board also had a division 4 5 that regulated and licensed the pharmacists, 6 correct? 7 Yes. I don't know if you would Α. call it a division or a person. 8 9 0. Is Exhibit 7 -- do you recognize 10 these code provisions that govern the practice of pharmacists, including license applications, 11 12 examinations and qualifications? Is that 13 something that you were familiar with? 14 Α. No. 15 0. No, you weren't familiar with that? 16 I had nothing to do with their 17 applications or their examination, zero involvement. 18 19 May I say something? 20 Sure, if it's part of your answer. Q. 21 As I'm sitting here thinking with 22 my little brain, you know, I think I testified earlier that I didn't have no conversation with 23 24 anybody, but I did speak with -- what was it, two days ago -- Attorney Appel and Nicole, 25

2.

Page 90

board staff lawyer, or chief lawyer. You know, I don't want it to be misconstrued that I didn't talk with anyone. I did speak with them on the telephone. Or no. I did it on a Zoom. I did it on a Zoom with them. And there was one other attorney there, too, from the board office, but I don't recall her name. I don't want you to think I didn't talk to anybody. It was like 40 minutes.

- Q. Okay. And that was to prepare for your deposition?
- A. I don't know if it was so much prepare, just talk about a couple things. It wasn't really -- I didn't even look at the folder with them. I didn't look at anything with them. We just talked in generalities, was I comfortable, do I understand, you know, what my duties were when I worked, is my memory bank still functioning, those type of things. Never looked at an exhibit with them, no, never, not one time.
- Q. Are those the only attorneys that you spoke to about your deposition?
- A. Those three, two females and a male.

- Q. All at the Board of Pharmacy?
- A. Well, they were at their homes. I

 don't know if they're all affiliated with the

 Board of Pharmacy.
 - Q. But you understood them to be lawyers representing the Board of Pharmacy?
 - A. I understood two of them to work for the Board of Pharmacy and one being from the AG office, but I could be wrong there.

MR. APPEL: Bob, this is Henry
Appel. I'll represent that it was Michelle
Siba, Nicole Dehner and I spoke with the
witness a couple of days ago with general
witness prep and I did at least speak briefly
with the witness this morning prior to his
testimony.

MR. BARNES: Okay.

- Q. Mr. Pavlich, are you familiar with the Ohio code provisions that regulate the practice of pharmacies, specifically in terms of what pharmacists and pharmacies are supposed to do and comply with?
- A. I'm sure there's a standard in place, but I'm not familiar with it at this point.

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

- Q. Okay. Do you recall as an agent -- did you believe that the practice of pharmacy involved the exercise of professional judgment by pharmacists?
 - A. Absolutely.

2.

2.2

- Q. In what regard did it involve the exercise of professional judgment?
- A. A pharmacist is a healthcare professional who graduated from a licensed institution for the practice of pharmacy, and they are to use all of their training and expertise to dispense prescriptions prescribed legitimately, with a corresponding responsibility to the manner of issuance for a legitimate prescription. That's what I would think.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall any code provisions that defined the practice of pharmacy and what it meant to exercise professional judgment specifically?
- A. You're really testing my memory here. I would say 4729-5-30, Manner of Issuance, pretty well covers corresponding responsibility of a pharmacist to a prescriber for legitimate practice by the prescriber and

Page 93 dispensing by a pharmacist. That code I used 1 2. extensively. That's why I remember it so well. 3 Well, look at Exhibit 8. I just want to make sure I have your testimony as to 4 5 whether or not you recognize these code 6 provisions or don't recognize them. Exhibit 8, 7 the first pages are 4729.01 of the revised code. 8 Do you recognize this code 9 provision? 10 Α. It's been updated, but I'm in 11 belief that it was there when I worked. 12 Do you see under Subsection B it Ο. 13 speaks of "'Practice of pharmacy' means 14 providing pharmacist care requiring specialized knowledge, judgment, and skill derived from the 15 16 principles of biological, chemical, behavioral, 17 social, pharmaceutical and clinical sciences"? 18 I'll stop right there. Is that something that 19 you recall as an agent, knowing that the code 20 had this definition of what it meant to practice 21 pharmacy? 2.2 Α. Not all those specifics. What do you recall, if not those 23 Ο. 24 specifics? 2.5 That a pharmacist shall Α.

Page 94 legitimately dispense prescriptions authorized 1 2. by a legitimate prescriber and they use their 3 knowledge and expertise in dispensing prescriptions. All these other words that are 4 5 coming into play here, maybe the pharmacists used them, maybe they didn't, individual basis. 6 7 There's a listing here of what 0. pharmacist care means, and it includes 8 9 interpreting prescriptions. Is that something 10 that you recall when you were an agent, that 11 that's something that pharmacists did? 12 Yeah. They would interpret a 13 prescription. 14 And they dispensed drugs and drug 0. therapy-related devices; is that right? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 They compounded drugs? Q. Not all of them. That would be few 18 Α. 19 and far in between as a norm, though they were 20 all capable of it I'm sure. Did they provide counseling to 21 22 individuals regarding drug therapy? 23 Α. Yes. 24 All I'm getting at is, if you don't 0. recall the specific code section, are these 25

Page 95 things that pharmacists did when you were 1 2. involved as an agent, these were part of the professional practice of pharmacy? 3 I would hope so. If they didn't, I 4 Α. 5 would take action. Okay. You mentioned a couple of 6 Ο. 7 times the manner of processing prescriptions. If you flip to Exhibit 10 --8 9 Α. Manner of Issuance, but go ahead. 10 10, I'm there. That's it. No. That's manner of processing a prescription. I thought it was 11 12 4729-5-11, but who knows. They could have 13 changed things. 14 All right. I just want to go 0. 15 through this. Do you recognize Exhibit 10 as 16 containing code provisions from the Ohio 17 Administrative Code governing the manner of 18 processing prescriptions that pharmacists were 19 required to follow? 20 A. I don't remember it stated this 21 way, but go ahead. 22 Ο. All right. Let's look at A. 23 prescription, to be valid, must be issued for a 24 legitimate medical purpose by an individual

prescriber acting in the usual course of his/her

Page 96 professional practice." 1 2. Do you recall that code provision? Yeah, I remember those words. 3 Α. And what did it mean to you as an 4 0. 5 agent that the prescriber, an individual prescriber acting in the usual course of his or 6 7 her professional practice? How did you interpret this language? 8 9 The prescriber would have to be 10 licensed and they would issue a prescription 11 for a legitimate medical purpose in the course 12 of an examination of the patient. 13 Ο. And is it an accurate statement, then, Agent Pavlich, that the primary 14 15 responsibility for issuing a prescription rests 16 with the initial prescriber, the doctor who 17 examines the patient? No. It can also rest with the 18 Α. 19 person who has a corresponding responsibility 20 to that physician who dispenses medications to 21 a patient. 2.2 0. Right. We'll get to that. That's the second sentence. 23 Well, you asked me primarily and I 24 Α. 2.5 think it's twofold.

- Q. All right. But the prescriber issues the prescription, and under paragraph -- the first sentence of paragraph A, is it the prescriber's initial responsibility to issue valid prescriptions for legitimate medical purposes? Now we'll get to the second sentence. I'm just trying to see what your interpretation is of the first sentence.
- A. Yeah, the prescriber would have to issue a valid prescription.
- Q. Okay. All right. The beginning of the second -- I'm sorry. The second sentence says, "The responsibility for the proper prescribing is upon the prescriber."

Are you with me so far?

A. Gotcha.

2.2

2.5

- Q. But there's a reference to "A corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who dispenses the prescription," and you've mentioned that several times. Is that the source of the corresponding responsibility?
 - A. That was part of my bible, yes.
- Q. "An order purporting to be a prescription issued not in the usual course of bona fide treatment of a patient is not a

Page 98 prescription and the person knowingly dispensing 1 2. such a purported prescription, as well as the person issuing it, shall be subject to the 3 penalties of law." 4 5 Did you have cause in your career to enforce these provisions on prescribers and 6 7 pharmacists? Many times. 8 Α. 9 Did you investigate and prosecute 10 doctors for failing in their responsibility to 11 issue legitimate prescriptions? 12 Many times. Α. 13 Ο. Did you investigate and prosecute 14 pharmacists for failing to meet their 15 corresponding responsibility? 16 Also many times. Α. 17 Okay. There's a reference in here Q. 18 to the person -- "and the person knowingly 19 dispensing such a purported prescription, as 20 well as the person issuing it, shall be subject to the penalties of law." What does the term 21 22 "knowingly" mean in that provision based upon 23 your experience as an agent? 24 You're talking a person knowingly Α. 25 dispensing such a purported prescription, is

that what you're referring to?

2.

1.3

- Q. Yes. I'm focusing on the term "knowingly." What, in your experience as an agent, did that mean in terms of your investigations and prosecutions?
- A. Knowingly is one of the four culpable mental states, so that's the second highest tier. Purposefully is the highest tier. So to me that's a pretty high requirement, knowingly dispensing. Not negligently. It's knowingly.
- Q. And what would the pharmacist have to know in order to have it be a violation of the law?
- A. That they're knowingly giving medication, controlled or a dangerous drug, to a patient based upon a valid prescription.

 They know it by the document in front of them.

 And if they don't, they need to make a phone call or you verify what you have.
- Q. Is it accurate to say that pharmacists, in order to violate that provision, would have to know that the prescription was not legitimate?
 - A. Well, knowledge, yes.

O. If you focus --

2.2

- A. There are mistakes. And I will tell you that -- I mean, I'm human. I've made mistakes. Whether it's they're busy, they're not paying attention, they're distracted. We all make mistakes. But not -- as I -- as I would speak to pharmacists, I would tell them, "Hey, anybody can fill a bad script, it could get by, I understand, but when you fill one and you fill two and you fill ten and you fill 20, then that's not a mistake." But I could see error in judgment on things, and that happens. I used to miss stuff, and I'm far from being a pharmacist, but, as they say, shit happens.
- Q. These code provisions continue in paragraph B and it sets forth five subsections that a pharmacist, when dispensing a prescription, must do, and in order of listing, "Ensure that patient information is profiled pursuant to Rule 4729-5-18. Can we call that the patient profile requirement?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what did you understand that to mean? If it helps, these code provisions are right behind these pages. 4729-5-18 is called

Patient Profiles, and it's right behind Section 5-21, if it helps refresh --

- A. With reference to 18, that whatever they were dispensing was being prepared in a patient profile, in other words, the date, the drug, the quantity, the doctor, so on and so forth. That's a profile.
- Q. So part of this code provision as to how pharmacists are supposed to dispense medications, prescriptions, the number one step is have a patient profile that meets certain requirements in Code Section 5-18, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.

- Q. Is that something that you looked for in your inspections, to make sure that the pharmacies had patient profiles in their systems, either computerized or otherwise?
- A. Yes, computerized or, like in my early career, manually a lot of times --
 - Q. Okay.
- A. -- which would be a paper copy, a paper profile.
- Q. So you were enforcing these code Sections, 5-21 and 5-18, during your inspections, correct?

Page 102 Yeah, I would be looking at them. 1 Α. 2 Ο. The second step says, "Perform 3 prospective drug utilization review pursuant to 4729-5-20." 4 5 MR. BARNES: And we'll have to stop there because we promised Mr. Appel that we 6 7 would take a half-hour break so he can take care of some personal matters, so we'll take --8 9 we're going to take our lunch, I guess, 11:30 to 12:30, and resume at 12:30. 10 11 THE WITNESS: Whatever you need. I 12 can go if you want. 13 MR. BARNES: No. We told Henry -he needs a half hour, I think, to take care of 14 15 some childcare matters. Is that right, Henry? 16 MR. APPEL: Yes. I've got to get a 17 kid on the bus by noon and make sure he's fed 18 and ready. 19 MR. BARNES: All right. We'll see 20 everybody at 12:30. 21 22 (Luncheon recess taken.) 23 24 25

Page 103 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on 1 2 the record at 12:31 and this marks the 3 beginning of media unit number 3. 4 5 AFTERNOON SESSION CONTINUED EXAMINATION OF GEORGE P. PAVLICH 6 7 BY MR. BARNES: Good afternoon, Mr. Pavlich. We're 8 Ο. 9 back after a lunch break, and when we left, we 10 were dealing with Exhibit 10, 4729-5-21, Manner 11 of Processing a Prescription, and we were on 12 Section B(2), the second step of what the 13 regulation requires a pharmacist to do, and that 14 says, "Perform drug utilization review pursuant to 4729-5-20." 15 16 Is that what you recall being the 17 second step of the regulatory requirement for 18 processing a prescription? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Now, a couple pages back is Section Q. 21 5-20, Prospective Drug Utilization Review. 22 you with me? 23 I'm with you. 24 Ο. Okay. And you see that this sets forth, I'll call it, the DUR requirements, which 25

is step one of the manner of processing regulation. Does this regulation look familiar to you and is it something that you were aware of as part of your enforcement and compliance inspections?

- A. I'm aware of it, yes.
- Q. Okay. And the DUR process, I think you told us a little bit about that earlier. The DUR process includes things like evaluating over-utilization or under-utilization, therapeutic duplication, drug disease state contraindications, drug-drug interactions, incorrect drug dosage, drug allergy interactions, abuse/misuse, inappropriate duration of drug treatment, and food-nutritional supplements. Is that how you understood DUR when you were an agent?
- A. Those are things the pharmacists would be doing with their DUR. I didn't get into all of those specifics.
- Q. But you knew that that was something that the DUR encompassed from a regulatory standpoint; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.5

Q. And this DUR regulation continues in

2.2

Page 105

B, subsection B. It says, "A pharmacist, using professional judgment, shall take appropriate steps to avoid or resolve the potential problem." I guess if there is a problem. It says, "These steps may include requesting and reviewing an OARRS report or another state's report, pursuant to paragraph (D) of this rule, and/or consulting with a prescriber and/or counseling the patient."

What do you understand this provision of the DUR regulation to require a pharmacist to do? And I'm specifically talking about when you were doing your inspections.

- A. Responsibility was solely to the pharmacist who dispensed the prescription and manually initialed and placed their initials on a prescription. They had the responsibility of what they dispensed off of that prescription, not the four other or two other pharmacists working in there. They were responsible for that prescription.
- Q. And this regulation, Subsection B, references professional judgment, which we've talked about, and it says it may include requesting and reviewing an OARRS request or

another state's report. And so part of the DUR process could include an OARRS report under some circumstances. Is that how you understood it, that it was within the professional judgment of the pharmacist to request an OARRS report?

A. Yes.

- Q. And, similarly, it was also within the professional judgment of the pharmacist, depending upon his DUR review, to either consult with the prescriber and/or counsel the patient? I mean, that's -- I'm just reading the regulation, but is that how you understood it, that as part of the DUR process, it was up to the pharmacist to determine whether or not he was going to investigate any problems -- in his judgment he could either consult with the prescriber or counsel the patient?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Down below, in Subsection D, it references requesting an OARRS report, and it -- under D, 1 through 6, there are six factors or conditions here for when the pharmacist should request an OARRS report. Now, I know you retired in March of '12, but I want to ask you, do you recognize any of these six conditions as

Page 107 1 being applicable for when you were an agent? 2. And I know OARRS was in place for about six 3 years when you were an agent. So take a look at 1 through 6 and see if they jog your memory as 4 to something that was in -- was applicable in 5 the last six years of your career. 6 7 What attachment is this? Α. I'm sorry? 8 0. 9 Α. What attachment are we talking 10 about here? 11 We're talking about Exhibit 10 and Ο. 12 we're on the page -- approximately page 4, 13 4729 - 5 - 20. Wait a minute. Okay. I'm there. 14 Α. 15 0. Down at the bottom, the bottom third 16 of the page is Subsection D. Do you see that? 17 Yes, I see it. Α. 18 And underneath D, there's D-1 through D-6, and I wanted you to take a look at 19 20 the 1 through 6 and tell me for each one if 21 that's something that you remembered being part 22 of the regulations when you were an agent. 23 Well, I don't know if they were all Α. 24 there when I was an agent because this thing is effective 3-1-2017 on the back page of that. 2.5

- Q. Correct, but below that there's prior effective dates going all the way back to '76.
- A. Yeah, I see that. So I'm saying I don't know to what extent it is the same as when I was an agent.
 - O. So --

- A. I'm looking at them.
- Q. Okay. For example, number 1 says, essentially, check OARRS if a patient adds a new or different reported drug. Is that something that you recall?
- A. No. I don't know how a patient would add a new or reported drug to their therapy that was not previously included unless they brought in a new prescription and that's their way of reporting it. You know, I don't understand what one means.
- Q. What about two, an OARRS report has not been reviewed for the patient during the preceding 12 months; do you recall that requirement or that condition for requesting an OARRS report?
- A. I don't recall a time frame as to if it was every patient they had to do an OARRS

report or every five dispensings or ten. I don't recall what the exact requirement was.

That's what this says but I don't recall this.

Q. Well, number three and four speak of a prescriber or a patient being located outside the usual pharmacy geographic area.

Do you see those two things?

A. I see them.

2.

2.5

- Q. Did you have any understanding of what it meant to be outside the usual pharmacy geographic area?
 - A. Oh, I understand what it means.
- Q. What does it mean in your experience?
- A. Well, if a patient is coming to Mahoning County with a prescription from Florida, from Ashtabula, Lake County, and I was a pharmacist, I would be questioning why are you driving all this distance or why are you obtaining a prescription, especially a controlled substance prescription, from such a long geographic area. I would be calling it into question.
- Q. Okay. Did the board have a set number of miles that defined the term "outside

Page 110 the usual pharmacy geographic area" --1 Α. No. 3 -- or was it subjective based upon Ο. pharmacy judgment? 4 5 Based on judgment. Okay. So whether a prescriber or a 6 0. 7 patient is outside the usual pharmacy geographic area is something that was up to the pharmacist 8 9 to determine based upon his knowledge and 10 experience? 11 Α. Yes. I mean, if a pharmacist in a 12 pharmacy in Mahoning County got a prescription 13 from the Cleveland Clinic from a pain 14 management doctor, it would come to my 15 attention if I was looking at the 16 prescriptions, but I wouldn't null and void it. 17 But if it came from a general practice doctor, 18 family practice doctor, from Cleveland, and 19 they're filling it in Mahoning County, that 20 would draw attention to me. 21 And so under this regulation, that 22 if those types of factors are present in the 23 judgment of the pharmacist, what he's supposed 24 to do under the regulation is check OARRS; is 2.5 that correct?

- A. That would be one thing.
- Q. Well, that's why I'm looking specifically at the regulation. Subsection D says, "Prior to dispensing an outpatient prescription for a reported drug, the pharmacist shall request and review an OARRS report covering at least the one-year time period," and then it lists the six types of circumstances. So what I'm getting at is, if a pharmacist thinks the prescriber is outside the usual pharmacy geographic area, what he's supposed to do in his judgment is to say I'm going to check OARRS or I should check OARRS, according to the regulation, right?

MR. WEINBERGER: Objection to form.

Go ahead.

- Q. You can answer.
- A. Well, if it was the first time the patient walked in the pharmacy, and it was the beginning of their career to divert drugs, and the pharmacist runs an OARRS report and they had never seen another doctor or diverted any drugs by any means, the OARRS report is going to show nothing, and then if they did it, covering at least a one-year period or whenever

2.

2.2

2.5

they do it again, they would have no knowledge the first time the patient walked in the pharmacy if it was the first time.

- Q. I understand. That's a function of how the OARRS database works, though, right?
- A. Yeah. I mean, that's -- what's inputted is what's kicked back, so the beginning of someone doing something may not show, but if they run it a year later or six months later and this person is on the road to destruction, it may come up. It will come up, not may. It will.
- Q. But from pharmacy to pharmacy and the pharmacist to pharmacist, applying this regulation -- this regulatory term, "outside the usual pharmacy geographic area," is a pharmacy-specific and pharmacist-specific facts and circumstances judgment call; am I correct?

 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection.
 - A. Yes.

2.

Q. Paragraph five says, "A pharmacist has reason to believe the patient has received prescriptions for reported drugs for more than one prescriber in the preceding three months, unless the prescriptions are from prescribers

who practice at the same physical location." How did you understand that provision to operate when you were an agent? Well, that's the initial flag as to Α. patients seeing multiple doctors and getting multiple controlled substances. It doesn't necessarily mean, when you see two different prescribers on a patient, that it's taboo, because a patient can go to a family practice doctor and then also be seeing a pain management doctor, could also be seeing someone else, but those doctors then should be having conversation as to what they're prescribing for their specific patient. If a family practice doctor is prescribing opiates, hopefully the pain management doctor isn't, or is in

different doctors. And if I then saw similar opiates, you know, like an oxycodone or a Methadone or a hydromorphone, it would really get my attention then.

conjunction with that prescribing of the first

doctor. That's my understanding. And it would

catch my attention right away when I look at a

profile and I would see one, two, three, four

Q. Right. And then you would want to

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

investigate further to see if those prescriptions were legitimate by talking to doctors?

- A. I had a set form that I would go see the doctor with and it had like eight questions or so specific to what I wanted to know; were you aware looking at this profile of this doctor when you were prescribing this medication similar to that doctor, and they would yes or no me and proceed with my investigation by what their answers were.
- Q. Well, in your experience, how would a pharmacist have reason to believe that the patient has been -- has received prescriptions for reported drugs from more than one prescriber in the preceding three months?
- A. Well, before OARRS, pharmacists used to communicate with each other, and -- or they would get a prescription and maybe it might have a mark on it from, let's say, a Rite-Aid, and they bring it to a Walgreens, and the pharmacist sees that they went to a Rite-Aid, so they're going to call it, but it wasn't dispensed, and ask them, hey, why didn't you dispense this drug, and the pharmacist said

2.

1.3

2.2

for various reasons and explained to them. And then that pharmacist may or may not fill that prescription. Maybe they didn't have that drug in stock. I mean, there's various factors that come into play. But when OARRS came into existence, it was a whole different utilization review process.

Q. Okay. And then the last factor, number six, "Patient is exhibiting signs of potential abuse or diversion. This includes, but is not limited to, over-utilization, early refills, appears overly sedated or intoxicated upon presenting a prescription for a reported drug, or an unfamiliar patient requesting a reported drug by specific name, street name, color, or identifying marks."

How did you understand that portion of the regulation to operate?

A. Well, I would ask a pharmacist, if his patient is getting 900 Dilaudid, what's he look like when he walks in the pharmacy, you know, or -- you know, what was their condition, were they in there with anybody else, how did they pay for this prescription, did they pay cash or were they doing insurance. I mean,

there's various questions I would ask regarding these factors. And, I mean, I used to see some of these patients coming into these pharmacies and question the pharmacist myself; this guy doesn't look like he's consuming this quantity of drugs, what do you think, and proceed.

- Q. Did some of these things come up in the drug utilization review process? For example, over-utilization, that's something that was looked at in the DUR process?
 - A. Yes.

2.

- Q. And then these other factors, appears overly sedated or intoxicated, that's a fact-specific time of presentation of prescription factor, is that correct, and it's somewhat subjective?
- A. Well, that's the observation I guess you would say.
- Q. Okay. Go to Subsection G on the next page, if you flip the page over. We've seen this similar type provision. It's not exactly the same. But this is the -- another provision talking about the validity of a prescription must be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual prescriber

acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. We've seen that similar language elsewhere, correct?

A. Correct.

2.

2.2

2.5

Q. And then it says, "The responsibility for the proper prescribing is upon the prescriber, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who dispenses the prescription. Based upon information obtained during a prospective drug utilization review, a pharmacist shall use professional judgment when making a determination about the legitimacy of a prescription."

So doing the drug utilization review, which is in the earlier portion of this regulation, based upon that information, did you understand that the regulation required the pharmacist to then use their professional judgment as to whether or not a prescription was legitimate?

A. Yeah. In some cases you didn't even have to. Yes, I understand the drug utilization review by a pharmacist, but in some cases you didn't even have to use the drug

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 118

utilization review to determine legitimate
medical purpose. For an example, a dentist
writing an Adipex, which is a weight
amphetamine, that should come immediately into
concern rather than even using a utilization
review, or a family practice doctor prescribing
multiple controlled pain medication
prescriptions versus a pain management doctor.
All of these factors would come into review by
myself, not a pharmacist, and immediately
should come to the attention of a corresponding
responsibility of a pharmacist to a doctor.

- Q. Okay. So you're saying there are some circumstances where you don't even need to do a DUR, there are certain things that would lead you to believe that they should call a prescription into question?
- A. Right, not legitimate medical purpose. I mean, right on its face value would be called into question.
- Q. But ultimately the pharmacist has to use their professional judgment based upon the information that they have, correct?
 - A. Yes, they should.
 - Q. And they're not required to dispense

a prescription of doubtful, questionable or suspicious origin? Do you see that final sentence in the regulation? In other words, they can exercise their professional judgment and refuse to fill or exercise their professional judgment and fill? Is that how you understood it?

You know, I held pharmacists to Α. that expectation always; you're responsible, you put your initials, you dispense the drug, you're responsible. I had pharmacists, though -- I had a pharmacy supervisor one time tell me -- I remember -- specifically saying, "Well, he wrote it. We're just dispensing it." And I referred him back to corresponding responsibility. I remember distinctly talking to him about that. And he didn't know what to say then. And I remember bringing out the law book and putting it right in front of him, and said, "Yeah, really." And he passed. He's deceased now. He worked for one of your chains. And that pretty much solved my problems with pharmacists whenever they would say, "Well, I'm just dispensing it. You know, he wrote it." And I would go, "Yeah, really,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

corresponding responsibility. What does that say?" That's how I addressed it.

- Q. Okay. So you had to set some pharmacists straight on what their corresponding responsibility was from time to time?
- A. Yeah. You know, it was -- there was some laxity before I became an agent with the board and Bob Cole became the agent supervisor. There was a lot of things going on, and Bob Cole, who was a former special agent with DEA, became my supervisor and everything changed. And we were told here's how you're going to handle these things, not like it was done in the past. And that's how we handled it. I mean, the older pharmacists were kind of set in their ways when I first came to the board, and they got a rude awakening when the first two agents, myself and Christopher Reed, were hired. Things changed.
- Q. So beginning in 1987, the board got tougher on its enforcement of the Ohio rules and regulations?
- A. Extremely tougher. Tim Benedict went down to Columbus. He was the pharmacist assigned to my geographic -- he went down,

2.

became the number two guy. Frank Wickham was 1 2. the director. He was a pharmacist. And we were told in short to go out there and enforce 3 the criminal and administrative code, show no 4 5 favoritism. And I showed no favoritism. If it 6 was an independent or if it was a chain, I treated them all the same. I treated them all very fair. And I would tell them, "Your bible 8 9 is that utilization and these prescriptions. 10 You follow that, you'll never have a problem 11 with me. You don't, deal with it." 12 And that was a much stricter regimen Ο. 13 than pre-1987; is that what you're saying? 14 Yeah, at least in my -- my 15 knowledge. I mean, I wasn't here in 19 --16 before 1987. I was on the Youngstown Police 17 Department. 18 Okay. And did pharmacists get in line after 1987 with the new, stricter 19 20 enforcement? 21 A. Oh, yeah. They realized who I was.

A. Oh, yeah. They realized who I was. I mean, I had a pharmacist supervisor one time tell me, "Are you a pharmacist," trying to intimidate me.

And I went, "No."

22

23

24

Page 122 And he goes, "Are you a health 1 2 professional?" And I said, "No." 3 And he said, "Well, who are you to 4 5 tell me that I can't -- or can't dispense this 6 or dispense this?" And I said, "I'm the guy that's 7 going to put you in prison if you do." 8 9 Never had another trouble with that 10 pharmacist ever. So word spread. 11 Okay. Go back to 5-20 of this 12 regulation, the prospective -- I'm sorry, not 13 5-20. That's the prospective drug utilization review. There's another, 5-21, the first page 14 15 of Exhibit 10. And we were going down the five 16 steps for the prescription process. We're now 17 on the third step, ensure the drug is labeled. 18 What did you understand the labeling requirement 19 to be? And if it helps, 5-16 is attached a 20 couple pages behind here. 21 Patient name, patient address; 22 doctor's name, if controlled substance, the 23 name of the drug, the quantity of the drug, day 24 supply, refills if so noted, pharmacist 25 initials.

- Q. Is that something that you checked in your inspections of the pharmacies, to make sure they were complying with this step three, manner of processing a prescription or meeting the labeling requirements?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. The fourth step is "Ensure that a patient is given an offer to counsel pursuant to 4729-5-22."
- Did you enforce the patient counseling regulation as part of your inspections?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then the step five, the last step listed here, is "Ensure that a prescription is filed pursuant to 4729-5-09."
- Is that a recordkeeping requirement that you enforced in your inspections?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Mr. Pavlich, other than these five steps, are you aware of Ohio law or regulations requiring a pharmacist to follow any other steps other than these five listed steps in the regulation?
 - A. I believe there's a section

somewhere that says they shall report to the Board of Pharmacy or local law enforcement criminal activity.

- O. Okay. But in terms of --
- A. And/or theft of drugs.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. All right. But in terms of how they were supposed to process specific prescriptions, the regulation pretty specifically lists five separate steps, and my question to you is, are you aware of any other step that Ohio law said the pharmacist must follow in processing a prescription beyond these five steps?
- A. I don't know unless you specifically direct me to it. I'm not aware.
- Q. Now, Mr. Pavlich, the board had a right to randomly and, without notice, inspect pharmacies as a condition of getting their license from the board; is that correct?
- A. Within their hours of operation, yes.
- Q. I want to direct your attention to Exhibit 11, and this is Administrative Code Section 4729:5-3-03, Inspections and Corrective Actions.

Do you recognize this as the

regulation that empowers the Board of Pharmacy to send in agents to inspect pharmacies?

A. I am by looking at it.

2.

- Q. All right. Does it jar your memory as to this is the regulation that gave the board the authority to inspect?
 - A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- Q. Paragraph A says that "An entity licensed by the State Board of Pharmacy as a terminal distributor of dangerous drugs is subject to an on-site inspection by the board." So these inspections you understood were physical visits by the agents to the stores, not remote, check the record type things, they were actually on-site inspections?
- A. Right. An inspection is an on-site.
- Q. It says, "An authorized board agent may, without notice, carry out an on-site inspection or investigation of an entity licensed by the board. Upon verification of the board agent's credentials, the agent shall be permitted to enter the licensed entity."

Is that how you recall it worked?

I guess you said you did 50 inspections a year

Page 126 1 for about 25 years. 2. I mean, I was required to. I mean, I pretty much did the best I could to do 50 a 3 year, full inspections. Wait a minute. Let me 4 5 get out one of my Board of Pharmacy cards here, as a matter of fact. 6 7 Are these the credentials you would 0. show the pharmacist when you showed up? 8 9 Α. Well, this is one of the cards I would give them. This is with my retirement 10 11 stuff there. And it says, "You are requested 12 to permit the above-named agent to inspect all 13 drug stocks and records pursuant to ORC Section 14 3719.27 and OAC Rule 4729-5-29." That was on 15 my card. And when I would go to a pharmacy, 16 I'd pretty much hand them my card and say, "I'm 17 here to do an inspection, " even if they knew 18 me. I would pass these things out like lot 19 lottery tickets. 20 And were your inspections normally Ο. 21 without notice? Yeah. Yes. 22 Α. 23 And was there a reason for that, why 0. you did it without notice? 24

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Well, I didn't want them to get all

25

Α.

tidy and neat before I walked in the door.

- Q. Okay. So you would show your credentials, show your card, and then you had a full right under the Ohio laws to make a full inspection of the pharmacy?
 - A. Correct.

2.

2.2

Q. Subsection B of this regulation says, "Submission of an application for a license as a terminal distributor of dangerous drugs with the State Board of Pharmacy constitutes permission for entry and on-site inspection by an authorized board agent."

Did you ever have to resort to that provision if you encountered resistance to your inspection?

- A. I would generally say no. I'm not going to say that it never happened, that I didn't have a pharmacist or pharmacist try to throw us out, because it did happen.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall any of those incidences as we sit here today or --
- A. Oh, I remember very clearly Kinsman Pharmacy, which was an independent store. I walked in with my agent supervisor and two other agents and they got very confrontational

with us. They lost the pharmacy and both pharmacists lost their licenses over that and a few other things. But that was Kinsman Pharmacy and that was around late 1990s.

- Q. In what county was Kinsman Pharmacy located?
 - A. Trumbull.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. And what were they doing that caused them to lose their license?
- A. Trafficking in drugs, illegal processing of drug documents, a lot of that type of stuff.
- Q. According to this regulation, if you as an inspector identified a violation -- and I'm looking at Subsection C -- you were supposed to provide a written report and you had a right to demand a written response from the pharmacy if you found a potential violation; is that right?
- A. Yes. I would document what I found, whether it was minor, you know, like something minor. You know, not all the prescriptions have the pharmacist's manual initials. They had computer initials. So I would note it. If it was something major, I

0.

Page 129

might not say anything initially and leave the pharmacy and regroup or call my supervisor, should I say, and say, "I see a lot of problems in here. What do you think?" And then proceed at that time. But most normally I would document on the inspection sheet what was happening.

And if you found a violation -- or the violations could include violating any rule

We'll get to those in a second.

- of the board or any provision of Chapter 4729 of the code, as listed in the regulation here?
 - A. Yes. I usually would write that code down. I had -- I would try to be very thorough when I did an inspection and I wanted to bring something to the attention of the pharmacist. I wouldn't just say, "Hey, you don't have manual initials on here." I would put that code to that manual initial

requirement. That's how I did it.

Q. One potential violation listed here is violation of any provision of the Federal Drug Abuse Control laws or regulations. So you were not only looking for violations of Ohio law, you were looking for violations of federal

law?

2.

- A. I would sometimes list both, yes, federal and state code; sometimes, not always. Like if -- I would give -- I couldn't find their DEA license or I couldn't find their 222 forms, which was their order forms for ordering controlled substances, and I couldn't find them or I couldn't find the order they were in, you know, something like that, I might list the federal code, too.
- Q. But you could cite a pharmacy for not complying with federal laws as well as Ohio laws, correct?
- A. I could, but I didn't for general things. It wasn't my forte. I would go after -- I didn't go after smoke. I went after fires.
- Q. Now, when you did your inspections, did you follow a manual? Did the board have a manual for its agents that provided what they were supposed to be doing in their inspections and the regulations and statutory citations that supported the different areas? Do you remember a manual of any sort or guidelines or checklists for inspections?

A. No. I had a law book, which was called The Drug Laws of Ohio, and was about that thick (indicating), and all the codes and regulations, federal, state, administrative, you name it, was in it, and my boss told me go out and enforce them. I worked with him for a couple of months, got an idea what I needed to do, and that was it. There was no specific guideline other than what was on the inspection report, left column. You know, it had -- I don't know -- 20 things documented, license, different things, recordkeeping. You know, you kind of use it as a small guideline, but I did my own thing.

- Q. Well, Exhibit 12 has an inspection guide for outpatient pharmacies that was taken from the board website. Now, it's dated 2020, well after you retired. I just want to know if you've ever seen an inspection guide like this for when you were working at the board?
 - A. Never.

2.2

- Q. Never?
- A. Never. We never had one of these.

 We were pretty much turned loose. Not

 everybody did inspections the same as each one,

as -- like I said, I tried to be very thorough on a full inspection, but I seen inspections by other agents, even when I was working and I would go I'd be ashamed to turn those in, and like one word, "okay." I mean, come on.

- Q. You had higher standards for --
- A. That was my personal way of doing what I did. I didn't want my boss in Columbus or during an administrative hearing or some other process bring something to my attention and say, "What's this mean?" I knew what I wrote because I wrote it up, at least I tried to. You know, I tried to be thorough. You know, I seen inspection reports and I'm like, boy, you're lucky I'm not your supervisor.
- Q. Okay. Was there an inventory regulation that required the pharmacies to conduct inventories on a certain periodic basis?
 - A. Yes.

2.

- Q. Is it shown in Exhibit 13?
- A. Yes, controlled substances inventory requirement. I think it was every two years, but don't hold me to that.
- Q. Well, as of 3-1 of '19 it says annual now, but is it your recollection that

when you were an agent that it was two years?

2.

2.5

- A. It could have been one year. Off the top of my head I was saying two years they had to do one, but --
- Q. Okay. And so that was a regulatory requirement, actually physically count your controlled substance inventories at least once a year, if not every two years?
- A. Yes. The purpose of that was if I had to go in there and do an audit, I have a starting and an ending base.
- Q. Okay. And Section D of this regulation says that the inventory records shall be maintained for a period of three years from the completion date of the inventory and made readily retrievable.

Is that your recollection of recordkeeping requirements imposed by the board, three years for inventory records?

- A. Yeah, that sounds about right.
- Q. Do you know why it was set at three years and not some other time period?
- A. Pharmacists used to maintain records a lot more than three years, but -- they would go like seven years, from what I

Page 134

recall. Three years was usually the scope of what would be, in my case, a criminal investigation doing a fallback. I mean, if you can't figure something out within a three-year window, then you're not too good at what you're doing. So it might have been why that was in place. I don't know. I didn't write this.

- Q. In your inspections did you ever recall seeing pharmacies do inventories more than annually, as required by the regulations?
- A. I would say yeah, sometimes. If they had a theft of drugs or something, they would do an inventory. If they had something they found suspicious, like, you know, some recordkeeping problem, they would do an inventory.
- Q. But if you -- if you had a pharmacy that was doing regular inventory, say on a monthly basis instead of yearly or biannually, did you view that as a good control?
- A. I would view it as a good control.

 I know pharmacy supervisors or chains would go in there and do things like that.
- Q. Now, the reason why you're here today, Mr. Pavlich, is because you conducted

Page 135 some investigations of the retail pharmacies 1 2. owned by the pharmacy Defendants, including 3 Giant Eagle. Do you recall inspecting Giant Eagle pharmacies in Trumbull County? 4 5 Α. I did. If you look at Exhibit 14, this is a 6 0. 7 table that I had prepared based upon inspection reports produced by the Board of Pharmacy, and 8 9 you see you're listed number 2 under William 10 Edwards, Trey Edwards -- you're listed as having 11 conducted 21 inspections of Giant Eagle 12 pharmacies from 11-26 of '91 to 12-1 of '11. 13 None of them were done in Lake County and all 21 14 were done in Trumbull County. 15 Do you see that? 16 I see it. Α. 17 Q. Does that seem accurate to you, that 18 the pharmacies that you inspected, the Giant 19 Eagle pharmacies were all in Trumbull County and 20 it was approximately 21 or so inspections in 21 that 20-year time period? 2.2 Α. Based on this, yes. 23 Okay. And you didn't inspect Giant 0. 24 Eagle pharmacies in Lake County because that was not your area, your geographic area; is that 25

Page 136 1 right? 2. Α. That's correct, what would be 3 called a normal, full inspection. I only did it in my county unless I was specifically sent 4 5 somewhere to do something by my boss. I would do them only in my geographic. 6 7 I see. Okay. Exhibit 16 are the 0. inspection reports that you prepared for Giant 8 9 Eagle pharmacies in Trumbull County. There's approximately, as I said, 21 of them. Have 10 you -- Exhibit 16. Are you with me? 11 12 Okay, I gotcha. Α. 13 Ο. Do these appear to be your 14 inspection reports signed by you on the dates 15 indicated for your inspections of Giant Eagle 16 pharmacies? In other words, is this your 17 handwriting? 18 Α. I'm looking. I'm looking. Those are my inspection signatures, yes. 19 20 And your handwriting on the Q. 21 inspection reports; is that right? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. Giant Eagle had six 0. 24 pharmacies in Trumbull County. Of the 21 25 inspections that you did, 11 were done at store

number 1419 on Elm Road in Warren. How did you choose which pharmacies to inspect and on what periodic basis? How did you end up with 21 inspections of the six Giant Eagle pharmacies in Trumbull County and how did you determine who was going to get inspected more or less?

I didn't determine who should get inspected more or less. I just -- in my travels -- let's say I was at an independent a couple blocks from one of the chains, so I might have been in that pharmacy six months ago and I'd go back in because it was convenient and close. I would try to do every pharmacy, though during that time period -- and that was -- I don't remember -- a year or two -sometimes I fell behind. I was pretty busy. But I didn't have any set pattern to go do one store more than another unless I had something specific I was looking at. Then I might be in there a few more times. But I didn't have any standard that I was going to go to Rite-Aids or Giant Eagle or Walgreens this month, that month, this year, that year. I did them as I did them.

Q. And, generally speaking, you would

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Page 138 try to inspect every pharmacy about every one to 1 2. two years you said, give or take? 3 I tried but I didn't always fall --Α. you know, I mean, I forget how many sites I 4 5 I mean, I had first aid, EMS, pharmacies. You name it, I was responsible. I didn't do 6 7 the hospitals. I didn't do nursing homes. But think of all the other facilities in those 8 9 counties I had to inspect, issuing new licenses, and do 40 or 50 investigations that I 10 11 carried at a time. So I did the best I could. 12 Q. Okay. The first inspection in 13 Exhibit 16 is of a Giant Eagle in Niles, Ohio, store number 1405. 14 15 I know this pharmacist very well, 16 excellent pharmacist. 17 Who is the pharmacist? Q. 18 Edward Louis Ting. He now runs an Α. independent pharmacy in Kinsman, Ohio. At 19 20 least when I retired he did. Excellent 21 pharmacist. His father was a doctor. 2.2 And by excellent does that 23 include -- well, why don't you tell me what you 24 mean by excellent? 25 When I walked in the pharmacy,

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Α.

practiced professionally, was courteous, was not evasive, was everything I would do if I was a pharmacist and a state agent came walking into my pharmacy.

- Q. Was he always cooperative with you in the inspections?
 - A. He was excellent.
- Q. Did Pharmacist Ting ever assist you or provide you with any leads concerning potential diversion at his pharmacy?
 - A. He did.

2.5

- Q. And can you give me some examples of what he would give you leads on?
- A. Well, he gave me -- I know specifically on Kinsman Pharmacy. I mean, he worked hand and fist with me when I was doing that specific investigation. He owned an independent pharmacy in Kinsman. He wasn't working for Giant Eagle at that time. But Eddie Ting was well above board, never ever questioned anything. His wife is a pharmacist too, now that I think about it.
- Q. And the five times that you inspected this store, did you find Pharmacist Ting to be open and honest with you and fully

- cooperative and provide you with whatever records you asked for?
- A. Well, I guess it would be documented in my inspection report, what I found or knew. I can't remember every specific incident, but I always considered him an excellent pharmacist in generality.
- Q. Okay. This first inspection report is way back in 1991, so this is -- it's going back some time. I've read this report and it includes a barricade report at the back of it.

 Am I correct that this was a clean inspection for this store at this point in time?
 - A. Yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. And so we see this index of 1 through 35 items along the left. You referenced that earlier. Are these the areas of inspection that you had the right to inspect, in your judgment, every time you went in to one of the pharmacies?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you use your judgment, your best judgment, in picking whichever areas you were going to focus on, including in a full inspection? Was it up to you to say I'm going

to do these items and not those items for whatever reason, but something in your judgment that you were able to do as an agent?

- A. I pretty much tried to follow that.
- Q. Okay. And so if there was a problem in the inspection, it would be noted somewhere in the inspection report, perhaps as a follow-up item that you wanted the pharmacist to deal with, correct?
- Yeah. It would just be an Α. attention-drawing thing I might -- I wouldn't pink sheet him. There's two ways of doing it. Well, there's three ways. You could proceed in a criminal investigation. But a clean inspection would just document some highlights for the board's purpose, like what kind of hardware they have in the pharmacy for their computer system, what type of barricade they got, that type of thing. Then if I found something minor, you know, maybe a few prescriptions without manual initials, I might note it but I wouldn't pink sheet them. But if I found something a step above that, then I would issue them what's called a pink sheet, that came with white, green, pink and yellow, I

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

Page 142

think, sheets, four-fold, and I would give them a pink sheet and they would have to write an explanation of how they were going to correct the deficiency as to what I noted on the pink sheet. That's pretty much it, yeah. I sort of followed that, but that wasn't written in stone that we had to, but that's what I did.

- Q. Going forward in this exhibit, if you go about five or six pages into it, it's got a Bates number ending in 0646.
 - A. Where am I looking for, 0646?
- Q. The bottom right. It starts with BOP_MDL2800646. I'm just focusing on the last four numbers.
- A. Okay, I got it. I got it. Giant Eagle at Elm Road.
- Q. Yes. This is store 1419, personnel
 Daniel Yocum.
 - A. I remember him.
 - Q. Did you have the same opinion of Pharmacist Yocum that you did of Pharmacist Ting?
- A. I believe I did. I don't remember

 him as well as I remember Pharmacist Ting, but

 I do recall his name. I recall his name. I

can't say as specific for Pharmacist Ting.

2.

2.2

2.5

- Q. Now, this is an inspection in March of '95. Is this also a clean inspection of this Giant Eagle pharmacy, 1419?
- A. Yeah, but this might not have been a full inspection. This might have been just sort of a half walk-through. Let me see.

 Yeah, it was only an hour and a half. So you look at the other one, it was two hours with Ting. So, you know, I counted it as a full inspection, but it was a short full inspection.
- Q. Page 2 of this inspection references controlled II drugs being secured in a pharmacy safe with a perpetual inventory completed daily and monthly. Why would you note the fact that controlled substances were being secured and were subject to perpetual inventories daily and monthly? Is that a factor that you found notable in your inspection?
- A. I don't know why I noted it. It's been a long time.
- Q. Are those good controls, to have perpetual inventories daily and monthly for controlled substances?
 - A. Yeah. It makes it easier when

Page 144 1 you're looking. 2. Ο. And it's above the regulatory requirement, correct? We saw that --3 4 Α. Right. 5 Ο. It's either annual or biannual. Right, four and above, but it's 6 Α. 7 excellent. 8 Go forward to page 9944, a couple Ο. 9 pages after the one we were just at. There's an 10 inspection of Giant Eagle store 1405 in Niles, 11 Ohio, Pharmacist Jeffrey Michael Mymo. Do you 12 remember him? 13 Α. No, I do not. He's not the 14 pharmacist that did this inspection with me. 15 It was Rick Jeren. See the responsible person. 16 That's his signature down there. Look, Rick --17 that's another pharmacist that worked in the 18 store with him. I can't say I recall Rick 19 Jeren. 20 Okay. Is this a clean inspection, Ο. 21 Agent Pavlich, that Giant Eagle store? 2.2 Α. It's a clean inspection, but there 23 was a complaint noted on here that I had to 24 follow up on. 2.5 Q. Did you follow up to your

Page 145 satisfaction? 1 Α. I did. 3 And did it change your clean inspection conclusion for that store? 4 5 No. I didn't pink sheet him so it 6 didn't change my perspective. 7 All right. Go forward to page 0652. 0. Is this another one of your inspection reports, 8 this time for store 1419, 2-6 of '96? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And the responsible person is Brent 0. 12 Swipas. Do you remember him? 13 Α. I remember him very well, and his wife was a pharmacist also. 14 Was Mr. Swipas a cooperative 15 0. 16 pharmacist and assisted you in your inspection in any way he could? 17 18 Oh, yeah, Brent was a good pharmacist, excellent. 19 Q. Did he provide leads or tips for 20 21 investigating diversion? 2.2 Α. He did. And is this a clean inspection? 23 0. 24 Well, to the extent to what I wrote Α. here, I was primarily there for a large drug 25

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 146

destruction at that facility. That's what took up most of my time. That's why you see such a short inspection. But I noted that the last full inspection, I was in there in March of '95. So I was there more for drug destruction than I was for anything else.

- Q. What happens in a drug destruction and why are you called in for a drug destruction?
- everything on the DEA -- I forget the name of the code -- or not the code, the number on that form. I would complete an entire drug listing of everything that was expired or whatever, update it and document it and then forward it off to DEA up in the Cleveland office and destroy all the drugs right there in the pharmacy, or in the bathroom, should I say, after I documented everything.
- Q. There's a form, a DEA form attached about four pages in to this. It's 0658. Is this the DEA form you're talking about?
- A. Oh, yeah, that's it. Brent Swipas has signed in on it.
 - Q. But why go through this? Why

doesn't the pharmacy or pharmacist just flush the drugs themself? Why call you in and fill out this form and --

2.

- required to go there and do this. We were required to do this. I mean, then it came to the point where pharmacists, with another accountable pharmacist, could do it. They would send a list down to the Board of Pharmacy, if I remember how this worked, and then the pharmacy board would send them a letter back granting them the ability to destroy the drugs. But in the beginning this is what we had to do.
- Q. Is this part of the full accounting process for controlled substances?
- A. Part of it, yes. They would keep this record for the three years or whatever, so in case there was an audit or whatever, accountability need, this would come into account.
- Q. I see. Okay. The next inspection is on page 0660, this time of store 1419, and we're now in 1997. It looks like another --well, actually, this appears to be a shortage

Page 148 1 report. 2. Do you see that? Yeah. It looks like they were 3 Α. short methylphenidate hydrochloride 4 5 five-milligram five tablets. And are these typically reported to 6 7 you by the pharmacy, saying we have a shortage, you need to come in and take a look? 8 9 Α. Typically, yes. Pharmacists would 10 call me or a pharmacy supervisor. I mean, 11 those were the two primary -- I never got a 12 call from like corporate or loss prevention. 13 They didn't call me. It would be the 14 pharmacist or pharmacy supervisor. 15 So for a shortage you needed to fill 16 out a DEA 106, which is attached to this report? 17 Yes. And then I would forward it Α. or sometimes I would have the pharmacist mail 18 19 it to the Board of Pharmacy and I would give a 20 copy to the board down in Columbus. 21 All right. So that really wasn't an 22 inspection, it was more of we've got a shortage? 23 Α. Yeah. And then I might have looked 24 at a few things. I didn't really document it extensively. How long was I there? 25 An hour

Page 149 and a half. Yeah, that's pretty much what I 1 2. was in there for. 3 Q. Okay. Go to the next inspection on page 0666. This is a loss report; is that 4 5 right? You were contacted by Pharmacist Swipas concerning a shortage? 6 7 Α. Yes. So same thing, you filled out a DEA 8 Ο. 9 106? 10 Α. Yes. There's a reference that you did an 11 12 in-house security review with Bill Dobich, Giant 13 Eagle security? A. Yeah, I remember Billy. I remember 14 15 him. He was a pretty good guy. I don't know 16 what his title was. He might have been a loss 17 prevention guy. But I did -- I do recall him. 18 Q. And did he appear to be competent in terms of what he was doing in terms of loss 19 20 prevention efforts at the pharmacies, the Giant 21 Eagle pharmacies? 22 A. Yes, he must of have because I remember him. 23 24 All right. Go to the next 0. inspection, 0670, store 1419. It looks like you 25

Page 150 were requesting specific patient information 1 2. from the pharmacist and you were documenting it. Am I reading that correct? 3 Okay. Yes. I did request specific 4 Α. 5 information. Trumbull Mahoning Medical Group, which was north of them, a physician facility. 6 I don't recall the specifics of this, though. This is a long time ago. 8 9 This is listed as a partial 10 inspection up above under "Personnel." I see it. I don't recall the 11 12 specifics on it, though. 13 Q. Go to the next inspection, 0640, store 1419. 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 This looks like a barricade Ο. 17 inspection. 18 You know what? They must have --19 what's the date here? '99. They must have 20 relocated their pharmacy in that specific store 21 to a different area in the pharmacy and created 22 a new barricade. I don't remember. 23 But when something like that occurs, 0. the board agent comes in to approve the new 24 25 location; is that right?

- A. Yes. Yes, that is correct. They would call me.
- Q. And you approved this -- in this instance you approved the new location?
- A. Yep. I wrote approved on a barricade inspection, that is correct.

2.

- Q. Go to page 0672. It's another inspection, store 1419.
 - A. Boy, I was in here a lot. Go ahead.
 - Q. Pharmacist Swipas requested a destruction of controlled substances and looks like another instance where you were called in to, I guess, oversee the destruction and fill out the appropriate forms; is that right?
 - A. Yeah. I didn't oversee them. I did them. I would count -- yeah, I was there what, 11:15 to 12:30, an hour and 15 minutes. So I would do it all. They would have it secured in the pharmacy and then I would document it all on the federal form and then I would go with the pharmacist to the men's room and flush it when I was all done.
 - Q. Let's move forward to the next inspection, 9956 page. We're now in the year

Page 152 2000. You're back in store 1405 in Niles, Ohio. 1 2. This is a full inspection and Todd Tuttle is the 3 pharmacist. I know Todd. I recall. 4 Α. 5 What do you recall about Pharmacist 0. Tuttle? 6 7 Very good pharmacist. Did he cooperate with you at all 8 9 times in your inspections? 10 Α. Yes, he did. 11 Did he provide leads for diversion 0. 12 investigations? 13 Α. I would say, yes. You know, I got a lot of phone calls. I would say he never --14 15 he doesn't come into my mind as someone who 16 caused me concern, we'll put it that way. 17 Was this a clean inspection, this Q. 18 full inspection? 19 They weren't putting the full name 20 of the agent calling in prescriptions, which I 21 find that, unless it's extensive, something I 22 just document and bring to their attention. You know, like one of the nurses or whoever in 23

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

a doctor's office would call in a prescription

for whatever on a patient. You're supposed to

24

mark Sue Smith. If you just mark Smith, then there's five Smiths in the office. I don't know who the hell called it in. So that's why they were required to put first and last name.

Q. So you called that to their attention?

2.

- A. I did. And I noted number 37. And then they weren't putting some documentation on the counseling log that I wanted them to do.

 But I didn't issue a pink sheet. It wasn't that serious.
- Q. So a clean inspection with some minor requests for corrections?
 - A. Attention to detail, yes.
- Q. Okay. The next inspection is on page 9962. This is now July of '02, store 1405, in Niles, where Mr. Tuttle, pharmacist, full inspection. Is this a clean inspection, sir?
- A. Yes, it is. Wait a minute. It looks very clean to me.
- Q. The reference on page 1 to pharmacy profile records, and on page 3 to patient profiles and perpetual inventories, these are observations made by you in your inspection that you felt were worthy of memorializing?

A. Yes. To call to my attention if I needed to in the future for whatever. You know, sometimes I went into more details on things. You could see I documented a pretty good inspection. I would, you know -- every pharmacy was different. You know, I would document not exactly the same thing all the time. I tried to be thorough, but everyone is a little different, just like our kids.

- Q. Do you normally do a barricade inspection when you are out doing your on-site inspections?
- A. Not necessarily normally. This one, new pharmacy moved from within the same building I noted on the first page. So they had moved from one spot to another spot. I remember this store. It's right there in downtown Niles.
- Q. In your inspections, Agent Pavlich, did you have cause to look at dispensing records and drug utilization review records from time to time?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

Q. Were you seeking to enforce those manner of prescribing regulations that we went

Page 155 1 over a little while ago? 2. Α. Sure. Go to page 0676, another inspection, 3 store 1419. 4 5 Swipas, and there's his wife Α. 6 pharmacist, Kimberly. 7 And was this a clean inspection? 0. It looked like it had a destruction 8 Α. 9 and it did. Yes, I mean this was an inspection 10 but not as thorough as the last one I just did. 11 This was more I spent a heck of a long time in 12 there destroying and counting prescription 13 drugs for destruction. 14 Go to the next inspection on page Ο. 9844. 15 16 Α. Okay. 17 This is store 4056 in Warren, Ohio, Q. 18 looks like Brad Edwin Daugherty, pharmacist. 19 Do you see that? 20 Yeah. I'm trying to place him. Α. Ι 21 remember Cathy Rozzi but I can't place 22 Daugherty. 23 Here you pink sheet several items Ο. 24 that you wanted follow-up on, and on page 9853 there appears to be a response from the Giant 25

Eagle pharmacist, 9853 and 9855 and 57. So this was an inspection of -- a full inspection where you wanted follow-up, correct?

- A. Yep, I did.
- Q. And did you get the follow-up that you wanted in writing from Pharmacist Daugherty?
 - A. Yes, I did.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. And were you satisfied with his response? I guess there was an issue involving a copy of a prescription that was found in the copier or something. Do you recall this incident?
 - A. Yes, I do, as a matter of fact.
- Q. And did the Giant Eagle pharmacist provide you the information you needed in order to resolve the matter?
- A. Yes. He provided me with a written answer. As a matter of fact, he even apologized. Yep, he did. I remember that incident.
- Q. You also had some follow-up requests for the labeling on the third page of this report. You wanted more information concerning the computerized labeling?
 - A. I don't remember this part.

- Q. He seems to provide a response on page 9855.
- A. He was really thorough, articulate in his responses. I'm looking at them. I don't remember these other little details, but I do remember that prescription that was in the copier, and he called the doctor or something, if I remember correctly, and the doctor said just destroy it, and he did, not to my liking.
- Q. That's something he shouldn't have done?
- 12 A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. You're supposed to keep a record of it?
 - A. Yeah. That's a drug document. Not that he specifically dispensed anything off of it and should have kept a record of it, but should not have destroyed a drug document however it was obtained by him.
 - Q. All right. And you called him on it and he sent a letter apologizing and explaining the circumstances?
 - A. Right. He did, and, you know, I don't remember any problems with him.
 - Q. And so those matters in that report

Page 158 were resolved to your satisfaction? 1 2. Α. Yes. Not only my satisfaction but the satisfaction of -- I believe Tim Benedict 3 or Bob Cole in Columbus reviewed any pink sheet 4 5 inspections that were issued and they maintained the file, and then when the answer 6 7 came in, they would compare it to the inspection sheet, specifically what was noted 8 to what was answered, and if there was a 9 10 problem with the answer, then I'd get a call. 11 We have a few more inspections. Ο. 12 Beginning on page 9822 is an 13 inspection of Giant Eagle store number 4002 in 14 Youngstown. My only question related to this 15 inspection is was it a clean inspection? 16 Yeah. Todd Tuttle was there. Α. 17 Marcie Swanson is the responsible Q. 18 Do you remember her? person. 19 Yeah, I remember Marcie. Α. 20 What did you think of Marcie? Q. 21 I think she was a fine pharmacist. 22 I don't recall any issues with her that I 23 recall. I am getting old so bear with me. 24 Ο. Go forward to page 9974. It's an

inspection of Giant Eagle store 1405 in Niles,

```
Page 159
    Ohio.
1
                9974. Is that after 9822?
          Α.
3
                Yes. Yes. They're not in
    necessarily --
4
5
              Oh, okay.
          Α.
              -- numerical order so you have to
6
          0.
7
    flip through.
8
                 I got it, okay.
          Α.
9
                 This is an inspection of 1405, store
          Ο.
10
    1405, on 2-12 of '08. Was this a clean
11
    inspection, Agent Pavlich?
12
             It looks like it on its face. I
1.3
    would say it was. I remember Stephanie.
14
                 Stephanie was a pharmacist at this
          0.
15
    store?
16
                 She was a responsible pharmacist at
          Α.
17
    that store.
18
                And did you find her to be a
          Q.
    cooperative and professional pharmacist?
19
20
          Α.
                I did.
21
                 The last couple of inspections that
22
    we have -- go to page 9830. This is an
23
    inspection of Giant Eagle store 4002 on 2-1 of
24
    2010.
           There are several listed pharmacists.
    Ken Hiywa, H-I-Y-W-A --
25
```

Page 160 Α. Yeah. 1 2. 0. -- do you remember him? No, I do not. I do not remember 3 Α. the second one. I know the third one. He was 4 5 a hospital pharmacist sometime during my career. Mike Rubesich, I know him. He was an 6 excellent pharmacist. Tina Wheeler, I can't place her. And Todd Tuttle, he was a good 8 9 pharmacist, too. 10 The second page -- well, this Ο. Okay. 11 is a clean inspection, correct? 12 Α. Yeah. 13 Ο. The second page of this inspection 14 references daily log reports filed and signed by 15 the pharmacist. Is that a form of internal 16 control that you found to be good internal 17 control? 18 Α. This is 9832? 19 Yes. 0. 20 And it's the second page? Α. 21 Ο. Yes. Α. 2.2 What are you referring to? 23 In the middle it says, "All daily 0. 24 log reports are filed and RPh signed." 25 At the end of the day they print a Α.

printout and the pharmacist is required to sign it.

2.2

- Q. Is that a good internal control in your experience?
- A. Well, it was required by the board, but as far as if the pharmacy dispensed 500 prescriptions, at the end of the day they would print a log like that with the 500 dispensings in it, and to say that the pharmacist specifically went through each and every dispensing, that never happened. They would just sign off on it and say this is what came out of -- per se, this is what came out of our computer dispensing system and I'm verifying that fact.
- Q. Okay. But my question is, what you said, that's something that the board required. And did the board require it because it was a form of controlling --
- A. It was a form of looking back on accountability versus hard copy.
- Q. Okay. At the bottom of page 9832 there's a reference to "new seven camera video system recently installed in the store." Is that a form of internal controls that you found

Page 162 to be good -- something good to have in the 1 pharmacies? 3 Α. Yes. Video cameras? 4 0. 5 Α. Yes. Did it -- was it because the cameras 6 Ο. 7 were helpful in detecting theft and diversion? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Did you find that to be so in 10 actuality in your investigations, that you were 11 able to pull video cameras and inspect them to 12 see what was going on? 13 Α. Yes, I did, or put my own in or the board's cameras in. But yes, very helpful. 14 15 0. Okay. We have two more inspections; 16 actually, three more. I'll try to be summary on 17 these. 18 Page 9237, an inspection on 3-8 of 19 2011 of Giant Eagle store 4051. Is this a 20 clean inspection of that Giant Eagle store? 21 Yes. Mike Madgar, very good 22 pharmacist. 23 Do you recognize the other Ο. pharmacists, Tim Lewis or Heather Hammer? 24 2.5 No, I don't recognize them but I Α.

Page 163 1 recognize Mike. 2. Q. Was Mike Madgar a cooperative 3 pharmacist? Α. 4 Yes. 5 Did he behave professionally in your experience and provide you with investigative 6 leads? 7 Very good. 8 Α. 9 The second to last inspection report 0. 10 is on page 0766. 11 Α. Okay. 12 Now, there's two pink sheet items, Q. 13 29 and 12, and there's a Giant Eagle response on 14 page 0778. Now, you wanted some documentation 15 follow-up from the Giant Eagle pharmacy at this 16 time, for example, for an inventory. You 17 couldn't find some inventory in the records? I see it. I couldn't find it. I 18 Α. 19 don't recall this particular -- either one of 20 those pharmacists. I don't remember them. 21 Barbara McAnany, M-c-A-N-A-N-Y, or 2.2 Brenton Cornwell? I don't recall. I don't remember 23 24 either one of them. 2.5 But did you -- were you satisfied Q.

Page 164 with the Giant Eagle pharmacists' follow-up? 1 2. Α. Apparently. Replied within 20 3 days. This must be it right here. Page 0778 is the reply? 4 Q. 5 Α. Yes, it is. 6 Ο. Were you satisfied with that reply 7 and did it resolve the items that you wanted followed up on? 8 9 Α. Must have been. 10 And then the last inspection, Agent Ο. 11 Pavlich, is on page 0684 dated 12-1 of '11. 12 This is store 1419 in Warren, Ohio. Is this a 13 clean inspection? 14 Yes, it was clean, and I remember Linda Rhodes. 15 And Linda Rhodes, R-H-O-D-E-S? 16 Ο. 17 Right. Good pharmacist. Α. 18 Was she cooperative with you and did Ο. 19 she provide investigative leads to you? 20 She was cooperative with me during Α. 21 this inspection. Like I say, I don't recall 22 each pharmacist that ever called me, but I 23 recall her, so, and I recall nothing bad about 24 her. 25 I have a few follow-up questions, Q.

Agent Pavlich.

2.

2.2

2.5

After going through all of the requirements and going through all of your inspection reports, is it a fair statement that Giant Eagle pharmacies met the requirements for its Ohio licenses for all of its stores at all times and that no license was ever suspended or revoked?

- A. Well, I can't answer that last part because I don't recall, but Giant Eagle pharmacy never caused me any grief. If I requested something, I talked to the pharmacist and they were always cooperative that I recall, and I think their supervisor at that time was Rick Gray and he was always very cooperative. I don't recall having any major problems with him, not that I didn't. I'm just not recalling it.
- Q. But do you recall -- I think you inspected these pharmacies in Trumbull County 21 times and part of these inspections include checking licenses. Do you recall any Giant Eagle pharmacy license being suspended or revoked for a violation of Ohio law?
 - A. I would say I don't.

- Q. Is it a fair statement that as far as you know, based upon your inspections, that Giant Eagle pharmacies met the security requirements imposed by Ohio law?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. In fact, in some ways did the Giant Eagle pharmacies have better controls than those required by the Ohio security requirement?
 - A. They met the standard.
- Q. In your multiple visits to Giant Eagle stores, did you ever observe in any way that they were not adequately staffed with professional pharmacists and pharmacy technicians? Did you ever see anything that caused you concern about the staffing levels?
- A. Well, put it this way, sometimes I saw pharmacy levels of dispensing that they could have sure used another pharmacist in there at times than be overworked and burden pharmacists or couple of pharmacists that were in there. But that wasn't my call. I'm just giving my opinion.
- Q. Is that something, if you observed it, you would have put it in your inspection reports?

A. No, not necessarily. That was an opinion. Didn't say anything ever in a code that I know of that they had to have a certain number of pharmacists for a certain volume of prescriptions. My sister-in-law is a pharmacist also. And I would walk in stores and see hundreds of prescriptions being dispensed in a day and they would have one pharmacist working in the store. To me, in my opinion, it was extra pharmacists needed on that staff, but that was my opinion.

- Q. Are you speaking specifically of some pharmacy or a Giant Eagle pharmacy? I'm trying to make sure I understand.
- A. I'm not -- I'm speaking in generality because I can't recall a specific location as to, oh, wow, they did a thousand scripts and they had one pharmacist working during an eight-hour shift. I'm just telling you in general what I would see at times, and I would go, man, I feel sorry for this pharmacist working in here doing all of this, all of this responsibility and everything with five, four, three techs running around. And they're responsible for all of this. They don't get

2.2

2.5

paid enough to do all of that in my opinion.

- Q. I just want to make sure I understand that these are some unknown pharmacies in your general experience?
- A. All pharmacies in general. All pharmacies that I would walk in, I would see high volumes of scripts, whether it was a chain or even an independent, and I would see one pharmacist trying to maintain all of this, do all these records and deal with me. I know it was in my head many a times saying, man, I feel sorry for this pharmacist.
- Q. But there's nothing in the code or the regulations --
 - A. No.
 - Q. -- that say --
- A. That's why it was not noted in my inspection report. They filled a thousand prescriptions on January 2nd and there was only one pharmacist in here filling them all. There was nothing noted because there was nothing in the code that I can recall, administrative or -- well, more administrative than anything, specific to prescriptions and how many pharmacists. You asked me and I'm telling you

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Page 169 what I think -- what I thought. 1 2. Ο. Agent Pavlich, in your experience in inspecting Giant Eagle pharmacies, is it a fair 3 statement that Giant Eagle pharmacies complied 4 5 with the manner of processing prescription requirements, including the drug utilization 6 7 reviews that we went over earlier today? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Did you ever see any evidence that 10 Giant Eagle pharmacists were filling 11 illegitimate prescriptions? 12 Oh, I'm sure there was, but I just 13 don't recall. I mean, that was 25 years of 14 work. I just don't recall off the top of my 15 head. You'll have to be more specific. 16 Were Giant Eagle pharmacies ever the 17 targets of a criminal investigation as far as 18 you know? 19 Giant Eagle pharmacies? Α. 20 Right. Q. 21 So you're asking me whether corporate was involved, and I would say no, 22 23 involving me. 24 Is it your view that, based upon 0. your inspections of Giant Eagle pharmacies, that 25

Giant Eagle pharmacies were operating lawfully at all times?

- A. If they weren't, I would have taken a case number or I would have documented it. I don't recall anything specific.
- Q. Did you ever see any evidence that Giant Eagle or its pharmacists were knowingly filling prescriptions that were not legitimate?
- A. I mean, there could have been. I just don't -- you're going to have to bring me a specific case number or something. I'm not going to say every single pharmacy I walked in I never found a false prescription, I never found a problem. I couldn't answer that that way.
- Q. Would you have to look at your inspection reports in order to find that?
- A. Yeah. I would have to go through 25 years of inspection reports and investigative reports to find out if I had a pharmacist in there that caused me grief.

 Right off the top of my head I'm not thinking of one.
- Q. All right. But sitting here today, can you recall ever an incident where a Giant

Eagle pharmacist knowingly filled a prescription that was not legitimate?

A. I can't recall one.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. Did Giant Eagle and its pharmacists actively assist you and the board with anti-diversion efforts?
 - A. They did what I requested of them.
- Q. Do you hold similar conclusions for the other pharmacy Defendants, CVS, Rite-Aid Walgreens and Rite-Aid? Were they generally cooperative with you in your investigations?
- A. Well, since I'm under oath, I would say I had no problems with Giant Eagle, no problems with CVS, no problems with Walgreens, no problems with Walmart. I had some issues with Rite-Aid.
- Q. Okay. Is that in connection with the Overholt Pharmacy/Dr. Franklin matter?
- A. No. That involved -- well, for one, it involved one of their supervisors, who's now deceased. He used to give me a little bit of trouble when I would ask for things and sort of, I guess the term would be, drag his feet, and he was the one that I confronted the one time. And I was working a

doctor case in Boardman, Ohio, and he said, "He wrote it. We're just supposed to fill it."

And that's when I told him about corresponding responsibility and manner of issuance, and I said, "I'm going to hold you responsible then for everything I pull out of here and you'll get criminally charged." And I didn't have too much trouble with him after that. That was one.

And then there was another one,
Rite-Aid pharmacist supervisor, that caused me
grief up in the Trumbull County area when I
would do things and started dragging her feet,
not as quick as other, you know, chain
pharmacist supervisors. I don't remember her
name. It was Patty -- Patty something -- Patty
something or another. Let's see. Wait a
minute.

- Q. What are you looking at?
- A. One of my old directories, one of my address books that I still have. Patricia Mendenhall. I had a little bit of issues with her always trying to get -- trying to always get what I needed done, whether it was a record or something, and it just wasn't as easy, you

2.

2.2

2.

2.2

Page 173

know. Like I would call Rick Gray from Giant Eagle and get it done right away. It was always a little bit of trouble. I don't know if it was her or if it was the other guy or if it was corporate, but I always had a little bit of trouble. Not all the time, but I'm under oath and I'm telling you what I'm telling you.

- Q. But with -- I appreciate those detailed descriptions of the two Rite-Aid supervisors. Did you believe that -- we've already covered Giant Eagle, but the other pharmacy Defendants, did you believe that they were operating lawfully at all times while you were an agent?
- A. Yes. I believed all of the chains were operating responsibly. I just brought up two individuals that made my life a little harder sometimes.
- Q. And did these same chain pharmacies actively assist you and law enforcement with anti-diversion efforts?
- A. They would provide me whatever I requested.
- Q. Now, Agent Pavlich, you mentioned a couple of times this Dr. Franklin/Overholt

Page 174 Pharmacy. Can you tell us how that 1 2. investigation began? (Technical difficulties.) 3 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. 4 5 This is the court reporter. There was some 6 rustling of papers and after your first 7 statement I couldn't hear. If you could repeat that, please. 8 9 MR. BARNES: Sure. That was my 10 fault. 11 Do you recall the 12 Dr. Franklin/Overholt Pharmacy matter, Agent 1.3 Pavlich? 14 Yes, I recall it. Α. 15 0. Was that one of the most significant 16 prosecutions, investigations and prosecutions 17 you were involved with in your career? 18 Α. Most significant? Well, I had a lot of them. That was -- at the same time I 19 20 was investigating that, I was doing a million 21 and a quarter doses dispensed by an independent 22 pharmacy in Mahoning County when I got a call from Joanne Predina, who was a specialist with 23 24 the Board of Pharmacy. She got a call, if I recall, from a doctor or someone working in the 25

jail in Lake County, and they had a docket in 1 2. there. And I remember his name, Joey Harrington. And she told me that he was 3 getting dispensed to him an enormous amount of 4 5 opiates. And I remember 900 8-milligram Dilaudid tablets was one of them, and then 6 7 there was oxycodone, Methadone. He was getting all kind of stuff. And he was showing no signs 8 9 in the jail of any withdrawal or anything if he was consuming all this. And she said -- I 10 11 said, "Well, why you calling me? It's in Lake 12 County." 13 And she said, "Well, apparently he 14 got them all dispensed out of Overholt Pharmacy, " which I didn't know about this 15 16 dispensing going on. 17 And I said, "Really?" 18 And that was the start, I was going 19 to do Overholt Pharmacy. And the agent responsible -- the doctor's office, Dr. Peter 20 21 Franklin, was in Geauga County. And that was 22 Frank Bodi's responsibility. And apparently

Frank Bodi knew about this doctor and

apparently Frank Bodi had an open case on him,

but did nothing, did nothing, and -- with his

Veritext Legal Solutions

23

24

case, and he retired shortly thereafter. But I opened the case on Overholt Pharmacy. And then my supervisor, field supervisor, Jim Reye, called me and said, "Hey, you're really good at doctor cases. I want you to do Overholt Pharmacy and Dr. Peter Franklin, though it's not in your county."

And I told him, "Look. I'm doing a

And I told him, "Look. I'm doing a million and a quarter doses of internet prescriptions out of this store and all these other things I got to do. I can't do this."

And he said, "Yeah, you can do it."

And I got it and I worked it, and I -- well, I convicted three pharmacists from Overholt Pharmacy; the owner, Ken Overholt; Andrea Luchette, staff pharmacist; and Robert Graves, another staff pharmacist. He sold his store because he was going to lose his license for the store. And I was going to indict Peter Franklin, the doctor, and his wife, who was the office manager in his office, stabbed him in the chest with a steak knife and killed him, thinking it was going to end the investigation. Well, she's in state prison now for murder.

And that, in a nutshell, was a very difficult

Page 177 1 case to put together. 2. 0. How many years did it take you? I can't remember the case number on 3 that. I think it was an '07 case number, and 4 5 it was toward the end of '07, if I remember correctly, because I was doing Evankovich, the 6 internet case, and that was '06. And I finished that case. They finally pled. If I 8 9 remember, December of 2011 or January of 2012 I 10 was done, because Evankovich was done then, 11 too. But -- so it took three plus years. 12 Q. I want to back up for a minute. 13 Α. Four years. 14 Ο. Four years? 15 Α. Yeah, probably. I'm thinking it 16 was an '07 case, and it was the end of '07, if 17 I remember. Yeah, it would be about four 18 years. 19 O. You mentioned the Evankovich case. 20 Can you spell that name? 21 His name was Gary Evankovich, 22 E-V-A-N-K-O-V-I-C-H. He owned -- he owned two 23 pharmacies, but the other pharmacy wasn't 24 involved because it was a different pharmacist. The store that he was doing his activity out of 25

that I stumbled upon was Mill, M-I-L-L, Creek
Pharmacy. It was located in Youngstown, Ohio,
Mahoning County.

2.

2.2

- Q. And that involved the internet, an internet pharmacy?
- A. Yeah. It involved him dispensing, with a totally separate computer system in his pharmacy, a magnitude, as I remember, a million and a quarter doses of drugs out of his pharmacy.
 - Q. What kind of drugs?
- A. All non-controlled or I would have taken it federal because I met with the FBI on this, and because there was no controlled substances involved, they're like, oh, no controlled drugs, you know -- I go a million and a quarter doses and you don't want to do this. So I ended up taking it through Mahoning County and administratively took his license.
- Q. I see. What were the main drugs involved in that investigation and prosecution?
- A. Dangerous drugs, which are prescription drugs but not controlled. There was a lot. But primarily it was Fioricet, which was a non-controlled drug, it was a pain

drug; a lot of sexually enhancing drugs, like
Viagra, Cialis, a lot, those type of drugs. I
can't remember all of them specifically unless
I had the report in front of me. And, I mean,
this report, like the Overholt/Dr. Franklin
case, I wrote thousands of pages, thousands. I
wrote all the search warrants for all those
cases, which was voluminous in itself, the
search warrants. They were massive. And those
are two independents. They had nothing to do
with chains.

- Q. These were Gary Evankovich pharmacies, two independent pharmacies?
- A. No. Gary Evankovich had two independent pharmacies, but he was doing it all out of one, unbeknown to his partner at the other one. He owned -- they owned together two pharmacies. It was Mill Creek Pharmacy that was that problem. Then there was a different pharmacy.
- Q. Was there a period of time when internet pharmacies were a problem in terms of distributing -- I'm sorry, dispensing controlled substances, including opioids?
 - A. Yes.

2.

2.5

- Q. Do you recall the approximate time period when they were a problem?
- A. They were a problem when I was investigating that pharmacy. That was in '06. I believe Raul Romea, who was a specialist with the Board of Pharmacy, also had a case going on with internet dispensing.
 - Q. How significant of a problem was it?
- A. Oh, it was -- well, a million and a quarter doses, that's pretty significant in a range of -- I believe I covered only nine months of dispensing. I think it was nine months that I looked at.

See, what happened was this pharmacist, once I went in there and saw what was going on -- I went into his pharmacy and I seen this huge stack of -- it was Viagra and Cialis and all that stuff, and I'm like what the hell. And I looked at his files and I couldn't find legitimacy for this, and -- you know, I'm looking in his computer and I couldn't find anything. Here he had a computer hidden under the thing that he would slide out and do the internet dispensing, and there was no legitimacy to it because a patient must have

2.2

2.5

Page 181 a face-to-face with a physician in order to be 1 2. dispensed a prescription legitimately in the state of Ohio. 3 So I said, "Oh, Gary," and I left. 4 5 And I went and got a specialist, Bill Winsley at the time, who eventually became executive 6 7 director, and brought him in with me. And then I find out he purged the computer on me. 8 So 9 now it really got tough because I had no 10 printout. I had to do it with manual 11 prescriptions that he had stored off-site in a different facility. Talk about diversion. 12 13 That was diversion. 14 Okay. But my question relates to Ο. 15 internet pharmacies dispensing controlled 16 substances, including opioids. 17 I didn't have a case with that. Α. 18 0. You didn't have a case with that? 19 Internet prescription dispensing. Α. 20 The only internet prescriptions I had, which I 21 brought to your attention, was Gary 2.2 Evankovich/Mill Creek Pharmacy. I don't recall 23 if -- I think they did have, but I don't recall 24 specifics.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

Okay. Getting back to the

2.5

Q.

Dr. Franklin thing, it sounds like the source you were describing was an inmate by the name of Joey Harrington at the Lake County Jail, that was how the investigation began, and then you ended up with both cases, Dr. Franklin and the Overholt Pharmacy?

- A. Yeah. I remember -- I mean, there was a number of people involved. I started out with 50 profiles, broke it down to 20, targeting 20 that were the worst of the worst. But Joey Harrington was the king of the whole thing, number one most drugs I ever seen prescribed for one person in my life, career. In my 35-year career I have never seen drugs prescribed like that for anybody.
- Q. What kind of drugs were being prescribed for him?
- A. Dilaudid, eight-milligram, 900
 tablets in a 30-day supply. So that's 30
 tablets of 8-milligram Dilaudid in a day. That
 alone would kill a herd of elephants.

 Methadone, another opiate; diazepine, if I
 believe correctly; oxycodone, probably two
 different types. It was unbelievable. When I
 got that phone call, I was like -- I mean, I'm

2.

Page 183 talking to a pharmacist, Joanne Predina, who 1 2. was the best of the best as a specialist, and 3 I'm saying you got to be reading this wrong, this can't be right. And she was right. That 4 5 was the profile. Would you look at Exhibit 18 in the 6 0. 7 binder, please? My name is in there I see. 8 9 I asked Agent Edwards about this, Ο. 10 and the reason I'm showing you this is, does 11 this refresh your recollection as to the 12 approximate time in which the Franklin --13 Dr. Franklin/Overholt investigation began, in or about June of 2008? 14 15 Well, I said I took a case out and 16 I was pretty much on line when I said late 17 2007. So, yeah, this would be right there in the time frame. 18 19 And once you began your 20 investigation, did you work with Agent Edwards 21 in this investigation? 2.2 Α. A little bit. 23 But you were the agent in charge 0. and -- is that correct? 24 25 I was the agent in charge of Α.

Page 184 Dr. Peter Franklin and Overholt Pharmacy. I 1 2. was the one that documented everything and wrote all the search warrants. 3 Speaking of search warrants, would 4 0. 5 you look at Exhibit 19, please? Search warrants, this is mine. 6 Ι 7 wrote this. And I know it was big. Yeah, it is big. Yes, this is it. And I had my name as 8 the affiant. Sergeant Jeff Orr, he was in 10 charge of the Trumbull County Drug Task Force. 11 And Trey Edwards was on here, too. But I 12 was -- I was the guy that wrote this thing. 13 Ο. It's a very detailed search warrant. 14 If you go to page 5736 --15 Α. I was a very detailed guy. 16 -- Synopsis, it says, "On March 19th 17 of 2008 this agent was requested by OSBP 18 regional agent supervisor Jim Reye, R-E-Y-E, to 19 combine the investigation of Overholt's Pharmacy 20 with the Dr. Peter Franklin investigation, which 21 was previously assigned to and not investigated 22 by retiring OSBP Agent Frank Bodi." 23 Was there a problem or an issue 24 about why Agent Bodi did not investigate Dr. Franklin? 2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

investigation?

Α.

Page 185

Not everybody is capable of doing an extensive massive doctor investigation. just happened to be really good at it. I had already indicted and convicted probably 50 doctors, including the Mahoning County Coroner. Frank just wasn't capable of putting all these things together. And my supervisor in Columbus knew it and he started pressuring him and Frank decided to retire. And I had already received the call -- this is -- what date was this, March 19, 2008, when Jim Reye called me and said to combine the two. I had already, I believe, taken out a case number of '07 late, when Joanne had called me and said Joev Harrington. And I intended to go into Overholt Pharmacy and do my thing if I had not already. So Frank just wasn't good at this. Q. So you took it over? Yeah, unwillingly, but I got it. Α. And that was unusual to combine Q. investigations in this manner, combining a doctor investigation with a pharmacy

Veritext Legal Solutions

combined them because my supervisor told me to

Yeah, it was unusual, but I

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

do both of them together, and that's why I did it that way. I would have broken it off if there was other multiple locations, multiple pharmacies that were going to happen, and then I would have broken it off with a case number for a Giant Eagle, a CVS, a Walgreens, so on and so forth, but because it was just one independent based on what my investigation started to show, I kept it like it is.

- Q. How massive was Dr. Franklin's prescribing of controlled substances?
- A. The worst of the worst. The worst of the worst that I have investigated, and I did 80, 90 doctors, the worst.
- Q. Can you give us a sense of the numbers, though? Are we talking a handful of prescriptions, hundreds of prescriptions, thousands of prescriptions?
- A. Thousands. If I'm guessing right, if I can remember, you know -- I'm under oath and I'm just trying to recall -- it's been a few years -- 10,000 prescriptions in a window of what I put together, 10,000. I mean, you're looking at one patient, 900 Dilaudid 8-milligram tablets. There is no human in this

2.

2.

2.2

Page 187

world that can consume 900 tablets in 30 days of 8 milligram, not counting Methadone, all the other drugs he was getting, oxycodone. There's no -- I mean, as soon as I heard this, I thought, oh, my God, who the hell wrote this and who the hell dispensed this.

- Q. And in your search warrant on the next paragraph you state that the review confirmed that Dr. Franklin authorized 15,298 controlled substance prescriptions during the period 4-10 of '06 through 6-4 of '08, so a little more than two years, these over 15,000 controlled substance prescriptions. Was that the time window that you had narrowed your investigation down to?
- A. Yes. I couldn't remember, you know, off the top of my head, but if I put it in this affidavit, that's what it was.
- Q. In your experience, is that a massive amount of controlled substance prescriptions?
- A. It is in my opinion, from one doctor, it is in my opinion, and this doesn't count patients -- this is only at Overholt Pharmacy. This doesn't count -- other

Page 188 pharmacies were filling scripts, but more in 1 the realm of what I considered to be 2. 3 legitimate. I mean, not every patient got 900 Dilaudid 8-milligram. I mean, patients would 4 5 get, you know, 60 tablets, which would be BID, twice a day. And your chains probably filled 6 7 some of them, I know they filled some of them, but nothing I found was in a criminal element 8 9 to the extent of this store. If I remember 10 correctly, this store filled -- 50 or 60 11 percent of all the prescriptions I found coming 12 out of that office were filled at Overholt's 13 Pharmacy. As a matter of fact, I remember he 14 had written on the prescriptions after whenever 15 "Fill only at Overholt Pharmacy," because there 16 was no questions asked. That's how bad it was. 17 I see. Q. 18 And they were driving from Lake 19 County, Ashtabula, everywhere, to Trumbull 20 County to fill these things. That's how bad it 21 was. 22 Ο. And you said the doctor's office was in Geauga County? 23 24 Α. Geauga. 2.5 Q. Geauga?

- A. Yes. That's -- that's just above Trumbull County, up there.
- Q. I see. And Dr. Franklin was specifically directing his patients to Overholt?
- Right. He was -- I mean, there was a Giant Eagle -- wait a minute. Let me think about this. I'm pretty sure there was a Giant Eagle. You came down his driveway to the main street there and there was a Giant Eagle right It was a Rite-Aid, and there was a there. Giant Eagle up the street, or it was a Rite-Aid. And they weren't really filling them. I mean, they had a couple in there, a few, but they caught on right away. But I wasn't going to get the call because that wasn't my county. Frank Bodi got the call. Hey, we see some suspicious prescriptions. And obviously, you know, it ended up -- I ended up getting all this.
- Q. Your search warrant in the next paragraph references many pharmacists questioning Dr. Franklin's prescriptions.

Do you see that?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. On the bottom of page 5736.

- A. 5736.
- Q. This is in your search warrant.
- 3 A. Okay.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- It says, "This agent investigated 4 Ο. 5 various sources who reported that Dr. Franklin's patients were selling their medications." Down 6 at the bottom, "Some patients had criminal 7 histories involving deception to obtain 8 9 prescription medications, and many pharmacists, 10 including a pain management physician, 11 questioned the volume of doses and combinations 12 of controlled drugs" --
 - A. Right.
 - Q. So did you actually go back to pharmacists in the area and --
 - A. I not only talked to pharmacists, but I talked to sources. Lake County obviously had information on this, where Trey Edwards was. So I spoke to people or sources that they had, and, as a matter of fact, Trey Edwards provided me with a physician who was a pain management doctor. The name was Piszel. It's right here in my document. And he was flabbergasted by what he saw. I mean, he was like taken back and said, "Wow."

- Q. In talking to other pharmacists in the area, were you able to determine that pharmacists had complained about Dr. Franklin for some time?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

2.5

- Q. And were some of those pharmacists from the pharmacy Defendants?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know whether or not the pharmacists were told to stop dispensing for Dr. Franklin at any point in time in the investigation?
 - A. Not by me. They were never told by me to stop dispensing.
 - O. Why not?
 - A. I don't know about anybody else telling them to, whether it was Edwards or Bodi or the Board of Pharmacy. Not by me.
 - Q. Why wouldn't you tell a pharmacist to stop dispensing for Dr. Franklin if there was -- if he was under investigation?
 - A. Because if they can't catch on that I'm in there pulling prescriptions on Dr. Franklin, that's not for me to tell them what to do. Pharmacists weren't dispensing. I

Page 192 didn't have to tell them. They were telling me 1 2. when I walked in and said, "I'm Agent Pavlich. 3 I'm not assigned to this county but I'm investigating Dr. Franklin, and I'm here to 4 5 pull profiles and prescriptions on patients." And they knew right then. But they weren't 6 7 really dispensing, those chains up there and independents up there. Why do you think the 8 9 patients drove, you know, 50 miles down the road to go to Overholt? Because they weren't 10 11 getting it dispensed up there. They were 12 getting it at Overholt. They were compliant. 13 I didn't charge anybody in any of those chains 14 or independents up there or anywhere else with 15 a crime, only at Overholt Pharmacy, because 16 that's where it was. There were -- in my 17 opinion, they were all compliant, because if 18 they weren't, I would have charged them, 19 period. 20 Did you retain a medical expert in Q. 21 your investigation? Dr. Piszel, pain management doctor. 2.2 Α. Why did you need a medical expert? 23 0. 24 Α. Well, based on my college education, I didn't have a medical degree, so 25

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 193

when I did criminal cases on doctors, I always found a medical expert. If it was a judgment call as to what they were prescribing, what combinations they were prescribing, what quantities they were prescribing, I need another doctor, and I always tried to get one that was a little bit more qualified than the one that was prescribing, whereas Franklin was a family practice doctor and Piszel was a pain management expert. So you get the pain management expert to say this guy doesn't know what he's doing and it's way out of whack. And that's what I did. And Piszel started reviewing things for me.

- Q. Did you need a medical expert in order to determine the legitimacy of the prescriptions?
- A. No. I pretty much figured that out myself.
- Q. But you did retain a medical expert to review the prescriptions for you as part of the investigation?
- A. Yes, because I was going to indict the doctor, so when you indict the doctor, you want a medical expert to concur with what

Page 194 1 you're thinking. 2. 0. If you look at the top of page 5741 3 of your search warrant --MR. APPEL: Sorry. This is Henry 4 5 Appel. Just a quick question. When do you want to take a break? We've been going for 6 over two hours now. MR. BARNES: Let me finish with 8 9 this search warrant, which should just take a 10 few minutes. 11 THE WITNESS: I'm fine. Go as long 12 as you want. 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I need to break 14 for the media unit soon, so --15 MR. BARNES: All right. Let me ask 16 a few questions. 17 You see, Mr. Pavlich, that your 18 search warrant says, "Prior to meeting 19 Dr. Sidari, this agent met with pharmacists from 20 Rite-Aid, Giant Eagle and Walmart in 21 Middlefield, Ohio. These same pharmacists 22 stated they filed many complaints with the local 23 police and former Agent Bodi since 2006 24 regarding excessive quantities and combinations of controlled drugs prescribed by Dr. Franklin 25

to his patients. These various pharmacists in Middlefield, Ohio confirmed that they refused to dispense medication for the majority of patients issued prescriptions by Dr. Franklin. The pharmacies all stated that the patients they turned away were now having their prescriptions dispensed at Overholt's Pharmacy."

And that's pretty much consistent with what you just described to me?

- A. That is. I don't remember writing this in here, but yeah, that's sworn under oath, that's what I recall now that I put it there. That's true.
- Q. Page 5749 has some of the details of your checking with Walmart and Rite-Aid and Giant Eagle pharmacists I guess reflecting some of the summary information we just covered on page 5741.
- A. 5749. I was very thorough. I mean, I went everywhere and I did everything I needed to do to lock in. And once I was locked and loaded, it was over for the pharmacist and the doctor. And if you look here, very interesting. This does bring memories back to me on page 5749. The medical board

2.

Page 196 investigator, Jeff Lewis, and DEA diversion 1 2. investigator, Scott Brinks, had gone in and warned the doctor about his prescribing drugs, 3 warned him. This is -- I had a conversation 4 5 with them later on during my investigation. 6 MR. BARNES: All right. Why don't 7 we take a ten-minute break. It's 2:43. We'll get back on at 2:53. 8 9 (Recess had.) 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the record at 2:43 and this marks the end of 11 12 media unit number 3. 13 (Recess had.) 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on 15 the record at 2:58. This marks the beginning 16 of media unit number 4. 17 BY MR. BARNES: 18 Q. Mr. Pavlich, we're back after a short break. 19 20 You mentioned in your earlier 21 testimony before the break that you had 2.2 convicted the coroner of Mahoning County? 23 Α. That is correct. 24 0. What was he doing? 2.5 A lot of bad things. He was Α.

Page 197 writing controlled substances for a patient 1 2. specific, at least one that I remember, and 3 then getting the drugs back to him by the patient's husband, and then he was 4 5 redistributing them. He was convicted in Trumbull County by Prosecutor Dennis Watkins, 6 7 Chris Becker. Did his controlled substance 8 Ο. 9 prescriptions include opioids? 10 Α. Yes. I believe it was oxycodone 11 I'm thinking, oxycodone prescriptions. 12 What magnitude are we dealing with? 0. 13 Was it anything like Dr. Franklin? 14 No. No one compared to Dr. Α. Franklin. His volume was off the chart. 15 16 But who was this coroner? What was Ο. 17 his name? 18 Α. Nathan Belinky, B-E-L-I-N-K-Y. 19 And for what period of time was he Ο. 20 writing bad scripts or diverting controlled 21 substances? 2.2 It was in the '90s. I don't remember exact time frame here. I would have 23 to look at my scrapbook over there. 24 2.5 Okay. And you said earlier that you Q.

Page 198 1 had in your career investigated or convicted about 90 doctors? 2. 3 Give or take for various things, Α. self-abuse, you know, over-prescribing. I 4 5 mean, there was a lot of cases I did. I did a 6 lot. 7 Probably the other biggest one was in -- if we're talking Trumbull County, there 8 9 was three -- three physicians, Masters, 10 Sherman, and Theisler. They were working out 11 of a pain clinic. That was a pretty big case. 12 Nothing compared to Franklin, but it was pretty big. 13 14 And what was the name of that pain 0. 15 clinic? I don't recall. It was on East 16 17 Market Street, I believe, just on the outer edge of Warren there. It might have been 18 19 Howland. I can't think of the name of the 20 clinic, but those are the three doctors. 21 Diesler took me to trial -- he lost -- Sherman 22 pled, and Masters died before I could convict him. 23 24 What were they convicted of doing? 0. Trafficking, illegal processing of 25 Α.

Page 199 1 drugs. Including opioids? Ο. Yes, sir. 3 Α. Do you recall any specifically? 4 0. 5 The normal, oxycodone drugs. Α. were the primary ones, oxycodone, if I remember 6 7 correctly. In what kind of volumes were these 8 Ο. 9 three doctors prescribing? 10 I caught them pretty early on, but 11 it was substantial. It was enough to make them 12 plead or go to trial and be convicted. I just 13 don't remember the volume on that case. That 14 was -- that was before the internet case and before the Franklin/Overholt case. 15 16 Did you become familiar in your job 17 with the sources of pharmaceutical diversion in 18 Trumbull County and Lake County? 19 Not Lake County. Just Trumbull. Α. 20 And what were the primary sources of Q. 21 diversion in your experience? 2.2 Α. Prescribers illegally prescribing, 23 pharmacists improper dispensing, patients 24 diverting prescription medication. Those would 2.5 be the three top.

2.

2.5

Page 200

Q. The patients, what type of diversion did the patients engage in in your experience?

A. Well, they would get their prescription and they would sell their drugs. So they would have maybe an insurance company pay for their prescription. Let's say it was only 60 tablets of Percodan, or let's say it was 90 tablets of Percodan or Percocet, which is an oxycodone opiate. But they only really needed one tablet a day. They didn't need three a day. So they would sell 60 a month, make a nice profit, and use 30 for themselves. And then if the doctor ran a drug check on them, it would show that they had oxycodone in their system. Who would know but me if I put it together.

- Q. Was that a problem in Trumbull County, patients diverting their own prescriptions?
 - A. It's a problem everywhere.
- Q. Did you believe at any time that any of the pharmacy Defendants were the source of pharmaceutical diversion?
- A. Pretty broad question, don't you think? There was prescriptions filled at all

the chains, all the independents and pretty much say that maybe at some time or another were diverted. I mean, I would never make a broad answer to say no.

2.

2.2

- Q. I see. I think I misspoke in my questioning. It is too broad of a question.

 What I meant to say was did you at any time ever believe that any of the chain pharmacies, including the pharmacy Defendants, were causing diversion in Trumbull County?
- A. Only if I charged and convicted someone, and that would be in the records of the Board of Pharmacy on cases I did.
- Q. Okay. Now, you had occasion -getting back to Dr. Franklin, you had occasion
 to conduct some inspections of the Overholt
 Pharmacy as part of your investigation, didn't
 you?
- A. There was one major inspection we did. It was about a month or so after I was directed to put them together. And I went in there with Specialist Predina, and about three or four field agents, including my supervisor, Jim Reye, who was a field supervisor.
 - Q. If you look at Exhibit 53 in your

Page 202 binder, there's an inspection report for the 1 2. Overholt Pharmacy. It's dated 4-15 of '08. 3 Do you see that? I see it. 4 Α. 5 Is this the inspection you just 6 referenced, the major inspection that you did 7 early in your investigation? Let me see. Okay. This was April 8 9 15th of '08, and if I recall, it was March 10 something that I was assigned both cases. And, 11 yes, this is after I gathered some details. 12 And on this particular one it shows Dave 13 Gallagher, Joanne Predina, Tom Mish, and I 14 think Jim Reye were with me when I went in 15 there. And then -- this is Joanne's 16 handwriting on page 1. She started to write it 17 out and I let it go. 18 Did you find any problems when you did this inspection? 19 20 Yeah. There was a lot of problems. Α. 21 They were well noted. Everything was noted. 2.2 had -- Joanne the pharmacist, of course, the 23 specialist, I had her documenting this so that 24 I didn't -- I was throwing things at her and she would, you know, do a summary on a blank 25

sheet of paper, and then she went and compiled this. And there were scripts we took out of there. There was a bunch of prescriptions we took out of there.

- Q. The pharmacist on location at the time are Robert Graves and Andrea Luchette. I think you referenced both of them as subsequently being convicted for their participation in this matter?
- 10 A. All three pharmacists are noted 11 that were convicted.
 - Q. Including Ken Overholt?
 - A. Luchette.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. Can you tell us in general what happened during this inspection and how was it different from some of the inspections that we've gone over?
- A. Well, they knew there was a problem. We'll put it that way. I don't walk in a pharmacy with four or five other people to say hello. They knew what I was gathering, obviously, and they were cooperative. I mean, what else were they going to do? And I got everything I needed out of there. And I believe -- let me think back here. I believe

Joanne and I interviewed -- I don't know if
Graves was in there at the time. But I
remember I think Luchette and Overholt were
there. This is signed by Andrea Luchette I
see. I don't know if Ken Overholt was there or

- 6 not. But I know we interviewed for sure Ken
- 7 Overholt and Andrea Luchette.
 - Q. Did you tell them they were being investigated for improperly dispensing controlled substance prescriptions written by
- 11 Dr. Franklin?

1

2.

3

4

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- A. I told them I was conducting an investigation regarding prescribing by

 Dr. Franklin and I'm here investigating them at this point, too. I believe that's what I told them. And I believe I interviewed -- like I say, if I remember correctly, Andrea signed this, so she was there, and I believe Joanne.

 I know Joanne and -- I interviewed her.
 - Q. And did you tell them that they were being investigated for filling prescriptions for high doses of multiple narcotics combined with amphetamines and other narcotics?
- 24 A. I did.
 - Q. Is this a good example of, I'll call

Page 205 it, a bad inspection, it's not the kind of 1 2. inspection you want to get? The worst of the worst. 3 Α. And did you remove a lot of patient 4 0. 5 records from this pharmacy, Overholt Pharmacy, during this inspection? 6 7 Α. A lot, a very voluminous amount, original prescriptions and I believe patient 8 9 profiles, too. There was a subsequent inspection of 10 0. 11 the same pharmacy. If you look at Exhibit 20 --12 Α. 20? 13 0. 20, yes. 14 This is after -- this is -- he's --Α. 15 he sold the pharmacy. 16 Oh, I see. Ο. 17 This is not during -- this is -the guns have been fired and the battle is over 18 19 with this inspection. 20 This is the new guy who bought the Ο. 21 pharmacy after --2.2 Α. Yeah. This is with him, yeah. Yeah, that was just an issuance of the new TDDD 23 24 license. It says right on there. That's the new terminal distributor license being issued. 25

That's what that is.

2.

- Q. All right. So this is post -- post-Franklin or post-Overholt?
- A. Yeah. He knew it was coming down and he knew he was going to probably lose his store license, and he sold it, which was okay, I guess. The board issued it. So I didn't take license on the pharmacy.
 - O. You allowed him to sell it?
- A. I didn't. The Board of Pharmacy allowed it, not me. I would have had a citation issued to take the pharmacy license, too, as I did the three pharmacists' personal licenses. But, you know, obviously this happened before their final conviction. He was still -- yeah, he was still a pharmacist because his name is on the front sheet, Ken Overholt, RPh, 03-2-13266, so he was still licensed pending the actual revocation of his license. This is '09.
- Q. In your experience, Agent Pavlich, would you inform pharmacists in the area if a doctor was under investigation before he was actually charged or convicted?
 - A. Well, I wouldn't make a roundabout

phone call to everybody and tell them, hey. I didn't have to. If I went to one pharmacy and pulled scripts out, believe me, they spread the word. So the answer is no.

- Q. Okay. You referenced Joe Harrington being the -- kind of the impetus of the Franklin investigation. Is this the Joe Harrington shown in Exhibit 30, his pre-sentence report?
- A. He was a bad boy. Oh, yeah, that's Joey. That's him.
- Q. And he was convicted and sentenced for his role in the Franklin/Overholt matter?
- 13 A. No. I did not handle his case.
- 14 That was out of -- I believe Lake County
- 15 handled this. I don't -- I'm not sure. I
- 16 | didn't handle it. Yeah, this is Lake County
- 17 Adult Probation. So no, I didn't have anything
- 18 | to -- all I did was get information that I
- 19 needed from Mr. Harrington and told him you got
- 20 | to deal with these guys up in Lake County and
- 21 I'm going to deal with everything else, so
- 22 | cooperate, and he did.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25

- Q. Do you remember a -- an incident
- 24 | involving John Mullin, M-U-L-L-I-N?
 - A. Big John Mullins, yes, I remember.

John Wayne Mullins was his name, if I remember correctly. That was in Trumbull County.

2.

- Q. And is Exhibit 34 your search warrant for John Wayne Mullins -- I guess it's with an S, M-U-L-L-I-N-S.
- A. Yes. This is another one of mine.

 I wrote it.
 - Q. Do you recall this investigation being instigated by a Rite-Aid pharmacist?
- A. If I wrote it in here, it was. I don't recall who. I recall there was -- it came out of Girard, Ohio, which was in Trumbull County, and there were some fake prescriptions and a Captain Bigowsky had called me and I worked with him to put this together on John Wayne.
 - Q. Look at page 3644, please.
 - A. Okay, I'm here.
- Q. At the top there's a reference to Rite-Aid pharmacy 2452, and it says, "Pharmacist Ross stated he had dispensed controlled medications for prescriptions in June and August 2010 that were issued in the name of the following patient, which he later discovered were not authorized or written prescriptions

Page 209 issued by the following prescribers." 1 2. Does that refresh your recollection 3 that the Mullins investigation began with a tip from a Rite-Aid pharmacist? 4 5 Yes. I knew it was a pharmacy in Girard. I just couldn't remember which one. 6 7 Yes, this is true. And then the next exhibit, 35, is a 8 Ο. 9 news release regarding Dr. Masters, Dr. Sherman 10 and Dr. Theisler? 11 There they are, yes, '04. It was 12 Another big case. 13 Ο. And that was the name of the pain 14 management -- or clinic was Pain Management 15 Associates; is that right? 16 That's what Dennis Watkins noted so 17 I'm agreeing with him. He was the best. And I 18 said East Market Street and that's where it 19 was, East Market. 20 This investigation involved Q. 21 pre-signed prescriptions to patients for 2.2 Schedule II and III controlled substances. Am I 23 reading it --24 Part of it did. Part of it did. Α. What was going on was -- I'll give you the 25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Page 210

Ι

short window. Masters and Sherman were M.D.s. Theisler was a chiropractor I believe. Let me look here. Wait a minute. He didn't have prescribing privileges so he probably was a chiropractor. And Masters was sickly. So he would go in the office and take a hundred or whatever blank prescriptions and sign his name, Dr. Masters, and then Theisler would get his patients to come in, and he'd be the doctor, but he wasn't an M.D., and then he would use the blank prescription and fill in what he wanted for the patient to get, controlled substances. And then the patients would go out and fill them. And then, you know, me as a pharmacist, if I'm standing in a pharmacy and a guy comes in and a signature looks like Dr. Masters, which they're very good at detecting, they filled them, until I caught on to what was going on. I got a call -- as a matter of fact, I remember who called me. Her name was She was a Rite-Aid pharmacist. Vogren. believe it was Rite-Aid. Yeah, it was a

Veritext Legal Solutions

don't remember her first name. It could have

Rite-Aid pharmacist. Her name was Vogren.

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

been Joanne. And she said, "You know, there's something odd here, you know. I know the doctor is in the hospital." How she knew this I don't remember, but -- "and I'm getting prescriptions from his office with his signature on them." And I'm like, "Really?" I started looking into it, and then I found that -- what I realized was he was pre-signing prescriptions, Theisler was using them, and Dr. Sherman, who was a younger doctor, was self-abusing drugs that -- I mean, very powerful opiate drugs that he was having shipped into the office on the alleged fact that he was dispensing them to patients. was using them himself. He was a big-time IV user, opiate abuser. That's what that case involved. And I took them out of Trumbull County. Everything was done in Trumbull County.

Q. In your experience as an agent, did you become familiar with pharmacies that substantial percentages of their prescriptions were controlled substance prescriptions? I guess Overholt Pharmacy would be an example of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 212

- A. Overholt was the king. Yeah, there was a number of problems out there with pharmacies. To be honest, I had a lot of problems with independents, a lot, a lot of independent practicing pharmacists, too. I had a lot of cases on them, not only in my counties but I was sent out to other counties to work cases and big problems.
 - Q. What types of problems?
- A. Let's put it this way. What's an independent -- or not an independent. What's a chain pharmacist have to gain by filling a bunch of prescriptions for a physician in a chain pharmacy where he's on salary? What's he got to gain versus an independent who's making a volume of money? That's how I looked at it. That's how I -- I mean, what do I know, but I tell you that's how I look at it.
- Q. What types of problems did you observe with the independent pharmacies? You said that you had a lot of problems with the independents.
- A. Yeah. Illegal processing of drug documents, theft of drugs, trafficking in drugs, all of the above. I mean, I had a lot

Page 213 of problems in independent stores. 1 2. 0. And, in your view, were the 3 independent pharmacies a substantial source of pharmaceutical diversion in Lake and Trumbull 4 5 Counties and surrounding counties? 6 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection. 7 I can't speak for counties I didn't Α. work. 8 9 Q. Okay. 10 Trumbull County, they were at Α. 11 Overholt Pharmacy. I mean, that jumps right 12 out at me. There was another pharmacy that was 13 filling scripts for this Masters. It was an 14 independent. It was like the next plaza up. I 15 can't think of the name of it. Something Mart. 16 And -- maybe Drug Mart or something. It wasn't 17 a chain. And the owner was not a pharmacist. 18 He had pharmacists working in there. But he 19 closed. He closed. So --20 The problems that you saw at the Q. 21 independent pharmacies, did you attribute that to not having any oversight, any corporate-type 2.2 23 oversight? 24 Α. No. I attributed it to greed. Every case I ever worked, every case I ever 25

Page 214 worked had three factors, greed, self-abuse or 1 2 sex, every case, not all three in the same case 3 but those three factors always came into play, at least to my best memory. So an independent 4 5 has no oversight besides the owner of the store, who's happy to fill all the scripts he 6 7 can fill and make a profit. Sure, a chain has supervisors, corporate office. But what's he 8 9 got to gain? You know, what do I got to gain, 10 you know? I'm getting my salary if I fill one 11 script or a hundred, at least my thinking. 12 MR. BARNES: I don't have any 13 further questions, Mr. Pavlich. Thank you for 14 your time. Some of my co-counsel may have some 15 questions. I think Walgreens counsel -- Kate, 16 do you have questions? 17 MS. SWIFT: I do. 18 MR. BARNES: Do you want to take a 19 short break before you start? 20 MS. SWIFT: Yeah. Can we take not 21 even five minutes, just a couple of minutes, so 22 I can get myself organized a little bit? 23 MR. WEINBERGER: This is Pete. How 24 long are you going to be?

MS. SWIFT: I'm going to do it as

```
Page 215
    fast as I can, Pete. I'm terrible at
1
2.
    predicting so I'll try to over-predict and say
    an hour and then hopefully everyone will be
3
    happily surprised.
4
5
                 MR. WEINBERGER: Henry, Mr. Appel
6
7
                 MR. APPEL: Yes.
                 MR. WEINBERGER: -- what's our
8
9
    situation on time with this witness because
10
    I've got some significant cross-examination?
11
                 MR. APPEL: I can stay -- I have --
12
    my understanding is there's a cap from the
13
    court on the number of hours for the
    deposition, but, you know, I don't know how
14
15
    much time we have left on that.
16
                 George, do you have any limits on
17
    your time today?
                 THE WITNESS: No.
18
                                    I hope I'm
    getting paid for this. That's all I could say.
19
20
                 MR. APPEL: You know what, me too.
21
                 MR. MOYLAN: And for CVS, I
    think -- this is Dan Moylan. I think we may
2.2
23
    have around 20 minutes to a half an hour,
24
    probably no more than that.
2.5
                 MR. NORTEY: And for Rite-Aid --
```

Page 216 this is James Nortey. We're going to have 1 2. about 25 minutes of questions. 3 MR. WEINBERGER: So, Mr. Appel -am I pronouncing your name correctly? I don't 4 5 want to mispronounce it. MR. APPEL: Appel. 6 7 MR. WEINBERGER: Mr. Appel, so the seven-hour limit is really with respect to 8 9 depositions of the parties and I'm not aware of 10 any limitation with respect to third-party 11 witnesses. So in view of the fact that we're 12 talking about the possibility of my not being 13 able to get to examine this witness until 5 or 14 5:30, and because I've got probably several 15 hours, what's your -- what are your thoughts? 16 MR. BARNES: Before you answer, 17 Henry, I will have to interpose. It's my 18 understanding that all depositions are limited 19 to seven hours, unless there's some agreement 20 in advance or as directed by the court. 21 don't think Plaintiffs noticed this --22 cross-noticed this deposition at all, did they? 23 MR. WEINBERGER: We didn't 24 cross-notice it, but that has nothing to do 25 with -- I'm not required to cross-notice a

Page 217 deposition in order to cross-examine the 1 2. witness that you've subpoenaed. I have a 3 right -- if there's any possibility that this -- that you intend to play this deposition 4 5 at trial -- I'm not sure that you could, but let's assume that you attempted to do so -- I 6 have a right to cross-examine this witness. 7 You can't cut off my time limitation in order 8 9 to do that. I mean, you took five hours. 10 MR. BARNES: Right. I was the 11 noticing party. I was the one that went 12 through all of the exhibits I needed to go 13 through. You didn't -- Plaintiffs didn't notice -- cross-notice, like they did with DEA, 14 15 for example. I notice they cross-noticed --16 MR. WEINBERGER: Well, that's 17 different. That's -- you know, that was 18 pursuant to a Touhy letter and pursuant to 19 negotiations with the Department of Justice. 20 That has nothing to do with what's going on in 21 this deposition. 2.2 MS. FITZPATRICK: Pete, just to add 23 in -- this is Laura Fitzpatrick -- we -- you 24 all recently noticed the FDA deposition and 25 Plaintiffs did not cross-notice and there were

Page 218 no issues with us asking questions. 1 2. MR. BARNES: I'm not trying to 3 prevent you from asking questions. I'm saying that, in fairness to the witness and his 4 5 counsel, it's my understanding that all depositions are limited to seven hours. 6 7 MR. WEINBERGER: Well, that's exactly my point. That's why I'm discussing 8 9 this up front with Mr. Appel. You know, our 10 position -- I've made my position known, and if 11 in the break you want to talk with Agent 12 Pavlich about coming back for a further 13 deposition, you know, we're happy to entertain that conversation, but I'm not going to end 14 15 this deposition without having the full and 16 complete right to do my cross-examination. 17 MR. APPEL: How many hours are you 18 expecting your cross to take? 19 MR. WEINBERGER: Several hours. 20 MR. APPEL: Is that two or six? 21 MR. WEINBERGER: No. Several means two or three. 2.2 MR. APPEL: I'll tell you what. 23 We're on a break anyways. Why don't I give --24 I'll -- I'm going to give my client a phone 25

Page 219 call, we'll talk for a second about this, and 1 then we can -- and then I will get back on, all 3 right? 4 MR. WEINBERGER: Very good. Thank 5 you. 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the 7 end of media unit number 4. Going off the record at 3:33. 8 9 (Recess had.) 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on 11 the record at 3:44. This begins media unit 12 number 5. 13 MR. WEINBERGER: Mr. Barnes, you're 14 passing the witness to me? 15 MR. BARNES: I am. 16 EXAMINATION OF GEORGE P. PAVLICH 17 BY MR. WEINBERGER: 18 Good afternoon, Agent Pavlich. My 19 name is Peter Weinberger and I'm privileged to 20 represent Lake and Trumbull Counties in this particular deposition in this case. 21 22 appreciate your patience in sitting for this 23 deposition and, so, you know, I will try to make 24 this as brief as possible, and if you want to 25 take a break at any time, just let me know and

Page 220 we can stop. Fair enough? 1 2. Α. I'm ready when you are. 3 All right. Very good. Ο. Can you hear me okay? Is there any 4 problem with transmission? 5 No. I don't see your video. 6 Α. 7 Okay. Well, I think -- can you see 0. me now? 8 9 Α. Negative. 10 All right. Well, I think you have Ο. 11 to adjust your screen with the arrow going one 12 way or the other at the top so that you can see 13 me. 14 Not that I need to, but I don't Α. 15 know what you're talking about, "one way or the 16 other." 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the top it 18 says "gallery view" or "speaker view." I think if you go to speaker view, you will see who is 19 20 speaking. 21 THE WITNESS: All right. So what 2.2 do I do here now? 23 MR. WEINBERGER: Can you hear me 24 now or can you see me now? 25 THE WITNESS: No. Which one do I

Page 221 1 click on? Which one are you? 2. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Speaker view, 3 the very top right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I clicked 4 5 speaker view and it's got --6 MR. WEINBERGER: Spangenberg Law is 7 what my screen shows. That's my law firm. 8 THE WITNESS: I don't see it. 9 MR. THOMAS: This is Clint, the 10 tech. I mean, if we want to go off the record, 11 we can go off the record, we can work on this. 12 If the witness is fine with proceeding, we can 13 also just proceed. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't need to 15 look at him. 16 MR. THOMAS: Let's just proceed 17 then. 18 Agent Pavlich, it's obvious from Ο. 19 your testimony today that you have spent a great 20 deal of your career while at the Ohio Board of 21 Pharmacy trying to stem the tide of the opioid 22 epidemic, true? 23 Α. True. 24 So let's talk a little bit about the Ο. opioid epidemic for a few minutes. 25

Page 222 You will agree with me that we have 1 2. been suffering from an opioid epidemic in this 3 country for about 20 years? MR. BARNES: Objection to form. 4 5 Α. I have no idea how many years the 6 epidemic --7 Ο. At least as long as you've been working at the Ohio -- as long as you worked in 8 9 your career at the Ohio Board of Pharmacy, 10 correct? 11 There has been a problem with abuse Α. 12 of opiate drugs during my time frame. 13 Epidemic, I don't understand. 14 Well, epidemic in the sense of more 0. 15 and more and larger groups of people, numbers of 16 people, suffering the effects of opioid 17 addiction. If I define it that way, can we 18 agree? 19 MR. BARNES: Objection to form. 20 I agree there's a problem out Α. 21 there. 2.2 MR. WEINBERGER: Who is objecting to form? 23 24 MR. BARNES: That would be me. MR. WEINBERGER: I don't know who 2.5

Page 223 1 "me" is. 2. MR. BARNES: Bob Barnes. 3 MR. WEINBERGER: So are you suggesting that I'm not entitled to 4 5 cross-examine this witness? MR. BARNES: No. I'm suggesting 6 7 that -- I'm objecting to the form of your question, just like you objected to the form of 8 9 some of mine. 10 MR. WEINBERGER: I was objecting to 11 form because you were not -- there were times 12 when you were not engaging in direct 13 examination. 14 MR. BARNES: As you are. 15 MR. WEINBERGER: Well, I'm entitled 16 to cross this witness. 17 MR. BARNES: I'm objecting to form. 18 MR. WEINBERGER: Are you suggesting I'm not entitled to cross this witness? 19 20 MR. BARNES: I'm suggesting that 21 your question is improper so I'm objecting to 2.2 form. 23 Agent Pavlich, can we agree that the 0. over-prescribing and over-dispensing of opioid 24 25 prescription pills contributed greatly to the

Page 224 1 opioid problem, as you've described it? 2. MR. BARNES: Object to form. You're asking this witness for a legal 3 conclusion, an expert conclusion, and so I 4 5 object on both bases. 6 0. You can answer. 7 It's not the sole problem. There's drugs that come across the border from Mexico. 8 9 They're opiates. It's not the sole problem. 10 But the over-prescribing and 11 over-dispensing of opioid prescription pills has 12 been a problem in our country for at least the 13 last 15 to 20 years, correct? 14 MR. BARNES: Objection to form. 15 Α. I'm not knowledgeable as to what 16 extent you're talking about. 17 Well, in your -- can we agree that 18 the problem with the over-prescribing and 19 over-dispensing of prescription opioid pills 20 began with the development and marketing of 21 OxyContin in the late 1990s by Purdue 2.2 Pharmaceuticals? 23 OxyContin was a problem. Α. 24 And you're aware of the fact, are 0. you not, if you keep up with this in the 25

Page 225 newspapers, that just in the last 30 days, 1 2. Purdue Pharmaceuticals pled guilty to three federal -- to indictments involving three 3 federal offenses. Are you aware of that? 4 5 I'm aware of them pleading to something. I don't know the extent. 6 7 Well, we know, and the records 0. reflect, that Purdue pled guilty to mail fraud 8 involving the fraudulent promotion of OxyContin as a non-addictive drug. Are you aware of that? 10 11 Nope, I am not. Α. 12 0. Are you aware of the fact that 13 Purdue Pharmaceuticals pled guilty to bribing 14 doctors? 15 Α. No, I am not. 16 Well, you're well familiar with 0. 17 Purdue Pharmaceuticals, are you not? 18 Α. I am. Not well but I know they 19 produced OxyContin. 20 Well, there was a time in the past Q. 21 when you had contact with Purdue Pharmaceuticals 2.2 relating to one of your investigations, right? 23 Α. I don't recall specifically talking 24 to anybody at Purdue Pharmaceuticals. 2.5 But we can agree that OxyContin, the Q.

Page 226 prescription -- the marketing and prescription 1 2. of OxyContin was a significant factor in the 3 opioid prescription problems in our country, true? 4 5 MR. BARNES: Object to form. 6 Α. Marketing was probably a factor. 7 0. Now, in your materials, Agent Pavlich, there's an Exhibit 4, which we're going 8 9 to -- which I'd ask that you pull up. 10 Α. That's not in the binder. You're 11 talking about the sealed documents? 12 Well, I think Exhibit -- I don't 13 know where Exhibit 4 is. 14 MR. WEINBERGER: Bob, is it in the 15 sealed documents or is it --16 These are marked A through L. Α. 17 We're looking at Exhibit 4. Q. 18 Α. Okay. Sorry. 19 That's okay. 0. 20 Okay, I see it. Α. 21 0. Now, this is the title page -- and 22 we're going to bring it up on the screen. is -- this is Exhibit 4, Defendants' Deposition 23 Exhibit 4, Edwards 4. This came from documents 24 that were subpoenaed by the Defendant pharmacies 25

Page 227 from the Ohio Board of -- Ohio State Board of 1 2. Pharmacy. 3 Do you understand that? I understand that. 4 Α. 5 Okay. And they've marked this 0. Exhibit 4, and they went over parts of Exhibit 4 6 7 at the deposition of Mr. Edwards last Friday. First of all, you see from the title page it 8 9 says, "OARRS, a Guide for Law Enforcement, presented by the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy 10 and the Ohio Office of Criminal Justice 11 12 Services." 13 Do you see that? 14 Yeah, I see that. Α. 15 0. The Ohio State Board of Pharmacy is 16 the government organization that you worked for, 17 correct? 18 Α. Not at this time, but yes. 19 That you worked for in the past. Ι Q. 20 understand you left there in 2012, right? 21 Α. Right. And so this -- I'll represent to you 2.2 Ο. that this is an official document from the Ohio 23 24 State Board of Pharmacy and the Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services. Do you know what 2.5

Page 228 that department is, the Ohio Office of Criminal 1 Justice Services? 3 Α. I have no idea. All right. But you're certainly 4 Ο. 5 familiar with who the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy is, correct? 6 7 I'm familiar who they are. And you know Trey Edwards as a 8 Ο. 9 colleague of yours and somebody who you trained 10 as a compliance agent, correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 MR. THOMAS: Real quick, counsel, 13 your mic is kind of -- is going in and out in quality right now. Are you like leaning away 14 15 from your microphone now or anything like that? 16 MR. WEINBERGER: I am not, but is 17 this better? 18 MR. THOMAS: Yes, that sounds 19 better. Thank you. 20 MR. WEINBERGER: All right. I'll 21 stay close to the screen. 2.2 Now, if you would go to the -- go to 0. page 3 of this PowerPoint presentation. This 23 appears to be a video entitled "Red Flag." Do 24 you -- have you ever seen a video produced by 25

Page 229 the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy that is 1 entitled "Red Flag"? 3 Α. No. In the context of dispensing, what 4 Ο. 5 does -- what does a red flag mean? I have no idea. I never used it. 6 Α. 7 All right. Fair enough. Let's go 0. on to page 5 of this presentation. This slide 8 9 defines drug diversion as any criminal act 10 involving a prescription drug, includes theft of 11 drugs, tampering with drugs, deception to obtain 12 dangerous drugs, and illegal processing of drug 13 documents. Do you agree with that? 14 You need to talk closer to the 15 screen, but I agree these are four concepts. 16 Okay. I've got my volume turned up 0. 17 all the way. Hopefully this will work. 18 MR. THOMAS: We might need to go 19 off the record because your mic -- it sounds 20 like your mic is having a lot of issues. 21 Counsel, if you're okay going off the record, I 22 can try and help you out with fixing it. 23 MR. WEINBERGER: Sure. That would 24 be great. Let's go off the record. 2.5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off

Page 230 1 the record at 3:57 p.m. 2. (Recess had.) 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 3:59. 4 5 BY MR. WEINBERGER: Agent Pavlich, can you hear me well 6 Ο. enough now? 7 8 Α. Yeah, I can hear you. 9 Great. Thank you. Thanks for the Ο. technical support. 10 11 Let's go to page 7 of this 12 PowerPoint presentation. This slide from the 13 Ohio Board of Pharmacy defines and categorizes 14 controlled substances, and I want to look 15 specifically at Schedule II. So can we agree, 16 Agent Pavlich, that Schedule II controlled 17 substances have a high potential for abuse, 18 although it may have some medically acceptable 19 use? 20 Α. I agree. 21 And in terms of Schedule II drugs, 22 we're talking about many of the drugs that we've talked about so far in your deposition, 23 24 including OxyContin, oxycodone, hydrocodone, 2.5 correct?

Page 231 Α. Correct. 1 2. Ο. And in terms of the description --Hydrocodone is not a Schedule II 3 Α. when I was working. Hydrocodone is a Schedule 4 5 II -- it's I think now a Schedule II. Correct. As of 2014 it was a -- it 6 Ο. 7 became a Schedule II. 8 Α. There you go. 9 0. You are correct. 10 With respect to Schedule II drugs, 11 is it generally well known in the 12 pharmaceutical industry, according to your 13 knowledge, that these drugs have a high 14 potential for abuse? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Let's go then to -- okay. We're Ο. going to go to -- I'm going to use the Bates 17 numbers -- 26952. It's about four or five pages 18 19 beyond this. This slide is -- talks about the 20 background of the scope of drug abuse problems, and it says, "Prescription opioids are 21 2.2 associated with more fatal overdoses than any 23 other prescription or illegal drug, including 24 cocaine, heroin, and marijuana combined." 2.5 Do you agree with that?

- A. I don't have an opinion.
- Q. Well, if that's a statement that comes out of the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy, do you have any reason to disagree with that?
- A. I don't have an opinion to this. I have no prior knowledge of this and I don't know if it is or not. I have no opinion.
- Q. All right. We are now going to go to page 13 of this exhibit. That is the page we just went through. Sorry. We are going now to page 14, which is the very next page. Do you have that in front of you or on the screen? Do you see it on the screen?
- A. I'm not even looking at my book. I'm looking at the screen, yes.
- Q. So this is a graph that shows unintentional drug overdose deaths of Ohio residents by the specific drug involved from 2000 to 2011, and in the line below that that's darkened or highlighted, there's -- it says, "Still more deaths from prescription opioids than from cocaine, heroin, and marijuana combined." From your experience, did -- have you ever seen statistics that would serve the basis for this graph?

A. No.

2.

Q. Let's go to the next slide. Again, it says the "Scope of the Drug Abuse Problem. The number of drug overdose deaths in Ohio increased 440 percent from 1999 to 2011, from an average rate of less than 1 death per day to approximately 5 deaths per day."

Were you aware of that, sir, or do you agree with this statement?

- A. I'm not aware of this and I don't -- I don't know if it's true or not.
- Q. Well, if it is true -- I want you to assume it is true and it's being published by the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy. Going back to my earlier question as to whether or not we have an opioid epidemic in Ohio, do you believe that if this statement is true, that it is true that we have an epidemic?

MR. BARNES: Object to form.

A. I don't have an opinion either way, to be honest with you. I don't know who compiled these statistics and it had nothing to do with me and I don't work for the Board of Pharmacy anymore. So I have no knowledge or scope regarding this.

Page 234

Q. Let's go on to the very next page, which is page 15. I'm sorry. Page 17. So this slide from the Ohio Board of Pharmacy says that "In 2008, there were 14,800 prescription painkiller deaths," and that for every one death, there was ten treatment admissions for abuse, 32 emergency department visits for misuse or abuse, 130 people who abused -- who abuse or are dependent, and 825 non-medical users. Apparently this comes from the Center for Disease Control.

Having seen these statistics, sir, do you agree that there was an opioid epidemic at least as of 2008 in this country?

- A. I've never seen these statistics, and whoever compiled them would agree that there was a problem, but it has nothing to do with me and I've never seen this before.
- Q. All right. Let's go on to the next slide, page 18 of the slide. And apparently, as you can see, this slide presentation was, at least in part, written or produced for a presentation being made by Mr. Edwards. We can agree with that?
 - A. I can agree with that.

- Q. All right. Now, this slide, again it has as part of the background the "Scope of Drug Abuse Problem." And it says there, "Enough pain relievers were prescribed in Ohio in 2012 for every man, woman, and child to receive 56.1 milligrams of daily morphine equivalent doses."

 Do you have any reason to disagree with that?

 MR. BARNES: Object to form.
- A. I have no idea who compiled this, had nothing to do with me, and that's all I could tell you.
 - Q. Well --

- A. I'm not going to agree to something that I am not personally involved in. I have no reason to doubt whoever created this, but it had nothing to do with me and I ain't going to agree to something that I didn't personally prepare or investigate.
- Q. Well, Agent Pavlich, from, let's say, 1987 to 2012 you were an Ohio Board of Pharmacy agent and you were associated with the DEA federal task force, correct?
- A. I was an Ohio Board of Pharmacy
 agent and I worked in conjunction with other
 agents on the DEA and Mahoning County Drug Task

Page 236 1 Force, yes. 2. Ο. So during the course of that work 3 did you ever come to understand what the per capita dosage of Schedule II opioids was in any 4 5 of the counties where you worked? 6 Α. No. 7 0. Do you believe it was excessive during that time frame? 8 9 MR. BARNES: Object to form. 10 Α. Excessive is a big word. I knew 11 there was a problem. To what point, to 12 excessiveness, I don't know. 13 0. Well, would 92 doses per capita, in other words, for every man, woman and child in 14 15 Trumbull County, if there were 92 doses of 16 opioids per year, per capita, would that be an 17 overwhelmingly large number? 18 MR. BARNES: Object to form. 19 Α. I don't know. I really don't know. 20 Let's look at the next slide, Agent Q. This is a slide that's entitled 21 22 "Prescription Analgesic Doses Per Capita," and 23 the description of the map is that it shows the 24 per capita prescription analgesic dosage rate. 25 In 2012 the statewide average per capita dosage

Page 237 1 rate was 67.7 doses per person, and then you can 2. go to the map and see what the per capita rate was per county in 2012. Trumbull has 92 doses 3 4 per capita. 5 Do you see that? 6 Α. I do now. 7 0. And Lake County has 64.6 doses per capita, right? 8 9 Α. Yes. So what that means is that for every 10 11 man, woman and child in Trumbull County, there 12 was enough -- there were enough opioid 13 analgesics dispensed that every man, woman and child could have received 92, if you're using a 14 15 per capita number, correct? 16 Well, whoever compiled the 17 statistics believed so. I did not compile these statistics. 18 19 Well, if that is the case in 2012, 20 Trumbull County had 92 dosage units per capita, 21 can we agree that that is because there was over-prescribing and over-dispensing of drugs in 22 23 that county? 24 MR. BARNES: Object to form.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

I have no idea how these statistics

2.5

Α.

were compiled. I cannot answer something that I did not investigate or compile myself.

- Q. Well, have you ever -- have you had any contact with Mr. Edwards over the last five years?
- A. No. I had no contact with Mr. Edwards since I retired.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q. All right. Fair enough.

Let's go on to slide 20. This slide describes the scope of the drug abuse problem and says that the "Kids and" -- "Kids and Drugs: The big picture. More than 2,000 teens begin abusing prescription drugs each day. Teens abuse prescription drugs more than any other illicit drug, including marijuana.

33 percent of teens say they believe it's okay to use prescription drugs that were not prescribed to them to deal with injury, illness or pain."

Do you agree -- did you experience any of this while you were working as an agent for the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy?

- A. No. I never experienced any of this and I don't agree with this.
 - Q. All right. Go on to the next slide,

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Page 239 slide 21. Do you agree that prescription drugs are the most commonly abused drugs among 12 and 13-year-olds? Not in my neighborhood. Α. Do you agree that one in five teens 0. who admit to abusing prescription drugs say they began before age 14? Α. No, I don't agree. 0. What about the final statement, that 20 percent of high school seniors, 1 in 5, report using prescription drugs in the past year without a prescription? I would probably agree to that. Let's go on to the next slide. 0.

Q. Let's go on to the next slide.

Again, this continues on with the problems of drug misuse and abuse among kids. The statement summarizes it with respect to peer influence.

"49 percent of teens who abuse prescription drugs report getting them from friends."

Do you agree with that?

- A. I don't know what percentage it is, but I believe it would be one of the factors, yes.
- Q. And that's an incident of diversion, isn't it, or an example of diversion?

Page 240 That's an example of trafficking in 1 Α. 2. drugs. 3 Right. And would you agree, sir, Q. that the more drugs that are dispensed, the more 4 5 likely -- the higher the risk of diversion? 6 MR. BARNES: Object to form. 7 Α. No, I wouldn't agree to that. So let's use the example -- let's 8 Ο. 9 use an example of a -- an adult who has 10 dispensed 60 pills after a surgery and ends up 11 taking three or four of those pills and 12 determines that they no longer need them for 13 pain, post-surgical pain, but leaves those drugs 14 in his medicine cabinet, accessible to 15 teenage -- to his teenage children. 16 Have you ever heard of that 17 happening? 18 Α. Yeah, I've heard of that happening. 19 Okay. And is that a form of Ο. 20 diversion? 21 That's a form of theft of drugs. Α. 2.2 Ο. Is that the result of over-dispensing of medication? 23 24 Α. No. 2.5 Q. Okay. Let's go on to the next

slide, slide 23. So here the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy says that there's family influence associated with kids and drugs, that 40 percent -- 42 percent of teens who abuse prescription drugs report getting them from their relatives.

Did you know that or do you agree with it?

- A. Getting them, what's that define?

 Does that mean they gave it to them, they stole

 it from them, they mis- -- they lost them? I

 don't know. I can't agree to that.
- Q. Do you agree with the statement that about 1 in 14 say their parents don't care as much if they catch them abusing prescription drugs rather than illegal drugs?
- A. No, I don't agree to that because I know what I taught my daughter and that's not true.
- Q. All right. Do you agree that 27 percent of teens and 16 percent of parents say abusing prescription drugs is safer than abusing street drugs?
 - A. No, I don't agree with that either.
 - Q. Let's go on to then page 53 of this

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

2.

slide presentation. Now, this is a chart from the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy of patients receiving prescriptions for opioids in the state of Ohio. Do you see the numbers, 2 million starting in 2007 and then going up to over 3 million in 2012?

A. I see that graph.

2.

2.2

2.5

- Q. Now, Overholt's -- the shutdown of the Overholt's Pharmacy that occurred as a result of your investigation and the subsequent indictments, when did that occur?
- A. I don't know exactly when you're talking occurred. It began in approximately March of 2008, to the best of my knowledge, and concluded with the conviction of the three pharmacists just prior to my retirement on March 1st of 2012.
- Q. My question was, when, in your opinion, did the -- was the dispensing -- the large dispensing of drugs -- when did that end in terms of this pharmacy?
- A. It pretty much ended when I went into the pharmacy and did the inspection and made them aware that I'm looking at everything.
 - Q. And what year was that?

A. 2008.

- Q. And with respect to the Dr. Franklin investigation, when did -- when did he stop prescribing these large quantities of opioids as a result of your investigation?
- A. When I went in his office with the search warrant, I believe. That was pretty much the end of his career.
 - Q. And what year was that?
- A. That was, I believe, in 2008, but don't hold me to it. 2008.
- Q. Now, with respect to the internet store, you talked about that you investigated and I think shut down in 2006 where you talked about 1.25 million doses out of that store.

 That was 2006 when that was shut down, right?
- A. Approximately, yes, around that time period.
- Q. So these statistics, this graph showing this amount of -- this number of patients receiving prescriptions for opioids, this begins in 2007, long after you've already shut down this internet store, correct?
- A. Well, what you missed was the internet pharmacy was not an opiate dispensing

2.

2.2

Page 244

pharmacy. Those were legend prescription drugs. Legend prescription drugs are drugs required by prescription, but they do not fall into Schedule II, III, IV or V category. The internet pharmacy was no prescription controlled substances.

Q. Fair enough. Thank you for correcting me. That's very -- I very much appreciate that.

So if we look at these numbers on this graph, it shows an increasing number of patients receiving prescriptions for opioids from 2007 until 2012, right?

- A. That's what the graph shows.
- Q. And this internet pharmacy and the investigation of Overholt's and Dr. Franklin probably had very little effect on these numbers, correct?

MR. BARNES: Object to form.

- A. I don't know if it did or not, to be honest with you. I don't know.
- Q. And the per capita numbers of doses per capita in Trumbull County that -- where we -- that were shown on this map previously, that was from 2012. Do you remember that?

- A. Yeah, I remember what it had on the map.
- Q. Right. So by 2012 these -- this

 Dr. Franklin's illegal conduct and that of the

 Overholt's Pharmacy had nothing to do with those

 per capita numbers, did it?
- A. Again, I don't -- I don't know if they did or not. All I did was my job on that particular pharmacy and doctor.
 - Q. All right.

2.2

- A. I don't know if it affected any of these statistics.
 - Q. All right. Let's look at the next slide. This shows the number of opioid doses dispensed between 2007 and 2013. It looks like 2007 starts at about 600 million doses dispensed, to 2013, where it levels off at 800,000 doses.

Have I read that correctly?

- A. I agree that that's what it shows.
- Q. All right. And go on to the next slide. This is the number of opioid prescriptions written starting in 2007, over 11 million, peaking in 2008 at over 12 and a half million, and then dropping and then increasing

Page 246 again to 12 million in 2012, correct? 1 That's what I'm looking at. 2. Α. 3 All during the time frame that you were working as an agent for the Ohio State 4 5 Board of Pharmacy, right? I was working from '07 until March 6 7 1st of 2012, yes. 8 Ο. Right. And so can we agree now, 9 sir, that the opioid problem was related 10 directly to the number of opioid prescriptions 11 written and the opioid pills dispensed? 12 MR. BARNES: Object to form. 13 Α. I cannot agree to something that I 14 didn't compile nor can I agree of all illicit 15 opiate drugs that were crossing, for example, 16 the Mexican border and coming into Ohio on top 17 of this. I can't agree to that. 18 Q. Well, have you heard of the gateway 19 effect --20 Α. No. 21 -- of -- isn't it true, Agent 22 Pavlich, that the prescription and the use of opioid drugs can lead ultimately to the use of 23 illicit drugs, such as heroin and fentanyl? 24 25 Α. I have heard that, yes.

Q. Do you agree with that?

MR. BARNES: Object to form.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Well, I agree if you drink a beer, it could lead to alcohol -- so I guess that would be true.
- Q. So if you -- if you take opioid prescription drugs, like OxyContin, and become addicted to them, and then can find a cheaper source of feeding that addiction, like illicit drugs, it stands to reason that there is this gateway effect from opioid prescription drugs to illicit drugs, true?

MR. BARNES: Object to form.

Pete, you're trying to turn this witness into an expert witness. I think you're wasting a lot of time here going through a document that postdates his retirement. I don't know how many times --

MR. WEINBERGER: We don't need a speaking objection. You know that's improper under our CMO rules regarding depositions.

MR. BARNES: Object to form.

- A. So what are you asking here?
- Q. Do you agree with the statement that prescription opioid drugs are a gateway to the

- use of illicit drugs like fentanyl and heroin?

 MR. BARNES: Object to form.
- A. I agree that anything can lead to something worse, that's what I agree to, anything. From driving poorly you can get killed in an auto accident. One thing can lead to another. But that -- I don't -- I didn't compile this. I don't agree to this. I don't have no idea how this was compiled and I have nothing to do with anything involving what you're showing me so far, best I can tell you. You're asking me questions beyond my scope.
 - Q. Agent Pavlich, are you familiar with the term "trilogy"?
 - A. No.

2.

- Q. Are you familiar with the combination of opioids, muscle relaxants and sleeping pills as enhancing the opioid effects of opioids?
 - A. I've heard that in my career.
- Q. Have you ever investigated any pharmacy or prescriber who was prescribing the combination of those three classes of drugs?
- A. I'm sure I have, but I don't recall any one specific.

Now, this slide presentation -- just give me one moment here -- has a presentation of examples of criminal activity at the pharmacy level, and if you look at slide number 70 -- so this presentation includes various case studies. This particular case study is about a pharmacy technician, Jacob M., who the local police department contacts the board when they conduct a traffic stop and find within the suspect's car prescription bottles with various patient names, pharmacy documents, Walgreens receipts, and a blank prescription pad. The prescription pad comes back to a fictional pain management clinic with a fake address and a disconnected phone number. The suspect, a Walgreens pharmacy technician, tells police that he stole the pad from a friend's house and used it to fill fraudulent prescriptions.

Next slide. During the suspect's interview by the board, he admits to the following: Ordering the blank prescription pad online using fictitious doctor's information, entering the doctor into the Walgreens computer system, filling prescriptions in the name of patients whose insurance paid full price for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 250 them, consuming, trading and gifting the 1 medications he obtained. 3 Next slide. The investigation concludes that the suspect diverted 4,460 4 5 tablets of oxycodone, OxyContin, hydrocodone, Carisoprodol and other medications. Due to the 6 7 complexity of the crime and the degree of insurance fraud, he was indicted federally on 8 9 13 counts. And you could read the rest of it. 10 Does this case sound familiar to 11 you? 12 To me, no. Α. 13 Ο. You were not involved in this 14 investigation? 15 Α. Not that I remember. I never took 16 a case like this to the federal court. 17 wouldn't have found it big enough personally. I would have indicted this person in Trumbull 18 19 County or the county that I was working in. 20 This never came to my attention, no. 21 Can we agree, Agent Pavlich, that 2.2 the retail pharmacy chains' distribution and

MR. BARNES: Object to form.

an opioid epidemic in this country?

dispensing of pills significantly contributed to

23

24

2.5

A. I can't openly agree to something that broad, no.

2.

2.2

- Q. Did the number of opioid pills dispensed by retail pharmacies increase year to year from 2000 to 2012 while you were working as an agent for the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy?
- A. I don't know. I never compiled that particular statistic, so I'm unaware of the fact of it.
- Q. Has the opioid addiction problem caused serious damages to our communities, including Trumbull and Lake County?

MR. BARNES: Object to form.

- A. I'm sure problems have arisen from it.
- Q. Now, in your role as an agent for the Board of Pharmacy, have you become generally familiar with the Controlled Substances Act that was passed by Congress in 1970?
 - A. I'm familiar.
- Q. And do you agree that it was passed because of the recognition at that time that unless the manufacture, distribution and dispensing of prescription opioids was controlled, these prescriptions will get

Page 252 diverted into the hands of people who are not 1 2. legitimate patients with legitimate medical needs for opioids? 3 I have no idea why they set that 4 5 Controlled Substance Act into motion. 6 MR. WEINBERGER: Can we bring up 7 Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 1, please? MS. SWIFT: Pete, this is Kate 8 9 Swift. Did you share these or are you going to 10 share these with those of us who are 11 participating? 12 MR. WEINBERGER: I'm about to share 13 it on the screen. 14 MS. SWIFT: But beyond just sharing 15 it on the screen. Can you send us copies? 16 MR. WEINBERGER: Sure. 17 looking for Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 1. 18 Whoever is doing the tech for me, if you would 19 pull that up. 20 THE WITNESS: I see it. 21 MR. APPEL: This is Henry Appel. 22 Has a copy of the exhibits been provided to me? MR. BARNES: This is Bob Barnes. 23 24 was not provided any copies of any exhibits, 25 although I was asked to provide copies, that I

Page 253 did provide, including the entire binder to all 1 2. counsel. Pete, is there a reason why these haven't been shared? 3 MR. WEINBERGER: I'll withdraw the 4 5 question. 6 0. If the -- if Congress, at the time 7 that they passed the Controlled Substances Act, made a finding that the illegal importation, 8 9 manufacture, distribution and possession of improper use of controlled substances have a 10 substantial and detrimental effect on the health 11 12 and general welfare of the American people, 13 would you have any reason to disagree with that? 14 MR. BARNES: Object to form. 15 Α. I would have no reason to agree 16 with that. 17 No reason to agree or disagree? Q. 18 Α. To disagree. 19 All right. Can we agree that O. 20 diversion of opioids leads to opioid misuse and 21 addiction? 2.2 MR. BARNES: Object to form. 23 Α. Okay, I agree. 24 You've seen that, haven't you? Ο. 2.5 I've seen it. Α.

Q. Opioid addiction and diversion of opioid prescription affects the health and safety of communities like Lake and Trumbull Counties, true?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

something after --

- A. To an extent, but I don't know what extent.
- Q. So to prevent diversion, the Controlled Substances Act imposes obligations on all distributors and pharmacies to develop systems to prevent that diversion, true?

MR. MOYLAN: Objection to form.

MR. BARNES: Object to form. Pete, he already said he has no idea why the Controlled Substance Act was passed, and so I don't know why you are trying to get him to say

MR. WEINBERGER: Speaking objections, Mr. Barnes, are not permitted, so please refrain --

MR. BARNES: We have a limited amount of time. If you're going to -- if the witness says he has no knowledge of an area, why would you waste everybody's time?

mischaracterizing his testimony. Please stop

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

MR. WEINBERGER: You are

Page 255 with your speaking objections. 1 2. MR. BARNES: I'm making an objection to save time and efficiency here. 3 Are you aware of the fact, Agent 4 0. 5 Pavlich, that the Controlled Substances Act imposes obligations on distributors and 6 7 pharmacies to develop systems that prevent diversion? 8 9 Α. No. Beyond my scope. Beyond my 10 classification of what I did. I don't know. 11 Fair enough. 0. 12 Do you realize that these retail 13 pharmacy chains act as both distributors and dispensers of controlled substances? 14 Define distributors. 15 Α. 16 Where they're buying directly from 17 manufacturers and then distributing to their own pharmacies, that's what I mean. Do you 18 19 understand that? 20 Okay. I know pharmacies buy Α. 21 directly from manufacturers and they distribute 2.2 legitimately based on prescriptions. That's what I know. 23 24 All right. And are you aware of the Ο. 2.5 fact that the retail pharmacies who have been

sued in this case were both distributors and dispensers of opioid drugs?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Well, I know that pharmacies purchase drugs and they dispense them. That's all I know.
- Q. All right. But are you aware of the fact that, for example, CVS and Walgreens and Walmart were buying opioid drugs, prescription drugs, directly from manufacturers as opposed to going through third-party distributors?
- A. All I know is they would purchase from wholesalers. That's all I know.
- Q. So you weren't aware that they were buying directly from manufacturers?
- A. I know that they were purchasing from wholesalers. I don't know specific wholesalers off the top of my head. I know they were purchasing them for dispensing in their pharmacies.
 - Q. Right. So --
- A. If they found something illicit, I would have investigated it.
 - Q. So you've never investigated whether or not these Defendant retail pharmacies purchased directly from manufacturers, correct?

Page 257 1 I mean, they could have. I don't Α. 2. know. Are you aware of the obligation of 3 Q. distributors to set up suspicious order 4 5 monitoring systems to monitor the distribution of drugs from their distribution centers to 6 7 their retail pharmacies? That was beyond my class. 8 Α. No. 9 That would have been out of the office in 10 Columbus. 11 So who in the -- at the office in Ο. 12 Columbus would have been in charge of looking at 13 the distribution conduct of the pharmacies? During my career, probably my 14 15 immediate -- or my supervisor in Columbus, 16 Robert Cole, C-O-L-E. 17 Robert Cole. Did you ever discuss Ο. with Mr. Cole whether or not the Ohio State 18 19 Board of Pharmacy ever investigated the 20 distribution practices of any of these Defendant 21 pharmacies? Not to my knowledge did I. 2.2 Α. Now, let's talk then about the 23 0. 24 obligations of these pharmacies as dispensers.

You understand that dispensing is

2.5

Page 258 what pharmacists do when they fill 1 prescriptions and sell the prescriptions to 2. 3 customers? Yes, I understand that aspect. 4 Α. 5 Do you understand that the 6 Controlled Substances Act requires that 7 pharmacies that dispense controlled substances have an obligation to provide effective controls 8 and procedures to guard against theft and 10 diversion? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Do you agree that the Controlled 13 Substances Act and the regulations under the 14 CFR, the Code of Federal Regulations, require 15 the retail pharmacies to create and maintain 16 records and data that keeps track of every 17 prescription opioid? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Do you understand that that has been 20 the case ever since 1970, when the Controlled 21 Substances Act went into existence? I believe it happened before that, 2.2 Α. 23 they would keep records. 24 Ο. Right. And so the -- the dispensing of opioids by these retail pharmacies requires 2.5

Page 259 that they create and maintain data and records 1 2. regarding every prescription they dispense, 3 true? MR. BARNES: Object to form. 4 5 Α. I would believe so, yes. And that is what is required of 6 0. 7 every local pharmacy by their -- by the corporation, or at the corporate level that owns 8 9 these pharmacies, correct? 10 Α. Records must be maintained of 11 controlled substances, yes. 12 0. Right. And these records keep track 13 of the -- of the name of the opioid dispensed, 14 true? 15 Α. True. 16 The dosage? Ο. 17 Α. True. 18 The number of pills dispensed? Q. 19 Α. True. 20 The prescribing doctor? Q. 21 Α. True. The patient's name, address, age and 2.2 Ο. other identifying information? 23 24 Α. True. The number of refills? 2.5 0.

A. True.

2.

2.2

- Q. Whether or not the opioids are prescribed in combination with benzodiazepines or muscle relaxants?
- A. I don't know if they maintain their records in that order.
- Q. Well, a retail pharmacy, who's keeping track of their dispensing data, should be able to have data on patients who receive opioids and combinations of these other drugs, true?
- A. Well, that depends upon the sophistication of your software system. You can create during the month of December all dispensings, but can it sort it out like an OARRS system? I don't know. I don't work for a wholesaler.
- Q. Well, you have -- well, you don't work for a retail pharmacy either, right?
- 20 A. Or a retail pharmacy should I say.
 21 Sorry.
 - Q. Now, you did tell us in your direct examination that when OARRS came into existence -- I want to use your descriptive term -- on its face, the OARRS data was an

Page 261 1 enlightening experience, using your terms, 2. right? 3 Yes, it was. Α. And it was enlightening because you 4 Ο. 5 were able to look at data and sort data through OARRS that would tell you all sorts of 6 information about patients and prescribers, 8 true? 9 MR. BARNES: Object to form. 10 Α. True. 11 One of the other things it might 0. 12 tell you, and you used this example, is whether 13 or not the patient paid with cash or insurance, 14 right? 15 Α. I don't recall if it listed that. 16 I can't recall that. 17 But that would be an important part 18 of data that the retail pharmacies would 19 probably be keeping, right? 20 It would show in a retail pharmacy 21 profile, but I don't remember it being in the 2.2 OARRS profile, best I can answer. 23 Right. But if the retail pharmacy's Ο. dispensing data kept track of it, that would be 24 a useful piece of information, right? 25

A. Yeah, to an extent it would be useful to me, to me.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. So between 1987 and 2006, when this OARRS system came online in the state of Ohio, did you ever, in the course of your investigation, ask any of the pharmacies that you investigated what data they had available to them or that was stored by their corporate offices?
 - A. Never asked that question, no.
- Q. But if -- if the kinds of data that is accumulated and stored in the OARRS system was also data that was available for a particular retail pharmacy, both locally and nationally, that would indicate all sorts of trends and important information that a pharmacy -- pharmacist might be able to use to determine whether or not a particular prescription was problematic, true?

MS. SWIFT: Object to form.

- A. Shorten that question.
- Q. Sure.

If, as you have stated, the pharmacies are accumulating data with every dispensed prescription, you would have

Page 263 1 information about the patient history and 2. opioids, true? 3 If I was physically in the Α. 4 pharmacy, yes. 5 At the corporate level or at the 6 pharmacy level, if you had the data available 7 through software and through algorithms created with that software, you should be able to 8 identify prescription history of opioids with 10 respect to a particular patient, right? 11 I'd be able to create a patient Α. 12 profile. 13 0. You should be able to create a 14 prescriber profile, right? 15 Α. Again, if it had the technical 16 abilities, yes. 17 Well, haven't you learned over the 18 years as -- in your position that the pharmacy 19 business is very much data driven? 20 Α. Data? 21 Ο. Data driven, yes. 2.2 Α. Define your word for data. 23 That the way in which pharmacies 0. 24 operate is based, to a large extent, on the data 25 they accumulate.

A. I don't know.

Q. Well, if -- if dispensing data was accumulated at the corporate level of, let's say, CVS or Walgreens, both for all the local dispensing and for dispensing nationwide, it would help their pharmacists, for example, identify bad doctors, wouldn't it?

MS. SWIFT: Object to form, foundation.

- A. I don't think so, but --
- Q. You don't think that a data system of CVS would help identify bad doctors who were working out of opioid pill mills?

MR. BARNES: Objection to form.

- A. Well, when I would walk in a pharmacy, the computer never talked to me. It was a pharmacist that talked to me. That's who I would identify.
- Q. Which reminds me of the fact that when we went through all of the inspection reports, Agent Pavlich, one of the -- one of the sections was noting the hardware that was being utilized. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You never investigated what data was

available to pharmacists that had been accumulated at the corporate level from all this dispensing data, correct?

- A. No, I never investigated that.
- Q. But we do know that once OARRS came into existence, there was a lot of data that was available and could be used to help pharmacists determine whether or not a particular prescription should be flagged or was problematic, true?
- 11 A. Yes. OARRS would help in that 12 respect.
 - Q. Now, the advantage that OARRS has is that there's data across all the various pharmacies that are dispensing opioids, true?
 - A. Yes, true.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. But what I have been engaging -- the conversation I have been engaging you in up until now is about the data available to a particular retail pharmacy chain based upon their own data. Do you understand that?
- A. Yeah, I do, but I have no idea what they compiled at HQ or whatever. I never was at one of those HOs.
 - Q. You say HQ. You mean headquarters?

- A. Yeah, their headquarters where you're saying all this data was going, I was never in one of those places, never in my career.
- Q. Right. So your investigation never included what data might be available at the headquarters of CVS, Walgreens, Walmart, Rite-Aid or Giant Eagle, true?
- A. Never, never asked to be there and never was there.
- Q. So the fact that, for example,
 Mr. Barnes' client, Giant Eagle, had all these
 inspections that, for the most part, passed
 muster has nothing to do with what data they had
 at the corporate level regarding their
 dispensing data and what data they might have
 supplied to their pharmacist, correct?

 MR. BARNES: Object to form.

A. You're losing me here, but all I know is the individual locations where I issued an inspection was for the individual location. What they did with their data, what they did with their information, I have no idea. I mean, it could have gone into hyperspace. I have no idea.

2.5

Page 267 1 MR. APPEL: Sorry to interrupt. 2 This is Henry. We are about -- had about two hours since our last break. Would it be 3 appropriate to take another break now? 4 5 MR. WEINBERGER: Sure. MALE VOICE: Do you have an idea of 6 7 how much more time you have? MR. WEINBERGER: It shouldn't be 8 9 too much longer. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the record at 4:58. This marks the end of 11 12 media unit number 5. 13 (Recess had.) 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:12. This marks the beginning 15 16 of media unit 6. 17 BY MR. WEINBERGER: 18 Agent Pavlich, going back to OARRS, Q. 19 which you described is an enlightening experience in terms of the data and information 20 it can provide -- that was your description, 21 2.2 correct? 23 Α. Yes, enlightening. 24 Yes. So once it came into Ο. existence, the pharmacist can use the data to 2.5

Page 268 determine if a prescription is legitimate or 1 2. not, true? 3 Α. Not necessarily on that basis alone. 4 5 But it is a --0. 6 Α. It's a tool. 7 It's a tool for the pharmacist to 0. use and then determine whether or not additional 8 9 due diligence must be performed on that 10 prescription, right? 11 Yes. To certain aspects, true. Α. 12 And one of the ways to perform due 0. 13 diligence is to contact the doctor and call the 14 doctor's office to confirm the diagnosis, the 15 reason for the prescription, and other important 16 information, true? 17 Α. Yes. Those are some of the 18 questions. 19 What other due diligence could be Ο. 20 performed? What other questions could be asked? You could ask the doctor if they're 21 2.2 aware of other doctors prescribing the same 23 drug, did there records indicate that they actually authorized, for an example, a 24 telephone prescription for this particular 25

Page 269 controlled substance or did someone in their 1 2. office illegally telephone this in without their knowledge. I mean, there's lots of 3 questions you can ask specific to a 4 5 prescription. 6 Q. Right. 7 And you also mentioned that in some instances pharmacists can call other pharmacies 8 9 in the area to determine whether or not a 10 similar prescription for that same patient has been filled, right? 11 12 Α. That is true. 13 0. And that happens, right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. You've seen that in your experience, 16 right? 17 Α. Yes. Now, the enlightening experience of 18 19 OARRS and the data in OARRS is that you can use 20 that data to look at the prescribing habits of 21 the doctor, right? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 You can look at the patient profile, Q. 24 right? 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. Similar to the information that you get when you're -- when you're performing a DUR, right?
 - A. More amplified.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. You can determine the distance -- can use the data to determine the distance that the patient traveled from his home to the pharmacy?
- 9 A. Yes, I believe you can do that. 10 Yes.
 - Q. Can you use the data to determine the distance between the doctor's -- the prescribing doctor's office and the pharmacy?
 - A. Well, it would be an approximation. If the doctor's office is in Cleveland and the pharmacy is in Trumbull County, I know it's a long distance. I don't know how many miles, but I know it's a long distance.
 - Q. You can determine whether the patient in the past has paid for opioids with cash or with the use of insurance?
 - A. I'm not sure on that, what OARRS -- if it had that data or not. I am not sure on that.
 - Q. You can determine the prior history

Page 271 1 of the patient with respect to dosage strengths 2. and number of pills of opioids previously dispensed, true? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 And you can determine that same information or prescriber history with respect 6 7 to doses, strengths and number of pills dispensed to that -- by that prescriber to his 8 9 or her patients, true? 10 Α. Yes. 11 All of this data helps the 0. 12 pharmacist determine whether additional due 13 diligence must be performed on a particular 14 prescription before it's dispensed, true? 15 MS. SWIFT: Object to the form. 16 Yes, if they ran an OARRS report. Α. 17 And all of this is then done or Q. 18 should be done by the pharmacist in order for 19 the pharmacist to fulfill his or her 20 corresponding obligation to ensure that the 21 prescription dispensed is for a legitimate 22 medical purpose, true? 23 MR. BARNES: Object to form. 24 If they have the data in front of Α.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

them, true. If they didn't run an OARRS

2.5

Page 272 1 report, they wouldn't know. 2. 0. Right. 3 Have you done any investigation of any of the retail pharmacies regarding what 4 5 written policies they have that governs the conduct of their pharmacists who dispense 6 7 opioids? I would say no. All I know is they 8 Α. 9 have -- every pharmacy had a law book in their 10 library, which are the drug laws of the State 11 of Ohio, and they were to comply with those 12 drug laws. 13 0. And if the pharmacist had written 14 policies that defined what red flags they should 15 be -- that the pharmacist should be looking at 16 from their own internal compilation of 17 dispensing data, you have no knowledge of that, 18 correct? 19 Α. No, I had no knowledge of their 20 internal memos or documents. None that I 21 recall. 2.2 And your investigations never Ο. 23 revealed any of those policies to you, did they? 24 Α. No. I would say it had not. 2.5 Q. And your -- just like your

- investigations never revealed what data systems the pharmacies had to keep track of their dispensing data and to run algorithms on that data, correct?
 - A. My inspections would show what software they had in the pharmacy. Beyond that extent, I just documented what software they had. I -- I'm computer dumb.
 - Q. But what information in terms of data analysis that software provided, you would have no idea, correct?
 - A. Yeah. Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- MR. WEINBERGER: All right, sir.
- Those are all the questions I have. Thanks for your time.
- EXAMINATION OF GEORGE P. PAVLICH
 BY MS. SWIFT:
 - Q. Thank you very much for your time today and I'll try to keep it as short as possible. My name is Kate Swift and I am going to ask you questions principally about Walgreens this afternoon.
 - First I'd like to ask you some questions about the inspections you performed when you were at the Board of Pharmacy. Is one

Page 274 of the purposes of those inspections to ensure 1 2. that a pharmacy is complying with the rules 3 around dispensing prescription medications like opioids? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. Is one of the purposes of the 7 inspections that you performed for the Board of Pharmacy to ensure that a pharmacy is complying 8 with the rules around preventing theft and 10 diversion? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And I think you testified about this 0. 13 earlier, but do you understand diversion to mean 14 the movement of prescription medications like 15 opioids from legitimate channels to illegitimate 16 channels or illicit channels? 17 I agree, yes. Α. You testified a number of times 18 19 today that you tried to be very thorough in your 20 inspections, right, sir? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 Ο. I believe I heard you say at one point this morning that you consider yourself to 23 24 be aggressive or you did when you were 25 inspecting pharmacies for the Board of Pharmacy;

is that fair?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. I was very aggressive.
- Q. You were aggressive in making sure that you did thorough inspections to determine whether a pharmacy was in compliance with the Board of Pharmacy's rules and regulations?
- A. Yes. No matter chain or independent, I treated them all equal.
- Q. When you conducted inspections for the Ohio Board of Pharmacy, did you make sure to review whatever you needed to review in order to give yourself comfort that that pharmacy was complying with the Ohio Board of Pharmacy's rules and regulations?
- A. I always tried to be thorough and document anything that I found was not legitimate.
- Q. If there was something that you wanted to review and you couldn't for whatever reason, did you document that as well?
- A. I don't know what I couldn't review. If I asked a pharmacist to produce something, they always -- at least in the majority of everything that I requested I got.
 - Q. I believe I heard you testify that

you never had occasion to ask a pharmacy's headquarters for data that it might be analyzing; is that right?

- A. Yes. I never -- I mean, I'm not, you know, on the life on my child saying I never did that, but I'm telling you I don't recall any time I communicated directly with corporate.
- Q. When you found evidence of diversion going on at a pharmacy, you definitely made note of that in your inspection reports, correct?
- A. I made note of that and would thoroughly investigate it in multiple ways.
- Q. And you might even start a prosecution-type investigation. You testified about that with respect to Mr. Overholt's pharmacy, right?
- A. Yes. I would conduct an inspection. If I found multiple illicit prescriptions, for an example, I would question the pharmacist who's present or pharmacists that are present, and if I determined at that point I needed to conduct an investigation, I would call Columbus, get a case number, document it, and proceed. And if it resulted

2.

2.2

2.5

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Page 277 in something criminal, I would take it to the prosecutor for that specific county, most of the times at the common pleas court but I had taken cases to federal court. Would you agree with me, sir, that 0. it's very important for agents like yourself to do those things when they find evidence of diversion at a pharmacy, that's important for protecting the public safety? Absolutely. Α. If you found anything amiss with respect to the way a pharmacy was maintaining or using its data, would you have made note of that? Α. Oh, yeah, I would have made note of it.

- 15 16
 - And you testified at length about Q. Mr. Overholt's pharmacy in particular and the fact that that pharmacy was not maintaining controls against diversion, right?
 - Absolutely they were not.
 - Ο. And you did something about it, right? You weren't going to stand by and let that happen, fair?
 - I was immediately on top of Α. No.

Page 278 it. 1 2. Ο. I believe I heard you say that you trained a number of the agents at the Board of 3 Pharmacy. Do I have that right? 4 5 I trained every new agent at the Board of Pharmacy after 1987, including a 6 7 couple of specialists, not to the practice of pharmacy but to investigative technique. 8 9 0. Understood. And did you train those 10 agents and specialists to be as aggressive and 11 thorough in their inspections as you were in 12 yours? 13 Α. Not everybody listened to me and 14 used my aggressive technique. Some had 15 different types of personalities than me. 16 some just weren't as capable. Not that I was a 17 superstar, but some just weren't as capable to 18 do large-scope investigations. 19 Do you believe that, by and large, 20 the agents and specialists who conduct 21 inspections of pharmacies for the Ohio Board of 2.2 Pharmacy take the job seriously? 23 Not as seriously as I did, all of 24 them. Some, but not all.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

All right. Let's talk about a

2.5

Q.

Page 279 particular inspection. I'm going to show you 1 what should be in -- you've got the envelopes, 2. 3 right? Oh, the envelopes, yes. 4 Α. 5 A through L. Ο. 6 Α. Which one? 7 We're going to start with the letter Ο. A and I will introduce it using the Exhibit 8 9 Share software. 10 MS. SWIFT: Renee, can I trouble 11 you to tell me where we are with the exhibits, 12 if you know? 13 THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know. 14 I would have to just go on Exhibit Share. (Discussion had off the record.) 15 16 MR. THOMAS: Kate, I believe the 17 last exhibit from the Edwards deposition, they 18 just added them to the end, and I believe the 19 last number was 55. 20 MS. SWIFT: Well, how about if I 21 start with 56? And I'm going to introduce --22 let's see if I can add. Everybody should get a 23 copy of that that they could look at. 24 MR. THOMAS: And remember to please refresh your Exhibit Share so the exhibit shows 25

```
Page 280
    up in the marked exhibit folder.
1
2.
                 MS. SWIFT: Thank you. I will. I
3
    appreciate that.
4
5
                 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 56,
6
                 Walgreens Pharmacy Inspection
7
                 Reports Beginning Bates Stamp
                 BOP_MDL1801987, was marked for
8
                 purposes of identification.)
9
10
11
                 And, Mr. Pavlich, you are welcome to
           0.
12
    open, yes, that envelope that has the A on it,
13
    and that will be Exhibit 56 for the record.
14
                 Sir, would you agree with me that
15
    Exhibit 56 is a set of inspection reports for a
16
    Walgreens pharmacy on West Market Street in
    Warren, Ohio?
17
                 Yes. It looks like 804 West Market
18
           Α.
19
    Street.
20
                And several of these are your
           Ο.
21
    inspection reports, correct, sir?
2.2
                 Let's see if they're all mine.
23
    They're not all mine. I have a distinct G and
24
    then Pavlich. Toward the end they're not.
2.5
           Q. But the first several reports are
```

Page 281 1 your inspection reports? 2. Α. Yes, the first several. Yes. 3 You mentioned a couple of times Ο. today that your inspection reports followed a 4 5 standard format. And is that the format that we see in Exhibit 56? 6 7 Α. Let's see. In particular, I'm talking about the 8 9 list of 37 items along the left-hand side of the 10 page. 11 Yeah, I see the items. Α. 12 particular inspection, I was only there a half 13 hour. They had a barricade. That's why. 14 was just looking at their barricade. So this 15 first inspection was primarily just for their 16 barricade. This might have been --17 I think it was a new store. 0. 18 Yeah, it was a brand-new store. Α. I'm looking at it here trying to figure this 19 20 out, why I was only there a half hour. That's 21 why. 2.2 And we'll get to the details of the Ο. specific reports in a minute, but first I'd like 23 24 to ask you just about the format of the reports,

so not the actual specific content.

25

You can see that each of these has information about the store, such as the address and phone number, at the top of each page.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. And that was a standard for your inspection reports?
 - A. Yes. In the far left, yes.
- Q. And then they also -- the form includes a spot to note the responsible person at the pharmacy.

Do you see that?

- A. Well, it had responsible person.

 That would be the one that signed for the terminal distributor license for that location, and then if there was another pharmacist working there, in this particular case it's a supervisor who was there. This thing wasn't even -- it was hollow inside. I just came to see specifically how they were going to do what they were going to do.
- Q. And there's room for additional personnel to be noted below the name and address of the pharmacy. That's all standard?

A. Right, standard.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Then focusing on the list of 37 items on the left-hand side of the page, is that a list of the things the inspector is supposed to address during the inspection?
 - A. It's a guide.
- Q. If you would, please, sir, are there items in that list that relate to theft or diversion, and if so, can you identify them?
- A. Theft or diversion? Well, security would fall into the possibility of theft or diversion. Improper dispensing would fall into that category, illegal sales, illegal purchases, improper Rx's, DEA inventory. That pretty much covers it.
- Q. You see number 10 in the list, accountability?
- A. Yeah, accountability would also be part of what I would document.
- Q. What does that mean, accountability, in this context?
- A. Accountability is are the pharmacists maintaining records for -- if you purchased a thousand tablets of oxycodone, you have records to indicate that you dispensed 500

and there's 500 in stock. That would be accountability. That would be what I would call a true level of accountability, a zero balance inventory.

- Q. Does accountability also relate to just standard recordkeeping, not necessarily inventory and making sure you've got as much as you're supposed to have, but just keeping records?
 - A. Yes.

2.

- Q. Then if you look at number 11 and number 20 on the list, 11 is improper dispensing and 20 is improper Rx's. What is the difference between those two?
- A. Well, improper dispensing would be the pharmacist dispensed a medication and they shouldn't have. They dispensed -- there was 30 tablets prescribed and they dispensed 90. That would be improper. And improper Rx's would be they received a prescription from not a legitimate doctor, like a dentist writing diet drugs. That would be an improper Rx. So there's a distinction between the two.
- Q. And number 15 is illegal sales. What does that mean in this context?

2.2

Page 285

A. Let me think about that. It would probably be they sold drugs, let's say, from one pharmacy to another pharmacy without a proper paper trail. The pharmacy across the street has a patient that needs something, Fioricet tablets, and they're short, and they call over to his buddy across the street and says, Can you give me a hundred tablets of this drug, and they said sure, and they give it to a tech and she brings it across the street.

Well, if there's no paperwork, then it's an improper procedure.

Q. Got it. That's helpful.

Is something like you just described, where one pharmacy sells a particular medication to another pharmacy, is that proper under certain circumstances, like if there is a proper paper trail?

- A. Well, if there's a proper paper trail, one terminal distributor can distribute -- can sell to another.
 - Q. Got it. All right.

Take a look, if you would, please, at number 37 on the list, which says,

"Counseling." What does that mean?

Page 286

- A. 37, counseling. Oh, are they asking the patient do you have any questions of the pharmacist. Is the pharmacist counseling the patient while I'm in the pharmacy and the patient says if I take this drug and this drug, what will happen, and the pharmacist counsels them. That's what that is.
- Q. I noticed, in looking through the reports that you conducted on the Walgreens pharmacies, that this list of 37 changed over time, it got longer. Is that consistent with your recollection?
- A. No. I didn't remember that. Oh, it did get longer.
- Q. Yeah. Take a look, if you would, at -- there's a report in here dated March 29th, 2010.
 - A. Yeah, I see it.
 - Q. So the first one we looked at was in the year 2000, so then this one, March 29th, 2010, is about ten years later, and you can see there are now 40 items on the list, right?
- A. I never noticed that. That's news to me.
 - Q. Well, let's look at -- I'd like to

Page 287 ask you about the things that got added. I 1 2. think it's more or less the same, though you can take a look for yourself. You don't have to 3 take my word for it. So up until 37, and then 4 5 38, 39, 40 are -- PSE sales is 38, OARRS is 39, 6 and confidentiality is 40, correct? 7 Α. That's what it says. What is the reference to PSE sales, 8 0. 9 if you know? What does that mean? 10 I must have missed that class 11 because I have no idea what that is. I'm 12 trying to think, PSE. I have no idea. 13 0. If I say pseudoephedrine, does that 14 jog your memory? 15 Α. It could be. 16 But you don't know? Ο. 17 I'm guessing. I'm taking your word Α. 18 for it. 19 We've talked a bit about OARRS 0. 20 today, but in this context, meaning when OARRS 21 appears in this list of items on the left-hand 22 side of an inspection report form, what does that mean? 23 24 Α. That probably means they're utilizing OARRS in their dispensing practice. 25

- Q. And then number 40, confidentiality, what does that mean in this context of the Board of Pharmacy inspection report form?
- A. I have no idea why they put that in there. Confidentiality would be -- to my -- to my feeling, would fall under security, you know, but -- you know, everything is secure and confidential, but I don't know. I don't recall ever documenting this, or did I. I don't know. I don't think so. Listen, I must have been sleeping. I'm telling you. I missed this.
- Q. Then underneath the list there's a check box and you can see sometimes it's checked and sometimes it's not, and the check box is next to something that says, "Pink sheet issued for numbers." And is it -- am I intuiting correctly that if the box is checked, that means the inspector has found an issue during the inspection?
- A. Yeah. That's a written -- what we called a written notice of compliance that needed to be addressed and written in a reply to the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy as to a corrective procedure. So it would be X'd, and then what specifically I wanted to bring to

2.

2.2

- their attention, I would put number 11, improper dispensing, and 28, Rx initial and date. So that's what that is.
- Q. And you answered my next question, which was when that check box refers to a pink sheet being issued for numbers, what that means is an issue with one of the 1 through 37 or 1 through 40 itemized issues there; is that right?
- A. Yes, specific to whatever I circled or numbered, I'm bringing their attention to it.
- Q. And you can see with respect to this report in March of 2010, there's a check box -- the check box is marked for numbers 11 and 28, and the 11 appears to refer to improper dispensing?
 - A. Yeah.

2.

2.2

- Q. And I think if you follow along through, 28 refers to initial and date, as you can see on the next page?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. That's all very helpful.

If the box next to the pink sheet notation is not checked, does that mean that you didn't find any issues in the course of the

inspection that were --

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- It meant that I found no issues that I wanted a written reply on. Now, I might have found some issues that I would bring to the attention of the pharmacist in the course of my inspection, whether orally or in writing, but not everything that I would find I would document. You know, if I -- I don't know. I would find something -- if I had a pharmacist that I thought was really doing a good job, and I could tell usually within the first half an hour -- if I got a pharmacist that's doing a good job, I would orally tell them something to correct or whatever and put it in writing. Pink sheet -- you got to understand, pharmacists, you know, they took some of the time these things personal, and if I didn't think it needed to be documented in a written reply, I did not do it.
- Q. Is it fair to say that if there was no check mark next to the -- strike that.

If you decided not to issue a pink sheet, meaning there was no -- the box was not checked, did that generally mean that the pharmacy -- you had determined the pharmacy was

Page 291 in compliance with the rules and regulations? 1 Α. Yes. 3 Okay. Then just to finish out the format of these reports, there's a large amount 4 5 of lined space kind of in the middle of the 6 page, and that's where you documented your 7 findings as you went through the inspection? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And then there's a signature line at 10 the bottom, one for the signature of person in 11 Is that a signature for somebody who charge. 12 works at the pharmacy? 13 Α. That's a signature for only a 14 pharmacist. 15 0. Then there's a signature of 16 inspector. And for many of these that's you, 17 correct? 18 Α. That's me. 19 I believe you testified earlier 20 today that the handwriting we see on these 21 reports is yours; is that right? 2.2 On this one but not the next one 23 (indicating). 24 The ones that you signed, is that 0. typically your handwriting? 25

A. These are mine. Distinctive G P-A-V-L-I-C-H, that was mine.

- Q. Is this the format that you used to conduct inspections throughout the time that you were an agent for the Board of Pharmacy?
- A. Yes. Some more extensive than others, some less documentation, sometimes more documentation. All depends how much time I had on my hands, for one thing, and how much problem I saw. I mean, sometimes I would go into a pharmacy and I just was very impressed most of the time, most of the time. And I was thorough, but I was -- I could be thorough in an hour in some pharmacies. In some I would like to spend a weekend with them.
- Q. Did you -- when you were completing these inspection reports, did you do it while you were at the pharmacy?
 - A. Oh, always, yes.
- Q. Did you have a clipboard so that you could stand there and literally fill it out while you were there with the pharmacist?
- A. Well, I didn't carry a clipboard and 14 pens in my pocket. I just kind of put the sheet down and I would go and -- like the

Page 293 first one, licensing, see if they had their 1 licensing on the wall and if they had their 2 3 personal ID there or on their person, and then I would go, you know, sometimes down the line, 4 5 sometimes jumping here or there. You know, it all depends. I used to have a good feel for 6 7 the pharmacy. You know, it's like when I was a policeman, you walked in very nice-looking 8 9 houses and you walked in very bad-looking houses, so, you know --10 11 Okay. Now I'd like you to please Ο. 12 turn back to the very beginning of Exhibit 56, 13 this document we've been looking at, and I want 14 to ask you some questions about the reports that 15 you did for this Walgreens pharmacy in Warren, 16 Ohio. 17 This Walgreens on West Market 18 Street is in Trumbull County, correct, sir? 19 Yes, it is. Α. 20 On this first one we see the name of Q. 21 Scott W. Weamer under the responsible person? 22 Yes, I know him, and I don't 23 know -- I don't recall the supervisor, but I know the pharmacist that signed this. This was 24 25 Paul McEvey.

- Q. What do you -- what did you think of Mr. Weamer, the responsible pharmacist?
- A. A very capable pharmacist. He later on became a policeman. And he had a wife that was a pharmacist. Paul McEvey -- again, I don't remember the supervisor, but Paul McEvey, another very capable, good pharmacist.
- Q. And Mr. Weamer and Mr. McEvey, the two Walgreens pharmacists listed in this report in Exhibit 56, it sounds like you had a good working relationship with them; is that true?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Did they provide you tips on bad doctors, forged prescriptions, patients who might have been diverting their prescription medications?
- A. I believe they had. I can't remember specific cases, but they were good pharmacists, yes.
- Q. And one more question about just the standard format on these inspection reports.

 You testified a minute ago that if the box is not checked at the bottom of the page for the pink sheet, that that tends to mean the pharmacy is in compliance, based on your inspection, with

the rules and regulations?

A. Yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. Does it also mean that you didn't find any evidence of theft or diversion at that pharmacy during that inspection?
- A. That is true. What it means in a nutshell is during my inspection I found nothing that I would account for that would require a written reply, but it does not mean that there is no diversion or theft in that pharmacy because I did not do an audit. And an audit -- the only way I would know if there was non-accountability is doing a beginning audit and an ending audit and getting the in-between. So an inspection is good, it gives you a snapshot, but it's not a motion picture film, in other words.
- Q. Were there times when the Board of Pharmacy would require an audit like you just described?
- A. It's not that they would require it. It would be I would require it if I found -- well, let's just say that Paul McEvey said -- calls me up and says, "George, I just bought five 500-tablet bottles of oxycodone and

they were put on the shelf and I have four, and I know I didn't dispense that." Well, that would result in an audit.

- Q. Is that the kind of thing that the Walgreens pharmacists would do if they could not account for one of their bottles of oxycodone, they would give you a call?
- A. Well, they would -- an individual pharmacist would call me sometimes about bad prescriptions and things and they would call me about something like that and/or they had an excellent -- I don't know the supervisor, but Brian Joyce was their supervisor and he was excellent. He called me on everything and never interfered. I mean, he was right on board. He was an independent pharmacist, at one time had his own store, and then he started working for Walgreens as a staff pharmacist and then became a supervisor. And he was excellent.
- Q. Got it. All right. Take a look at the second page of Exhibit 56, which is -- it appears to be -- you'll have to tell me if I've got this right -- a full inspection for the store when it was first opened; is that correct?

2.2

Wait a minute. All right. Yeah, the first one, there was nothing there. think I got a phone call in to see where they were going to put the pharmacy and if it looked like it was going to be okay inside during construction because I put under construction. Now, this one, this is the follow-up, because I gave them the inspection -- I think the license. Yeah, I would have gave them the license and the inspection, the first one, and this is the follow-up after it was built and ready to roll, so, yeah, a month later. And you can see where it says under "Personnel" towards the top of the page, "Full inspection, new store"? Yeah, and that "F" up there, I must have done that. You made a note in the first page of Ο. this inspection report, which is dated March 13th, 2000 -- there's a note about a metal track not able to slide. I should have you read because it's your handwriting, not mine. "The barricade consists of three Α. metal draw-down curtains. The curtains are

secured from within the pharmacy. Note:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

metal track is not able to slide lock into the framework." Oh, yeah, I remember this. "The wrong track was affixed in the window. It appears that the tracks were misinstalled by the contractor." Okay. Yeah. It wasn't -- it wasn't going to be within compliance of security that -- when I did a barricade inspection, I mean, I pulled on the barricade to see if it pulled out from the wall. I checked the tracks to make sure everything locked secure and I found the problem there.

- Q. And then you can see, if you flip ahead a few pages to the page with the number ending 1994, there's a note at the bottom of your prescription room barricade inspection report that you advised Pharmacist Weamer to correct this concern immediately with respect to the --
- A. Yeah. As a matter of fact, I thought -- I thought -- if I remember this correctly, I thought they had someone arrive at the store while I was there. They were going to correct it. So I -- I don't believe I issued a pink sheet.
 - Q. It doesn't appear that you did.

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 299 No, I did not. 1 Α. 2. Ο. The box isn't checked. And I think that's because they had 3 Α. someone come into the store -- I remember 4 5 this -- and they were going to correct it, but I still documented what I found when I was 6 7 They were very good. I mean, I would there. say Walgreens was right on top of things. 8 9 Q. Walgreens was right on top of things 10 as a general matter; is that right? 11 Walgreens was on top of things in 12 compliance as far as I'm concerned. 13 0. All right. Now I want to ask you 14 some questions about the report that is dated 15 July 18th, 2002, and it starts right after that 16 barricade inspection report that we were just 17 looking at. 18 Started right after it? Α. 19 It's the page ending 1995. 0. 20 Yeah, I see that, and after the Α. 21 barricade -- the barricade inspection was conducted on 3-13-00. This is 7-18-02. 2.2 23 Ο. Correct. 24 Α. We are on the same page. That's 25 quite a while later.

Page 300 The July 2002 report, it does 1 Yes. 2. have the box checked next to the pink sheet. 3 Do you see that? Yeah, I see it. 4 Α. 5 And it looks like the pink sheet was 0. issued for numbers 3 and 10, correct? 6 7 Yes, it was. Α. Number 3 is record system and number 8 Ο. 9 10 is accountability, correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Right. I want to ask you about what 0. 12 was going on here. In your written report it 13 looks like you had requested prescriber 14 utilization reports for two prescribers, a doctor and a dentist. 15 16 Do you see that there? 17 I see it, Nalluri and Sabatini. Α. 18 What is a prescriber utilization 0. 19 report? 20 It's a computer-generated printout Α. 21 for a specific prescriber or a specific patient that would provide me from one time period to 2.2 23 another time period everything they dispensed 24 out of that pharmacy. 2.5 Why would you have requested that Q.

Page 301 1 from this Walgreens in 2002? 2. Α. Because obviously I was looking at Dr. Nalluri and Dr. Sabatini for something or 3 another. 4 5 You were investigating the two Ο. 6 doctors for something; is that right? 7 Pretty much, probably true. So you go on to write that this 8 9 pharmacy -- and we're still at the Walgreens at 10 804 West Market Street in Warren, Ohio -- the 11 pharmacy had not provided the records you 12 requested. 13 Do you see that? 14 Yep, I see it. That was a Α. 15 different doctor. That was Sherman and 16 Masters. 17 You make a note further down on the 18 page about an earlier request that the pharmacy 19 hadn't responded to with respect to Dr. Sherman 20 and Dr. Masters; is that right? 21 Α. That's true. 2.2 And in that part of the note you Q. say, "This is the second time this year that 23 records were never provided, " right? 24 That's what I wrote. I don't 2.5 Α.

- recall it but that's what I wrote so that's true.
- Q. When you were requesting records for Dr. Sherman and Dr. Masters, was that in connection with the investigation you testified about earlier today regarding those two doctors?
 - A. I would say yes.

2.2

- Q. All right. There are -- I'd like for you to confirm for me that other than the issue with respect to this Walgreens not providing these records you requested in a timely fashion, there are no other issues noted in this particular inspection report?
- A. No. No. I -- I was probably a little ticked off --
 - O. I wondered.
- A. -- to say the least, because not only did -- if you notice, not only did I write number 3 and number 10, but I highlighted "Pink sheet issued for number." There's a highlight there.
- Q. You also capitalized some of the words, which I took to be for emphasis.
- A. Yeah. I could tell by my -- what I wrote here that I had an issue.

Q. And that is --

2.

2.2

- A. I knew Kathleen Mongine. She was another good pharmacist. But, you know, things get lost in a pharmacy, things get misplaced, people forget, I forget, but when it's the second time they did it, I really brought it to their attention.
- Q. And then if you'll turn a couple of pages further in to the page ending 1999, there's a letter from a Walgreens pharmacy supervisor named Julie Bickers.

Do you see that?

- A. I see it.
- O. Did you know Ms. Bickers?
- A. No, I don't know. This looks like it's out of Cleveland. I don't know her.
- Q. She writes in this letter to the Ohio Board of Pharmacy that she is responding to the inspection report by you, Mr. George Pavlich, correct?
 - A. That's what she's saying, yes.
- Q. And then in the second paragraph she says, "The cause of the delay in obtaining records for the agent has been rectified, the agent has been informed of the corrective

Page 304 action, and a system for preventing future 1 2. delays has been put into place both at the local 3 and the corporate level, " correct? That's what it says. 4 Α. 5 This letter is dated July 25th, 0. 6 2002, correct? 7 Α. Yes. That's just about a week after the 8 Ο. 9 date of the inspection report itself? 10 Α. Stand by. 11 Go ahead. 12 The letter from Ms. Bickers is dated 0. 1.3 just about a week after the date on your 14 inspection report, correct? 15 Α. Yeah. They have, I think, ten days 16 to reply. 17 Would you agree with me, Q. 18 Mr. Pavlich, that --19 20 days. 20 days they have. Α. 20 So Walgreens responded much more Q. 21 quickly than they were even required? 2.2 Α. They were lightning fast. 23 Would you agree with me that this 0. 24 Walgreens also addressed your concerns as 25 quickly as they presumably could?

Page 305 That's what they are so noting in 1 this document. I don't recall this 2. specifically, but yes, very fast reply. 3 If the issue with not providing 4 0. 5 records when you had asked for them had continued, would you have continued to document 6 7 it? Yes. This would have been a step 8 Α. 9 up, more aggressive. 10 Then turn to the next page. Ο. 11 There's a problem here type of Α. 12 issue. 13 0. If you turn to the next page, the 14 next report is dated August 9th, 2006. 15 Α. Okay. 16 And I see Mr. Joyce is listed on 0. 17 this one. Do you see his name as the 18 responsible person? 19 Yes. He was a staff pharmacist Α. 20 then and he was the responsible person so he 21 signed for the license. 2.2 Ο. And then I see Kathleen -- is it 23 Mongine? 24 Α. Mongine.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Do you know either of the other two

25

Q.

people who are listed above Ms. Mongine's name?

- A. No. One was an intern, and the other one, odd that I wouldn't remember that name but I can't recall him.
- Q. This inspection report from 2006 makes reference to the fact that there was a drive-up window. Where is that? It's the first page, about two-thirds of the way down, "The pharmacy has a two-bay drive-up service window." Why would you note that in your inspection report?
- A. Maybe I never saw one with two bays. I don't remember back in '06. Maybe I found it to be unique, a two-bay drive-up service window. You know, most of the time I would just see them driving up next to a window attached right to the building itself. So maybe that's why I did it. I don't remember.
- Q. Did you ever have occasion to observe at pharmacies things about their location or other aspects of the pharmacy, such as a drive-up window, that might lead them to have a higher volume of prescriptions and other sales? Is that the kind of thing you paid attention to?

2.

2.5

Page 307 1 No. I don't think -- you know, I 2 mean, that's a convenience thing. As I recall, 3 that was strictly for that purpose, convenience. Pharmacies would rather you walk 4 5 in the building and maybe buy something on top of what you're getting dispensed versus a 6 7 two-bay window. This August 2006 inspection report 8 Ο. 9 of the Walgreens on West Market in Warren also 10 makes note of an incident complaint at the 11 bottom of the first page, carrying over to the 12 second page. 13 Do you see that? Yeah, I see that. 14 Α. 15 Q. What is that about? 16 I'm not recalling this. Α. 17 Can I trouble you, sir, to read into Q. the record, because I struggle a little bit with 18 your handwriting? 19 20 Really? I got straight A's in Α. 21 handwriting. 2.2 Ο. It's better than mine. 23 This is my college handwriting. "A 24 patient" -- I'll read from the beginning here.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

I'm sorry. "On this" -- page 1, "On this date

Page 308 an incident complaint was reviewed." Page 2, I 1 2. put "on" but it should be "An OSBP case number 3 20061489, a patient profile on the person reporting this incident was requested. The RPh 4 5 explained that excessive hydrocodone/APAP based on multiple doctors in the Walgreens and later 6 7 discovered Rite-Aid and CVS pharmacies resulted in them reporting the information to the 8 9 prescriber. The RPh corresponding 10 responsibility with the prescriber was properly 11 followed and this incident complaint is found 12 to be unfounded. A written request of the 13 specific patient profile was provided and 14 received." 15 You know, I don't recall this. 16 0. Does it suggest to you that somebody 17 had complained? 18 Α. Yeah. 19 Like maybe a patient had complained 20 that they weren't getting their prescription 21 filled? 2.2 You know, that happened a lot. 23 would get these patients calling me up saying 24 this, that or whatever, and, hey, I didn't get 90 tablets, I got 60, you know, or the 2.5

2.

Page 309

pharmacist was discourteous to me. I mean, I know which pharmacists were hotheaded and which ones were extremely professional, and I addressed it and concluded it was unfounded.

- Q. Does it suggest to you that somebody was complaining that they couldn't get their opioid prescription filled and they complained about that?
- A. It could have been that. It could have been -- you know -- and if it was, probably high 90 percentile it was an opiate, high 90 percentile. The complaint calls that I would get -- it wasn't just being discourteous, it was I was short my drugs, it was this, that, whatever, and when I would go there, I'd look, most of the time it was a controlled substance.
- Q. Am I correct that your conclusion in this instance was that the Walgreens pharmacist was doing what they were supposed to be doing?
 - A. That was my conclusion.
- Q. Okay. Let's take a look at the March 29th, 2010 report again.
- MR. MOYLAN: Kate, before we go on to another report, I'm just wondering if you have a sense of how much more time you'll be

Page 310 1 using. 2. MS. SWIFT: Half an hour. 3 MR. APPEL: This is Henry. I do want to just point out that the -- that under 4 5 docket 643, page 8, it discusses the time frame for the redirect, and it is minute for minute. 6 7 So, you know, I assume that Plaintiff used 90 minutes. We'll assume that. And it also 8 9 indicates that -- on page 7 -- time should be, 10 you know, divided amongst the parties if 11 there's any questions. So it may not be a bad 12 idea to go off the record if you wish to 13 coordinate with the other Defendants. 14 MS. SWIFT: Well, with respect, as 15 I tried to explain before Pete Weinberger's 16 questioning, we saved our questioning, meaning 17 questioning by Walgreens, Rite-Aid, CVS and any 18 of the other pharmacies who wanted to ask 19 questions, in an attempt to streamline things 20 and as a courtesy both to the witness and to Plaintiffs' counsel, because we did not know 21 2.2 that Plaintiffs' counsel were going to have any questions at all. They didn't have any 23 24 questions at all on Friday for that board 2.5 pharmacy witness. And had I known that someone

Page 311 was going to take that position, we might have 1 2. done something differently. I'm trying to accommodate folks in a way that makes sense. 3 But it certainly wasn't to waive the remaining 4 5 time that we had. 6 MR. APPEL: I appreciate that, and 7 I actually -- you're, in fact, correct. There was 34 minutes remaining on the 6:26, there's 8 six hours and 26 minutes, and so there's 34 10 minutes remaining. I'm just pointing out that 11 I'm looking at these rules and, you know -- you 12 know, the judge has foreseen using this. So, 13 you know, you might want to coordinate amongst the defense counsel off the record how much 14 15 time each party will get because I think, you 16 know, you've certainly used at least some of that 90 minutes. 17 18 MS. SWIFT: And who is speaking 19 right now? 20 This is Henry Appel MR. APPEL: 21 from the AG's office. 2.2 MS. SWIFT: I'm sorry. I didn't 23 recognize your voice, Henry. 24 Are you suggesting -- and we can go

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

off the record in just one second, but are you

Page 312 1 saying that when we get to seven hours, you're 2. going to cut us off, or what is your position on that? 3 4 MR. APPEL: Oh, no. The order says 5 that the Plaintiffs would have seven hours --I'm sorry, the Defendants would have a combined 6 7 seven hours, that Plaintiffs would have 90 minutes, and then the -- then your -- the 8 Defendants would have an additional 90 minutes, 10 because it's minute for minute of what was used 11 by the opposing party. 12 MS. SWIFT: Okay. So I think we're 13 nowhere near the limit. 14 MR. APPEL: Yeah. I'm just saying 15 you may not hit the limit yet, but if you're 16 saying you have another half hour, you know, as 17 a professional courtesy, I'm letting you know 18 that this is -- you know, we would like to at 19 least look at this, because the judge has set 20 it out. I mean, we're already at 6:00. I 21 mean, you know, if we're -- yeah, this could 22 easily drag on till 9 or 10:00 otherwise. I 23 want to have some professional courtesy on this, but --24

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

MS. SWIFT:

I understand. What I'm

2.5

Page 313 trying to say is I think that Bob Barnes 1 2. stopped questioning at someplace well south of seven hours. 3 MR. BARNES: Yeah, about five 4 5 hours. MS. SWIFT: That's what I thought. 6 7 So I didn't think we were anywhere near bumping up on that upper limit, but perfectly happy to 8 see what we can do to streamline things, Henry. 10 If folks want to go off the record, the group 11 of us can -- you know, we can circle up and see 12 what we can do to streamline. 13 MR. APPEL: Actually -- you know, I actually may be mistaken. You're right. 14 15 might have been 6 hours and 26 minutes total, 16 including the one hour and 12 minutes. So I 17 do -- so there was actually more time. 18 MS. SWIFT: Okay. Thank you. I 19 appreciate that, Henry. And I don't mean to --20 I wasn't trying to -- well, I guess I was 21 trying to correct you, but --2.2 MR. APPEL: That's what opposing counsel is for. Well, I'm not even opposing 23 24 counsel. I'm representing a witness. 25 MS. SWIFT: But I really do mean

Page 314 it, that we are happy to take a minute amongst 1 ourselves and see if we can streamline things. I'm happy to do that if it gets us out of here 3 faster. 4 5 Why don't we go off the record for five minutes hopefully. 6 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 6:13. This marks the end of media 8 9 unit number 6. 10 (Recess had.) 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:27. This marks the beginning 12 13 of media unit 7. BY MS. SWIFT: 14 15 Mr. Pavlich, sitting here today, do 16 you recall ever having any issues with the 17 systems Walgreens used to dispense prescription medication such as opioids? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Do you recall ever having any issues Q. 21 with the systems that Walgreens used for 22 maintaining records and data? 23 Α. No. 24 0. You never investigated a Walgreens 25 pharmacy for violations of Ohio law, correct,

Page 315 1 sir? For Ohio law for what? Α. Violations of Ohio law. 3 0. Well, I investigated -- not that I 4 Α. 5 I have conducted investigations in Walgreens for different things, like some 6 7 prescriptions that were in there, theft of drugs by a technician or a pharmacist, but --8 9 those things. You never -- well, let me ask it 10 11 this way --12 Α. Yeah, ask it a better way. 13 Ο. You testified earlier about when you 14 went into the Overholt Pharmacy, that was sort 15 of a different situation than what you had seen 16 elsewhere, and I think you said you didn't even 17 check the box for leaving a pink sheet, you just 18 went immediately to an investigation and 19 prosecution? 20 They got a pink sheet at Overholt 21 Pharmacy when I went in on that particular day 22 with four or five other people. But yeah, I was with a determination when I went into that 23 24 pharmacy looking for trafficking in drugs, 25 illegal processing.

- Q. Did you ever have an investigation like that at a Walgreens pharmacy?
 - A. No, not that I recall.

2.

- Q. You're not aware of Walgreens ever being the subject of any criminal or civil investigations due to alleged diversion of controlled substances, correct, sir?
 - A. Walgreens specific, no.
- Q. You concluded, based on your inspections of the Walgreens pharmacies in Northeast Ohio, that Walgreens was operating lawfully; is that fair?
 - A. I found them to be very compliant.
- Q. You testified earlier that you investigated or prosecuted something along the lines of 90 prescribers in your 25 years at the Board of Pharmacy?
- A. Approximately 90. Could have been 80. It was in that range. It was a lot.
- Q. Would you agree that those roughly 90 prescribers contributed to diversion, misuse and abuse of prescription opioids in Northeast Ohio?
- A. They were contributing to lots of things, yeah, I would agree.

- Q. You also, I believe, testified that you had occasion to investigate patients who were either abusing, misusing or diverting their prescription medications, right?
 - A. Lots of them, yes.
- Q. Would you agree with me that those patients contributed to diversion, misuse and abuse of prescription opioids in Northeast Ohio?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. You testified earlier that opioids sometimes come into Northeast Ohio from Mexico illegally, correct, sir?
 - A. Outside the state, yes.
- Q. You agree with me that when opioids come into the state from Mexico or other foreign places illegally, that contributes to diversion, misuse and abuse of opioids in Northeast Ohio?
 - A. Yeah, I would agree to that.
- Q. Mr. Weinberger asked you some questions about something he referred to as the gateway theory. Do you remember those questions?
 - A. Yes, I remember him asking me.
- Q. Would you agree with me,
- 25 Mr. Pavlich, that the vast majority of people

Page 318 who use prescription opioids according to a 1 2. doctor's prescription never use heroin? 3 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection. I don't know if that's true. 4 Α. I 5 don't know. I would think you abuse one drug, you can abuse another. 6 7 You're not a medical doctor, are 0. you, Mr. Pavlich? 8 9 No. Far from it. 10 Do you have any expertise at all in Ο. 11 epidemiology? 12 Α. No. 13 Have you ever conducted a study on 14 the likelihood of people to turn from 15 prescription opioids to misuse of opioids to 16 heroin or anything like that? 17 Α. No, never have. 18 You don't have any expertise in 0. addiction medicine; is that fair? 19 20 Other than arresting people, that's Α. 21 about the extent of it. 2.2 Ο. You don't have any idea what the actual numbers are regarding people who start on 23 24 prescription opioids and later turn to illegal 25 drugs, correct?

Page 319 1 Α. No. 2. Ο. No, I'm not correct or no, you don't know? 3 No, I don't know. 4 Α. 5 You testified earlier about a Dr. Ο. 6 Do you remember that testimony? 7 Your voice got screeched. Α. Yes. You remember your testimony this 8 Ο. 9 morning about a Dr. Orr? 10 Α. Orr, yes. 11 How do you spell that doctor's name? Ο. 12 Α. O-R-R. 13 0. Do you know what the doctor's first 14 name is? 15 Α. Dennis. 16 Do you know whether he was Ο. 17 convicted? 18 Α. I believe he was. 19 You said that you've been involved 20 in investigating and prosecuting pharmacists, 21 and I believe a moment ago you mentioned 22 something about a Walgreens pharmacy technician. 23 Do you have any personal knowledge of any 24 Walgreens pharmacist or pharmacy technician 25 being prosecuted?

2.2

Page 320

A. I can't remember the name, but I remember -- because Brian Joyce was there with me. I mean, I had cases all over the place, but I remember the pharmacy technician that was working at the main -- Route 224 and Route 7 in Boardman, Ohio. She was stealing out of their automatic dispensing machine, I believe it was, hydrocodone. And Brian Joyce called me and said, "I think we have a problem here."

And I went in, and I can't remember if I used their cameras, which I think I did, but I'm not sure if I used our cameras, the Board of Pharmacy. And I caught her in the act. And then -- live, and then I brought her in the interview room and she confessed.

- Q. Is it fair to say that the circumstance you're aware of involving a Walgreens technician stealing drugs, a Walgreens pharmacist brought it to your attention?
 - A. Yeah. That's what I would expect.
- Q. And that is, in fact, what happened in that circumstance?
- A. That's, in fact, what happened. I don't know if it was Brian Joyce that called me or a staff pharmacist, but I believe it was

Page 321 Brian. I had a very good working relationship 1 with him. Is that the only circumstance you 3 can think of involving a Walgreens tech stealing 4 5 drugs or anything like that? With Walgreens, yeah. That one 6 7 comes right to my mind, but I -- you know, I could have done ten. I don't remember. 8 9 Q. Any time something like that has 10 happened, has the pharmacy, the chain pharmacy, 11 cooperated in your investigation? 12 Α. Walgreens? 13 Ο. Yes. 14 Yes. Very cooperative. Α. 15 Ο. Have you ever talked to 16 Mr. Weinberger prior to today, the Plaintiffs' 17 lawyer who questioned you? 18 Α. No, I have not. 19 Have you ever talked to -- I'm going 20 to name a couple of other lawyers and I just 21 want to know if you've ever talked to them 2.2 before. Hunter Shkolnik or Frank Gallucci? 23 24 Α. No. 2.5 Q. Have you ever talked to any lawyers

on behalf of Lake County, Trumbull County,
Cuyahoga County, or Summit County?

- A. In relation to this?
- Q. Yes.
- 5 A. No.

- Q. You testified this morning that pharmacists should use their knowledge and expertise in filling prescriptions on an individual basis. Would you agree with me that pharmacists are supposed to do that prescription by prescription one at a time?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. You mentioned a scrapbook earlier today. Do you have a scrapbook related to cases that you worked on when you were at the Board of Pharmacy?
- A. Yeah. I sort of kept a little trophy thing of cases, but it's not as extensive as what I had compiled during my career. There was a few things. I got a scrapbook over there with all the newspaper clippings. It's about -- it's thick. It's about that thick (indicating).
- Q. I'm just going to ask, if you would, please, sir, not to destroy that or throw it

away. We may ask for a copy of it. That will be something that we can talk to the lawyers about, but my only request to you is will you please not throw it away or destroy it?

- A. I didn't plan on it.
- Q. I figured.

2.

- A. It's my career gold medal, I guess you would say.
- Q. You mentioned a pharmacy that had the word "mart" in it that you said was not a chain pharmacy that had been involved, I believe, in some kind of criminal activity. Do you remember that?
- A. I mentioned a pharmacy that was involved in some other criminal activity?
- Q. And it had the word "mart" in the name.

A. Oh, yeah. I thought that -- when I did the investigation on Masters, Sherman and Theisler, there was a pharmacy in the plaza right up from them, where I was pulling a lot of scripts out of, but they were also cooperating with me at the same time. I put that case together pretty quick because of the aspect of how the case -- one doctor wasn't

Page 324 even around and I'm getting the scripts out of 1 2 there. So I was working with that pharmacy, 3 but they closed. It wasn't Drug Mart. It was 4 5 Was it MedSmart? 0. It might have been MedSmart. Yeah, 6 that might have been it. 7 MS. SWIFT: That's all I have. 8 9 Thank you very much, sir. I don't think I'm 10 the last one, but I'm done. So thank you for 11 your time. I appreciate it. 12 THE WITNESS: I've been in a lot of 1.3 battles. I'm used to this. 14 EXAMINATION OF GEORGE P. PAVLICH 15 BY MR. MOYLAN: 16 Agent Pavlich, my name is Daniel 17 Moylan. I'm going to have some questions 18 regarding CVS. 19 Is it fair to say that during your 20 work at the Board of Pharmacy you had occasion to inspect CVS pharmacies from time to time? 21 2.2 Α. Oh, yeah. I was in them, all of them in my territory. 23 24 O. And as with all of your inspections, you tried to be thorough and diligent when you 25

conducted those inspections?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Best of my abilities.
- Q. And if you noted any issues during one of those inspections, those would be documented in your inspection reports for CVS pharmacies, correct?
 - A. That is absolutely correct.
- Q. We're not going to spend time going through specific reports the way you have earlier today, but thinking back over the course of your career, do you believe your inspections of CVS pharmacies were generally favorable?
- A. The majority were favorable. Did

 I -- you know, I mean, I had a few incidents in

 CVS stores. I mean, I could think of one right

 off the top of my head involving a technician.

 And I had a pharmacist at a CVS store, had a

 problem with him, got him arrested and

 convicted. But the majority, the large

 majority of CVS stores were compliant and

 accountable and the pharmacists were good.
- Q. With respect to the pharmacy technician that you mentioned, what do you remember about that case?
 - A. I remember it was in -- the main

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Page 326

street in Hubbard, Ohio. Jim Romeo was the pharmacist in charge. There was a technician in there. And I'm trying to think of the drug she was taking. I believe it was -- I think it was a combination of drugs. It might have been Soma and hydrocodone. And the pharmacist called me -- I believe it was the pharmacist direct, because I knew Jim Romeo. He was an absolutely great pharmacist. And he would call me about a lot of things. And he told me there was a theft of drugs in there. And I believe I talked to the CVS supervisor, too. So what I did was I set a camera up and did a number of things like that and I wanted to catch her live. And Jim was very thorough in documenting, putting the bottles on the shelf, and I caught her live.

- Q. So as a result of Pharmacist Romeo contacting you and providing those forms of assistance, it helped you in your investigation to catch the pharmacy technician?
- A. Without him, I, first of all, wouldn't have known, either him or the supervisor. I can't remember. But he was very articulate.

Q. Okay. And with respect to the CVS pharmacist that you mentioned who was convicted, what was that -- the nature of that incident?

Well, the one -- you know, you get Α. these things that come to the top of your head. His name was Gary -- I can't think of his last name, but it was the CVS Pharmacy in downtown Warren, sat right on the corner there, right up the street from the courthouse. And there was a pharmacist in there and he was filling -well, I sent an undercover in. He was a very poor recordkeeper. Probably the messiest CVS I was in. And that was what kind of tripped my trigger mechanism, should I say, to start looking at them. And one thing led to another and I ended up sending an undercover female in there, and she went in there and promised him sex if he would give -- fill prescriptions, illegal prescriptions obviously. And he did. And I charged him in Trumbull County and convicted him.

Q. Apart from the two cases that you've described involving the pharmacy technician that Mr. Romeo or the pharmacy supervisor brought to your attention and the other case involving the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

pharmacist who was caught through the undercover operation, is it fair to say that over the course of your career, your general experience with CVS pharmacies is that they've been cooperative and they have had essentially clean records in their inspections?

- A. I mean, clean records in the respect that, I mean, they might have got a pink sheet here and there, but I was very comfortable in most, if not all of their pharmacies. That was one exception, the one in Warren. But again, no major issues with the pharmacy specific.
- Q. With respect to the inspection process that you went through, did you find CVS pharmacies' pharmacists to be responsive to your request for information?
- A. Yes, them and -- I can't think of the supervisor. I believe she was a female.

 I'd have to look in my little directory there.

 They were always cooperative. Never gave me, you know, a hard time about anything.
- Q. And apart from your inspections, did CVS pharmacists provide tips and information for your investigations?

2.

2.5

1 1

2.2

Page 329

- A. Yeah. I can't remember every specific tip and information, but I got calls. I remember Jim Romeo specific. He called me about everything. I mean, if it had a fly on it, he would call me. He was great. And all that information, you know, I would sort and work out, and sometimes it was nothing and sometimes it led to a big thing.
- Q. To the best of your knowledge, did the Board of Pharmacy ever deny a license application for a CVS pharmacy?
- A. Not to my knowledge. I mean, they could have. I don't recall anyone in my territory specific.
- Q. Okay. So you're unaware of any denial?
- A. Yeah. It would have not been my call. When they file an application, it would have been a call in Columbus. It was not -- when it comes to me, it's going to get issued, unless I get there and, you know, it's a shack in the middle of a field that I'm not going to issue the license. But not to my knowledge.
- Q. Just to make sure the record is clear, as you're sitting here today, you're

unaware of any instance where a CVS pharmacy was denied a license application?

- A. I'm unaware. I cannot recall one. I'm not saying it never happened, but --
- Q. Similar question. You're unaware of any instance where a CVS pharmacy was denied a license renewal?
- A. Nothing off the top of my head that I can recall, no.
- Q. To the best of your knowledge, there's not been a CVS pharmacy in Trumbull or Lake County that's had its license suspended?
- A. I don't know about Lake County, but in Trumbull County I can't recall one.
- Q. And to the best of your knowledge, you're not aware of a pharmacy in -- a CVS pharmacy in Trumbull County or Lake County that's had its license revoked?
- A. Can't account for Lake County, but as far as Trumbull County, I can't recall one getting revoked.
- Q. Did CVS pharmacies have a computer system when you inspected that was approved by the Ohio Board of Pharmacy?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Q. And as part of your inspections, would you inspect the computer system used in the pharmacies?

2.2

- A. I'm far from being a computer wizard. I would see the functionality of the computer system, see how it functioned, note that it functioned, note the software. Pretty much beyond that -- you know, that's my extent. When I went to college, we didn't have computers. We didn't even have a calculator. They were using slide rules. So, you know, it wasn't my forte. I just would document what minimal I could document. But they always -- they all seemed to be operational. I can't even remember the software they used.
- Q. Okay. But to the best of your recollection, you found, when you did these inspections, that the computer systems used in CVS pharmacies were generally acceptable?

 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection.
- A. I would say they were acceptable or I would have noted it.
- Q. You testified a little bit earlier today that you really didn't know what the corporate data systems the chains used in their

headquarters might have been. Do you remember that testimony?

A. Yes.

2.2

2.5

- Q. In your inspections of CVS pharmacies, is it fair to say that you never provided any written guidance that CVS needed a corporate-wide computer system to analyze data about patients or prescribers or prescriptions of concern?
- A. I would have never -- never gone to that extent, no.
- Q. Okay. And, similarly, is it also fair to say that you wouldn't have provided any oral guidance to a CVS that it had to use a company-wide computer program to identify patients or prescribers or prescriptions of concern?
 - A. That would not be coming from me.
- Q. And is that -- are those answers the same for the other pharmacy Defendants, that you wouldn't have provided written or oral guidance to that effect?
- A. To the best of my knowledge, I would not have done that to a corporate level.

 I would only be specific to the store I was at.

- Q. And to the extent you provided any oral guidance, that would be noted in your reports, I gather?
- A. Not that I know of. I mean, who knows over 25 years, but not that I recall.
- Q. And for sure if it was any kind of an issue that you considered to be significant, you would note that in your reports; is that fair?
- A. I would have noted something in my report to something that I found unique.
 - Q. Understood.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

To the best of your knowledge, did the Ohio Board of Pharmacy ever issue written guidance that pharmacies needed a company-wide computer program to identify patients, prescribers or prescriptions of concern?

- A. They could have. I wasn't at that grade --
 - Q. Okay. But you're not aware --
- 21 A. -- or institute it. It wasn't my 22 call.
- Q. But as you're sitting here today,
 you're not aware that the Board of Pharmacy ever
 did that?

A. I'm not aware.

2.2

- Q. I think you testified earlier that prescriptions and prescription logs were two types of documentation that pharmacies were required to have. Did I understand that right?
- A. Yes. A prescription is the hard copy, as it was called, and prescription logs is the specific documentation compiled based on the hard copy.
- Q. Is it fair to say that pharmacists were not required to document every action that they took with respect to a specific prescription? Is that fair?
- A. They would have to document what they dispensed specifically and that label would be affixed to that prescription.
- Q. Understood. So just to take an example, if a pharmacist asked the patient questions, there wasn't a requirement that that -- that Q&A be recorded in the records of the pharmacy?
- A. I would see that sometimes, the pharmacist had a specific question and I would see documentation. I used to tell pharmacists, "Prescriptions are your bible. To me, that's

your bible. You document everything on the prescription and we're never going to have a problem. If you don't, don't tell me later you forgot. It's now or never." And that's pretty much -- you know, a pharmacist might mark on the back of the prescription the contraindicated drugs that that patient, you know, couldn't take and all those type of things, you know. But it wasn't a regular thing. They would go into their computer and put things like that, they're allergic to this or that or whatever.

- Q. But in terms of the steps that a pharmacist could take, there wasn't a requirement that, when you conducted inspections, that every step would have to be documented in order for you to pass the pharmacy in that inspection?
- A. No. There was no step-by-step documentation, no. Pharmacists, they had a certain thing they had to do, they had certain requirements, and -- for recordkeeping, for manner of issuance, for all of those things, and I would look and see if they were in compliance. And if they weren't, I would

2.

2.2

2.5

orally bring it to their attention if it was minor or I would document it on the prescription and then -- or on the inspection sheet.

- Q. And to take another example, if a pharmacist called the doctor's office to check on a specific patient or prescription, there wasn't a requirement that you had that that would have to be documented in every case; is that fair?
- No, but I know I had -- I would Α. tell pharmacists, you know, it's a good idea to document that down there because if a pharmacist said to me, "Well, I called the doctor's office and he said these scripts were good, " and I look at the back of the script and I go, "And you documented that where," and said, "If you don't write it on the script, how do I know you're not telling me a lie now?" you know, I kind of preached things. You know, I was like a little preacher out there to these guys, far from being a pharmacist, but I tried to keep them out of trouble. I had enough trouble out there, and I wanted to keep pharmacists good so I had less trouble out

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 337 1 there. 2. 0. So it sounds like you preferred 3 seeing pharmacists that documented those kind of steps so that you and they could tell later what 4 5 they had done; is that fair? 6 Α. Right. It's like my memory now. 7 Do I remember this or do I remember that? Hell, no, I don't remember this or that, but if 8 you write it down and you document it, there it 10 is and I got it, you know, and that's how I 11 preached to them. 12 Ο. Understood. 13 But there was no regulatory 14 requirement that came out of the administrative code that --15 16 Not that I can recall, the 17 step-by-step procedural things, yeah, you got 18 to document or put it someplace in the 19 computer, the patient has allergies or allergic 20 reactions to certain drugs, yeah, you got to 21 put that in there, but not a step by step, no. 2.2 It's the manner of issuance of a prescription and that's what you follow. 23 24 MR. MOYLAN: Sir, that's all the

questions I have. So I think we may have one

Page 338 1 more questioner and we very much appreciate 2. your time. 3 EXAMINATION OF GEORGE P. PAVLICH BY MR. NORTEY: 4 5 Good evening, Mr. Pavlich. My name is James Nortey and I'll be the next questioner, 6 7 but before I begin, I just wanted to check and see if you needed a quick break. I don't think 8 9 my questions will be very long, but I also know 10 you've been going for a while. 11 I don't need a break. Α. 12 MR. ZHOU: And before you start, 13 James, I'll just say that I have a few 14 questions as well so you won't be the last, 15 unfortunately. 16 THE WITNESS: I'm good to go if 17 you're ready to go. 18 Mr. Pavlich, I take it from earlier 0. 19 testimony that you certainly inspected several 20 Rite-Aid pharmacies during your career; is that 21 right? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 And similar to the other pharmacies, Ο. if you had any issues or concerns with Rite-Aid 24

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

stores, you would document those in your

inspection reports, right?

- A. Yeah, I would document it, to an extent.
 - Q. Earlier today you identified two
 Rite-Aid personnel who I believe, in your view,
 were not as responsive as you would have liked.
 Do you recall that testimony?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were those the only instances where a Rite-Aid pharmacist hadn't been as responsive as you would have liked?
 - A. Those were the only two pharmacy supervisors that I had a little issue with in their attitude and approach and my requests.

 One is deceased, and I don't know where the female is now, but -- and I remember, you know, just one particular incident with the one that's deceased. And the female, I just had -- I just had problems with her. She just -- you know, I would say I needed something or I've got to get something or go in someplace, and it was always like she was dragging her feet on the floor to get it done with me. I just -- I used to get a knot in my stomach when I had to call her about something. And, you know, that

has no reflection on the individual pharmacist.

That's just those two.

- Q. I appreciate that, Agent Pavlich.

 For the supervisor that's now
- A. Yes. James Chalfin, C-H-A-L-F-I-N,

 Jim we called him. And I remember the location
- 8 where it was at. It was a Rite-Aid in the
- 9 Newport Plaza. It was right on the border of
- 10 Youngstown and Boardman. And I arrested a
- 11 pharmacist, I indicted and convicted a

deceased, do you recall his name?

- 12 | pharmacist that was in that store in relation
- 13 | to this conversation.

1

2.

3

4

- Q. And do you recall the name of the pharmacist that was arrested?
- 16 A. John Perry. I took him to federal court.
- Q. And this was inside a Rite-Aid store?
- A. A Rite-Aid store at Newport Plaza.

 It's not there anymore, but that's where it

 was. It was on Market Street in -- just across

 the border from Youngstown. It was right on

 the dividing line. Newport Plaza Rite-Aid.
- Q. Now, was this in relation to an

inspection involving a doctor case?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

A. No. This was in relation to an investigation involving the pharmacist that was working there, John Perry. And I was in there and -- to shorten the whole thing, he was diverting drugs for cash. He was filling bad scripts, so on and so forth. And I also was in there on a doctor that I was working on in Boardman and he had scripts in there that I was going to pull out.

And Mr. Chalfin came into the pharmacy and I started telling him we got a problem here and we're going to address this problem on this particular doctor out in Boardman. I talked to him covertly regarding the pharmacist, but that was another issue.

And he said to me -- and I could remember this conversation so well -- "What are you talking to us about? We're just dispensing these prescriptions. He wrote them."

And I'm like, "Really?"

And he's like, "Yeah." He goes,

"We're just dispensing it. You know, the

doctor is responsible. He wrote it. I don't

25 know what he wrote it for or whatever."

And I told Mr. Chalfin, "You better go in your law book over there and look at corresponding responsibility on manner of issuance, and after you look at that, you're going to understand what I'm talking to you about and you better make sure you have a corresponding responsibility understanding with yourself and all your pharmacists if you're going to dispense prescriptions just because you got a piece of paper from a doctor. You better use all your powers in your practice of pharmacy to determine if it's good or bad." And I never had a problem with him again.

- You predicted my very next question, Ο. so to the extent that you didn't have a problem with that supervisor after you had a conversation, were you able to work on any other inspections or investigations related to that Rite-Aid?
- Yes. I did a number of cases out Α. of all the chains, and he was very cooperative after that. We didn't really have a problem. You know, I had a certain way of getting the attention of people, and he was one of them.

So I didn't have any more problems with 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Rite-Aid. I got that all done. I just had a problem with the other pharmacy supervisor for Rite-Aid when it came to, you know, doing -getting things done, you know, going into a pharmacy and they got someone stealing drugs out of there, they got a shortage accountability. You know, she would just not make it as easy as a Walgreens pharmacist or this one or -- supervisor, always wanted to be standing on my feet when I'm in there, always wanted to bring her loss prevention guy, Mark, whatever his name was, into the pharmacy, you know, just like -- like a company mother or something, you know, and it just aggravated me. Maybe it was our personality. She was aggressive and I was obviously very aggressive, too. So it could have been just that, but I --I used to get a knot in my stomach over her, Ms. Mendenhall. I want to come back to 0. Ms. Mendenhall in a second. I want to focus first on this Rite-Aid near the Boardman plaza. You also referenced a Rite-Aid employee who was

arrested just a few minutes ago. Do you recall

that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

2.2

Page 344

- A. Yes. His name was John Perry. He was a registered pharmacist in the State of Ohio, and as a matter of fact, he lived across the street one house over from me in my development.
- Q. And how did you first learn about John Perry?
- A. You know, I can't remember specifically if it was I was in the store and saw some unusual prescriptions or I was working someone out in the street and they provided information that led to the investigation on him, because I know on that particular pharmacist I sent someone in undercover with a false prescription and with marked money. And he filled it. And then when I went in to get him, he had the money in his pocket. But what initiated it, I can't -- it was one of those two things.
- Q. Do you recall if any other employees at Rite-Aid ever provided a tip related to this arrest?
- A. No. I don't think I got a tip.

 I'm pretty certain it was either seeing

 prescriptions or getting the information from

someone in the street. I didn't get a tip on that. I mean, I got help. I believe

Mr. Chalfin was the supervisor then and he -you know, he kept confidentiality when I told
him what was happening. So I didn't have no
problem on that respect from corporate or
anyone. I did my job and they let me free rein
it.

- Q. You predicted some of my next questions, but just so the record is clear, when you told Mr. Chalfin about the specific behavior, was he cooperative in assisting you?
- A. I had his full attention when I started talking to him. Jim was -- I mean, I'm not a small guy. I'm six foot one, 200 pounds. And he was a lot bigger than me. And, you know, he liked to -- he liked being a supervisor. You know, he had his rough way about him a little bit. And I just finally had enough of it and got his attention on this particular prescription issue, manner of issuance and corresponding responsibility. And I never had another time to have to talk to him again about it.
 - Q. I understand but I want to stay with

2.

2.5

this arrest very, very briefly. In what ways did Mr. Chalfin assist you in handling the arrest of the Rite-Aid employee?

- A. He kept confidentiality. He never disclosed what I was going to do to the pharmacist, which was pass him a bad script, give him cash, and take him down in the pharmacy during operational hours, which was late at night, as I remember. It was dark. He provided me with another -- he brought in another pharmacist to work in the pharmacy when I went in and got that pharmacist and took him in the back room. He was fully cooperative, and, you know, records that I needed, whatever in the pharmacy, I got complete cooperation.
- Q. Did you ever instruct Mr. Chalfin not to fire the employee?
- A. No. He fired him right then and there.
- Q. That was my next question. After that employee was fired, terminated, do you recall any other incidences of similar behavior at this Rite-Aid store?
 - A. At that Rite-Aid store, no.
 - Q. And do you recall any other behavior

like this at any Rite-Aid store in Trumbull County?

Oh, boy. That was in Mahoning County, the one I'm talking about. In Trumbull County, I mean, I -- you're talking about a long span of time. I could have had issues. I had -- I'm sure I had issues. I just can't think of, you know, anything in particular. I just remember -- I remember I was at the Rite-Aid with Patty Mendenhall and she brought in her loss prevention guy and just -- you know, I was trying to get a tech, I think it was, that was stealing out of the pharmacy, and, oh, my goodness, she just made my life so miserable in there, just not giving me a free rein, wanted to be, you know, touchy feely, handy, you know, on top of me, on top of everything I was doing. And it was not my style. You know, my style is I'm the Lone Ranger. You know, I go do my thing. It was a Rite-Aid right on the entrance into Warren. was on the right-hand side going in right off of Interstate -- right off the main interstate And that was Patty Mendenhall. But it was a technician and it was a theft of drugs.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And there was, you know, other places I'm sure, but I just can't think of them. That was in Trumbull County.

2.5

- Q. So we've talked about two cases. Is it fair to say that to the best of your current recollection, these are the only two Rite-Aid cases you can recall right now?
- A. Off the top of my head, yeah. They just seemed to pop in there. I'm sure there was other cases. I'm trying to think of it as I'm talking to you, but, you know, those two; John Perry, because he was my neighbor across the street, and that particular one, because I just -- I remember that case with her in there on that technician because, you know, I was so aggravated that I said, boy, if I don't have to work with her anymore, I'm not going to work with her. And that was pretty much what I can recall.
 - Q. I appreciate that.

In your inspection regarding

Patricia Mendenhall, once you were able to talk

to her, were you able to resolve that

inspection, that investigation?

A. Yeah. I resolved the investigation

and I'm certain I brought it down to the

Trumbull County Prosecutor's Office. It wasn't
as aggravating for me. There was an agent
south of or southwest of me that had tremendous
problems with her, tremendous. That was Agent
David Gallagher. They were at -- they were at
a great level of disagreement on things. That
was just one incident with me. But Agent
Gallagher and her were really at odds on
things.

- Q. To be clear, Agent Pavlich, when you talked about having trouble, this was in terms of the responsiveness to your request, right?
- A. Yeah. It was the response to an investigation in that pharmacy that I clearly recall just not being comfortable with how I was doing what I wanted to do and -- I wouldn't call it obstructing me. I would just call it very close supervision on her part of a person who didn't work for Rite-Aid and she's trying to supervise me. You know, it was like what -- you know, you're not even law enforcement. I'm handling this. Stay out of this. Let me finish and you can have your pharmacy back. It was just an aggravating experience. And I --

2.

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 350

and I wouldn't speak badly about someone if I wasn't aggravated over it. And I wouldn't recall it so well if I wasn't aggravated over it. And I was. But overall, I didn't have any bad experiences with Rite-Aid other than those two that I can think of off the top of my head.

- Q. Generally speaking, those inspections, other than those two, were generally favorable and positive; is that right?
- A. Yes, unless I issued a pink sheet, which you don't have examples you're showing me. But yeah. Generally, I had personally, myself as a field agent, no issues with Rite-Aid in general. I got along with the majority of -- there was another pharmacy supervisor, Tim Reik, I believe his name was. It was with an R, R-E-I-K, or something like that. And I got along with him fine. And I got along with the majority, if not all of the Rite-Aid pharmacists.
 - O. Thank you.

And then, lastly, the technician you were working with under Patricia

Mendenhall's supervision, do you recall the technician's name?

Page 351 1 Α. That -- that I do not recall. No. 2 Sorry. 3 Q. That's quite all right. Do you recall about what time 4 5 period this incident with the technician, Patricia Mendenhall, would have been? 6 7 I would say it was within the ten years before I retired. It was somewhere in 8 9 there, because Tim Reik was the guy that I 10 usually dealt with. And then she was south of 11 me. She worked -- she was a supervisor south 12 of me, and in some way, how or another there 13 was a reorganization and she ended up getting that area there, and, you know, we just had to 14 15 get to an understanding who's doing what. 16 And since you retired in 2012, is it 17 your testimony that this would have happened sometime after 2002? 18 19 No. Before. Ten years prior to my Α. 20 retirement. 21 0. I see. 2.2 I'm guessing. I'm guessing. I 23 know I took it to the Trumbull County 24 Prosecutor, but names and dates -- I might have it in my scrapbook. Who knows. 25

Q. I understand it's been quite some time.

2.

2.2

The incident with John Perry, do you recall about when that occurred?

A. Yeah. That was -- that was when Jim Philamena was the prosecutor in Mahoning County, and he got federally convicted in the late '90s, so it was before -- it was somewhere in the mid '90s when that occurred, because he was trying to fix the pharmacy case on John Perry, and I went and took the case to the federal prosecutor up in Cleveland, because I had that ability to do that, working with the DEA task force. And I went up there and saw Nancy Kelly -- she was an assistant U.S. Attorney up there -- and took the case to her. And he was convicted.

- Q. Just in summary, since 2002 you can't recall at this time any negative incidences or issues with a Rite-Aid pharmacy that you personally inspected; is that right?
- A. Yeah, not off the top of my head. Nothing is jumping out other than what I already brought to your attention.
 - Q. Mr. Pavlich, would you say that

Rite-Aid pharmacies generally complied with the Board of Pharmacy's security requirements?

2.

- A. Yes, I would say they generally complied.
- Q. And would you also agree that they generally had controls in place that met the Board of Pharmacy's requirements?
- A. I would say they generally had controls in place, yes.
- Q. And, as you said before, since 2002 you're not aware of anyone at a Rite-Aid pharmacy that was knowingly filling illegitimate opioid prescriptions, right?
- A. Well, if I dug in the records, I might be able to find things, but if I found it, I would have had them indicted and/or convicted. You know, I'm just trying to do this off the top of my head after eight years retired on top of that.
- Q. I understand, Mr. Pavlich, but certainly if you found something, it would have been reflected in your reports, correct?
- A. Oh, it would have been documented in a case number, incident report, and heavy documentation, especially if I took it to

court.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. Agent Pavlich, you testified that you tried to develop a lot of professional relationships with pharmacists in your investigations. Do you recall that?
- A. I tried to resolve. I didn't catch it.
 - O. Sure.

You tried to develop relationships, professional relationships with pharmacists?

A. I tried. I tried. That was part of my -- my secret. That was part of how I did so many physician, you know, criminal cases, among other things. Pharmacists were my eyes and ears out there. I couldn't be everywhere. I mean, I had, you know, hundreds of sites I was responsible for. So I relied on them, and I got the word out that cooperate with me and keep good records and you're not going to have any problem with me, but don't let me find out that you're not telling me something later on because I'm going to hold you with corresponding responsibility if you don't. And

Q. Thank you, Agent Pavlich.

believe me, my phone was ringing.

I believe you also developed professional relationships with Rite-Aid pharmacists as well; is that right?

- A. Right, I did, with all pharmacists.
- Q. Do any specific ones come to mind at this time?
 - A. Boy, I'm drawing a blank here. No. I just -- nothing is flashing in the old brain here.
 - Q. It's been a long day.
- Yeah. I mean, I've been here, Α. sitting here for a long time. If I really thought about it, I probably would come up with a number of names because I had good relationships, not that I was looking to make relationships. I was extremely fair with all chains and independents. I kept them same level. There was no, oh, I feel sorry for the independent person or chain. I was fair. And I let the pharmacists know this, you know. Your scripts are your bible, your documentation is part of that bible and I'm the guy that's going to read it; and if you're not doing it right, I'm going to be the guy that's going to tell you you're not doing it right. That's how

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I -- that's how I preached it religiously.
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Pavlich. Just a few more questions.

To your recollection, since 2002, Rite-Aid and Rite-Aid pharmacists generally cooperated with you during your investigations, right?

A. Generally, yes.

2.2

- Q. And, similarly, they would follow your recommendations when you gave them?
- A. If I saw something that I thought needed my attention or their attention, should I say, and drew my attention, yes, they would correct it.
- Q. And would you agree that Rite-Aid and Rite-Aid pharmacists actively assisted the law enforcement with anti-diversion efforts?
- A. I don't know about all law enforcement, but I would get calls from Rite-Aid pharmacists, yes, on various issues that they would want to tell me about.
- Q. And earlier today we had spent considerable time regarding the Overholt Pharmacy, but you referenced a Rite-Aid store near Dr. Franklin's practice. Do you recall

Page 357 1 that testimony? 2. Α. Yeah. There was a Rite-Aid 3 pharmacy and a Giant Eagle, I'm pretty positive. I know for sure there was a Giant 4 5 Eagle up there near Franklin's office and I know I was in them. 6 7 And you mentioned that at some point 0. the Rite-Aid store (audio distortion) on to what 8 9 was happening. Do you recall that testimony? 10 Α. What? You broke up. 11 I believe your testimony was that 0. 12 they were able to catch on to what was 13 happening. Do you recall that? 14 I still can't make out what you're Α. 15 saying. 16 Sure. Catch on. The Rite --0. 17 Oh, catch on, is that what you're Α. 18 saying? 19 Yes, sir. Ο. 20 Α. Yeah. 21 What did you mean by catch on? 0. 2.2 Α. Someone would come in with a 23 prescription at those pharmacies, because I 24 remember interviewing those pharmacists, and 2.5 someone would come in with a Franklin

prescription and they had caught on to what was going on in that office and had called the --Agent Bodi, that was assigned to that geographic, about what they're seeing, they're seeing these prescriptions in high volumes and combinations of opiates, and they caught on that this is not a good idea; no matter if the agent is responding or not, don't fill them. And that's how they all ended up trickling, what, 30 miles down the road to Overholt in a completely different other county because they weren't going to fill them. I mean, they filled a few, you know, but they were pretty much in compliance, the few they filled. were like minimal numbers and things like that. So they caught on.

- Q. And is it your professional judgment that these Rite-Aid employees acted properly in this incident?
 - A. Absolutely.

MR. NORTEY: I think, Agent

Pavlich, those are all my questions. I will go ahead and pass to my colleague, Mr. Zhou.

EXAMINATION OF GEORGE P. PAVLICH

25 BY MR. ZHOU:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Good evening, Dr. Pavlich. My name is Jason Zhou and I represent Walmart in this matter.

MR. APPEL: This is Henry Appel.

I'm going to need at least a few minute break.

MR. ZHOU: Okay. Absolutely. You need five, ten?

MR. APPEL: Let's go with five.

MR. ZHOU: Sounds good.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 7:25. This ends media unit seven.

(Recess had.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:30. This marks the beginning

BY MR. ZHOU:

of media unit number 8.

Q. Good morning, Agent Pavlich. My name is Jason Zhou and I represent Walmart in this matter, as I mentioned a few moments ago. I believe I am the last attorney that you'll have to speak with today so I'll do my best to try and keep this as short as possible for all of our sakes.

As with the other pharmacy

Defendants we've talked about today, you've

inspected Walmart pharmacies in the course of your career at the Ohio Board of Pharmacy, correct?

A. I have.

2.

2.2

- Q. And, generally speaking, did you find in the course of your inspections that Walmart pharmacies were in compliance with the board's rules and regulations?
- A. I, in general, yes, did. If I didn't, I would have so noted it.
- Q. And do you recall any of those Walmart pharmacies causing you any significant concerns?
- A. No. I got along pretty well inside the Walmart pharmacies with their pharmacists and their supervision.
- Q. And do you recall ever having any issues with the computer systems that Walmart used to dispense prescription medications such as opioids?
- A. No. I don't recall any issues with their system.
 - Q. And how about the computer systems
 Walmart used to maintain its prescription
 records and data; do you recall any issues with

Page 361 1 those systems? Α. No, I do not. 3 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection. And were those computer systems that 4 0. 5 Walmart pharmacies used approved by the board? 6 MR. WEINBERGER: Objection. 7 Α. I'm not the guy that approves it at the board. I just document it. The board had 8 their own office approval level person down 10 there. 11 Have you ever interacted with 12 Walmart pharmacists in the course of your 13 investigation of potential diversion? 14 Oh, yeah. Α. 15 0. And I assume you've also interacted 16 with Walmart pharmacists in the course of your 17 inspections of Walmart pharmacies? 18 Α. Yes. 19 What was your general impression of 20 the Walmart pharmacists that you interacted 21 with? 2.2 Very cooperative. I never had a problem that I can recall at any Walmart 23 24 pharmacy with any issues that were needed to be 2.5 addressed.

Page 362 And, generally speaking, did you 1 2 find Walmart's pharmacists to be good, diligent pharmacists? 3 A. I did. 4 5 MR. ZHOU: Thank you, Agent Pavlich. That's all the questions I have for 6 7 you today. 8 THE WITNESS: Wow. 9 MR. ZHOU: I told you I'd try and 10 keep it brief. 11 THE WITNESS: You're my hero. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: So we are done 13 and we are off the record at 7:33. And this 14 concludes today's testimony given by George 15 Pavlich. Thank you very much, sir. The total 16 number of media units used was eight and will 17 be retained by Veritext Legal Solutions. Thank 18 you. 19 MR. APPEL: George is going to not waive and we'll read. 20 21 2.2 (Deposition concluded at 7:33 p.m.) 23 24 2.5

Page 363 Whereupon, counsel was requested to give instruction regarding the witness' review of the transcript pursuant to the Civil Rules. SIGNATURE: Transcript review was requested pursuant to the applicable Rules of Civil Procedure. TRANSCRIPT DELIVERY: Counsel was requested to give instruction regarding delivery date of transcript. 2.2

Page 364 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2. The State of Ohio,) SS: 3 County of Cuyahoga. 4 5 I, Renee L. Pellegrino, a Notary Public 6 7 within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify 8 that the within named witness, GEORGE P. PAVLICH, 10 was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the 11 whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause 12 aforesaid; that the testimony then given by the 13 above referenced witness was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness; 14 afterwards transcribed, and that the foregoing is a 15 16 true and correct transcription of the testimony so given by the above referenced witness. 17 I do further certify that this 18 19 deposition was taken at the time and place in the 20 foregoing caption specified and was completed 21 without adjournment. 2.2 23 2.4 2.5

Page 365 I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney for either party, or otherwise interested in the event of this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland, Ohio, on this 17th day of December, 2020. Leve L. Pellegrino Renee L. Pellegrino, Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio My commission expires October 12, 2025. 2.2

```
Page 366
1
                              Veritext Legal Solutions
                                 1100 Superior Ave
 2
                                     Suite 1820
                               Cleveland, Ohio 44114
 3
                                Phone: 216-523-1313
      December 17, 2020
5
      To: Henry Appel, Esq.
 6
      Case Name: National Prescription Opiate Litigation - Track 3
7
      Veritext Reference Number: 4367745
8
      Witness: George Pavlich Deposition Date: 12/14/2020
9
10
      Dear Sir/Madam:
11
      Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness
12
      review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the
13
      included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and
14
      the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and
15
      forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address
      shown
16
      above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com.
17
18
      If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of
19
      this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.
20
21
      Sincerely,
      Production Department
22
23
24
25
      NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA
```

	Page 367
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW
	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2	
	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4367745
3	CASE NAME: National Prescription Opiate Litigation - Track 3
	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 12/14/2020
4	WITNESS' NAME: George Pavlich
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil
	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.
7	I have made no changes to the testimony
	as transcribed by the court reporter.
8	
9	Date George Pavlich
10	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a
	Notary Public in and for the State and County,
11	the referenced witness did personally appear
	and acknowledge that:
12	
	They have read the transcript;
13	They signed the foregoing Sworn
	Statement; and
14	Their execution of this Statement is of
	their free act and deed.
15	
	I have affixed my name and official seal
16	
	this, day of, 20
17	
18	Notary Public
19	
	Commission Expiration Date
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 368
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW
	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2	
	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4367745
3	CASE NAME: National Prescription Opiate Litigation - Track 3
	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 12/14/2020
4	WITNESS' NAME: George Pavlich
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil
	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.
7	I have listed my changes on the attached
	Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as
8	well as the reason(s) for the change(s).
9	I request that these changes be entered
	as part of the record of my testimony.
10	
	I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well
11	as this Certificate, and request and authorize
	that both be appended to the transcript of my
12	testimony and be incorporated therein.
13	
1 1	Date George Pavlich
14	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a
15	Notary Public in and for the State and County,
13	the referenced witness did personally appear
16	and acknowledge that:
17	They have read the transcript;
	They have listed all of their corrections
18	in the appended Errata Sheet;
	They signed the foregoing Sworn
19	Statement; and
	Their execution of this Statement is of
20	their free act and deed.
21	I have affixed my name and official seal
22	this, day of, 20
23	
	Notary Public
24	
25	Commission Expiration Date

	Page 369
1	ERRATA SHEET
	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST
2	ASSIGNMENT NO: 4367745
3	PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Date George Pavlich
21	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS
22	DAY OF, 20, .
23	
	Notary Public
24	
25	Commission Expiration Date

[**& - 20**] Page 1

	1 25 042.15	12 (.5.122.20	10 101.15 120.04
&	1.25 243:15	13 6:5 132:20	19 121:15 132:24
& 2:2 3:7 4:2	10 40:4,6 50:3	232:9 239:3 250:9	184:5 185:11
0	52:12 95:8,10,15	130 234:8	1970 251:19
00918 2:8	103:10 107:11	13th 297:20	258:20
00918 2.8 02 153:16	122:15 283:16	14 1:21 9:3 55:5,8	1974 17:25 18:10
03-2-13266 206:18	300:6,9 302:19	55:17,18 56:2,3,4	18:16
03-2-13200 200.18 04 26:3 209:11,12	10,000 186:22,23	56:8,9 68:7 69:9	1977 18:18
	100 3:12 40:6	69:24 70:4 135:6	1987 18:5 23:13,25
06 52:11,13 63:21	1000 3:13,17 4:3	232:11 239:7	24:20 120:20
64:6 177:7 180:4	1001 2:3	241:14 292:24	121:13,16,19
187:11 306:13	10016-7416 2:15	14,800 234:4	235:20 262:3
0640 150:13	10022 2:11	1405 138:14	278:6
0646 142:10,11	103 5:14	144:10 152:1	1990s 128:4
0652 145:7	106 148:16 149:9	153:16 158:25	224:21
0658 146:21	10:00 312:22	159:9,10	1991 140:9
0660 147:23	10:50 81:10	1419 137:1 142:17	1994 298:14
0666 149:4	11 5:8 52:2 124:22	143:4 145:9	1995 299:19
0670 149:25	135:12 136:25	147:23 149:25	1997 147:24
0672 151:7	164:11 245:23	150:14 151:8	1999 233:5 303:9
0676 155:3	284:11,12 289:1	155:4 164:12	19th 184:16
0684 164:11	289:14,15	15 21:3 55:5 57:1	1st 14:21 52:7
07 52:11 177:4,5	11-26 135:12	57:5 151:18	242:17 246:7
177:16,16 185:13	1100 366:1	224:13 234:2	2
246:6	111 7:6	284:24	2 5:3 14:9 46:4
0766 163:10	112 2:14 7:6	15,000 187:12	55:6,19 56:8,9
0778 163:14 164:4	11:00 81:14	15,298 187:9	58:7 73:24 81:15
08 52:12 159:10	11:15 151:18	15219 3:8	103:12 135:9
187:11 202:2,9	12 106:24 108:21	15th 202:9	143:12 242:4
09 52:12 206:20	131:15 163:13	16 136:7,11 138:13	308:1
1	239:2 245:24	241:21	2,000 238:12
1 6:5 13:13,18,21	246:1 313:16	16th 2:19	2-1 159:23
55:9 56:17 73:23	365:16	17 1:7 9:15 57:5	2-12 159:10
81:11 106:21	12-1 135:12	234:2 366:4	2-12 133.10 2-6 145:9
107:4,18,20 108:9	164:11	1700 2:4	20 31:22 33:16,21
140:15 153:21	12-7-20 84:4,7	17th 365:7	100:10 131:11
202:16 233:6	12/14/2020 366:8	18 1:11,13 101:3	135:21 164:2
239:10 241:14	367:3 368:3	183:6 234:20	182:9,10 205:11
252:7,17 289:7,7	12:30 102:10,10	1800 3:16	205:12,13 215:23
307:25	102:20 151:18	1820 366:2	222:3 224:13
1,200 49:9	12:31 103:2	18th 299:15	238:9 239:10
,			284:12,13 304:19
			207.12,13 307.17

[**20 - 4,460**] Page 2

304:19 367:16	2013 245:15,17	333:5	182:19,19 187:1
368:22 369:22	2014 231:6	251 7:16,16	200:12 207:8
200 345:15	2016 55:9 56:17	253 7:17,17	225:1 284:17
2000 152:1 232:19	2019 83:14	254 7:18,18	358:10
251:5 286:20	202 3:18	257-8482 2:15	312 3:5,22
297:20	2020 1:21 9:3	259 7:19	3146 12:15
2002 299:15 300:1	83:14 131:17	25th 304:5	318 7:23
301:1 304:6	365:7 366:4	26 311:9 313:15	32 234:7
351:18 352:18	2025 365:16	261 7:19	324 5:10
353:10 356:4	21 135:11,13,20	262 7:20	33 238:16
20036-5807 3:17	136:10,24 137:3	264 7:20,21	331 8:3
2006 37:21,23 52:5	165:20 239:1	266 7:21	337 5:11
194:23 243:14,16	212 2:8,15	269-4097 3:5	338-3344 3:9
262:3 305:14	21202-1031 3:13	26952 231:18	34 208:3 311:8,9
306:5 307:8	213 7:7	27 241:20	35 140:16 182:14
20061489 308:3	216 2:5	270 2:7	209:8
2007 183:17 242:5	216-523-1313	271 7:22,22	3500 3:4
243:22 244:13	366:3	273 5:9	359 5:12
245:15,16,23	222 7:7,8 130:5	28 289:2,14,19	35th 3:8
2008 183:14	2227 365:12	280 6:6	361 8:3,4
184:17 185:11	224 7:8,9 320:5	2804 1:6,7 9:15,15	364 5:16
234:4,14 242:14	226 7:9	29 163:13	3644 208:17
243:1,10,11	23 241:1	29th 286:16,20	37 153:7 281:9
245:24	233 7:10	309:22	283:2 285:24
2010 159:24	235 7:10	2:43 196:7,11	286:1,10 287:4
208:23 286:17,21	236 7:11,11	2:53 196:8	289:7
289:13 309:22	237 7:12	2:58 196:15	3719.27 126:14
2011 14:22 16:4	24 52:2	2nd 168:19	38 287:5,5
162:19 177:9	240 7:12	3	39 287:5,5
232:19 233:5	244 7:13	3 1:15 57:15,16	397-1000 2:8
2011-2012 52:23	2452 208:20	58:3 103:3 153:22	3:33 219:8
2012 14:21 15:23	246 7:13	196:12 228:23	3:44 219:11
24:21 52:7 56:21	247 7:14,14,15	242:5 300:6,8	3:57 230:1
65:20 84:5,22	248 7:15	302:19 366:6	3:59 230:4
177:9 227:20	25 29:16 31:23	367:3 368:3	4
235:4,20 236:25	33:16,21 34:11,18	3-1 132:24	4 46:7 107:12
237:3,19 242:6,17	39:9 42:20 45:23	3-1-2017 107:25	196:16 219:7
244:13,25 245:3	46:16 47:2 49:7	3-13-00 299:22	226:8,13,17,23,24
246:1,7 251:5	50:19 84:8 126:1	3-8 162:18	226:24 227:6,6
351:16	169:13 170:19	30 2:19 21:19	4,460 250:4
	216:2 316:16	61:25 62:23	,

[**4-10 - 92**] Page 3

4-10 187:11	4729.01 93:7	57 156:1	75 7:5
4-15 202:2	4729.54 84:1	5736 184:14	76 108:3
40 90:9 138:10	4729.55 84:16 85:6	189:25 190:1	77 3:3 18:20
241:3 286:22	4729.55. 78:19	5741 194:2 195:18	
287:5,6 288:1	4729.551 86:24	5749 195:14,19,25	778-1883 3:18
289:8	4729.57 87:13	5:12 267:15	7:25 359:11
4000 4:3	4729.58. 88:25	5:30 216:14	7:30 359:14
4002 158:13	4729:5-3-03		7:33 362:13,22
159:23	124:23	6	7th 2:14
4051 162:19	49 239:18	6 5:4 47:9 83:20	8
4056 155:17	494-4410 3:22	89:1 106:21 107:4	
41 7:3	4:58 267:11	107:19,20 267:16	8 93:3,6 175:5
410 3:14		313:15 314:9	182:20 186:25
412 3:9	5	6-4 187:11	187:2 188:4 310:5
42 241:4	5 65:22 72:24 73:5	60 188:5,10 200:7	359:15
43215 2:20	77:4,7,8 216:13	200:11 240:10	80 186:14 316:19
4367745 366:7	219:12 229:8	308:25	800 2:12
367:2 368:2 369:2	233:7 239:10	600 245:16	800,000 245:18
440 233:5	267:12	600-0114 2:5	804 280:18 301:10
44114 2:4 366:2	5-16 122:19	60601-1692 3:4	825 234:9
45032 1:11	5-18 101:12,24	60654 3:21	84 18:1
45079 1:13	5-20 103:21	614 2:20	87 23:14
466-8600 2:20	122:11,13	64.6 237:7	890-5472 4:4
4729 129:11	5-21 101:2,24	643 310:5	9
4729-5-09 123:16	122:14	67.7 237:1	9 47:20 312:22
4729-5-11 73:3	50 38:14,22 49:4,5	6810 2:11	9-11 73:4
95:12	71:14 81:5 125:25	69 7:4	90 29:18,20 30:4,8
4729-5-18 100:20	126:3 138:10	6:00 312:20	32:14,14 186:14
100:25	182:9 185:4	6:13 314:8	198:2 200:8
4729-5-20 102:4	188:10 192:9	6:26 311:8	284:18 308:25
103:15 107:13	500 37:12 161:6,8	6:27 314:12	309:11,12 310:7
4729-5-21 103:10	283:25 284:1	7	311:17 312:7,9
4729-5-22 123:9	295:25	7 5:5 47:19 48:21	316:16,18,21
4729-5-29 126:14	53 201:25 241:25	89:9 230:11 310:9	900 115:20 175:5
4729-5-30 28:17	54 3:21 7:3	314:13 320:5	182:18 186:24
72:15 92:22	55 85:6 279:19	7-18-02 299:22	187:1 188:3
4729-9-02 77:9	56 6:6 279:21	70 7:4,5 249:4	90s 197:22 352:8,9
4729-9-05 66:22	280:5,13,15 281:6	713 4:4	91 135:12
4729-9-11 67:5,23	293:12 294:10	723-3216 2:12	92 236:13,15 237:3
72:25 73:6,11	296:22	74 18:19	237:14,20
, ,	56.1 235:5		,

[9237 - administrative]

Page	4

9237 162:18	129:23 198:4	accumulated	actuality 162:10
949-1159 3:14	214:1 222:11	262:12 264:3	add 51:13 108:14
95 143:3 146:5	230:17 231:14,20	265:2	217:22 279:22
96 145:9	233:3 234:7,8,8	accumulating	added 50:25
9822 158:12 159:2	235:3 238:10,14	262:24	279:18 287:1
9830 159:22	239:16,18 241:4	accurate 47:2	addicted 247:8
9832 160:18	316:22 317:8,17	96:13 99:21	addiction 222:17
161:22	318:5,6	135:17	247:9 251:10
9844 155:15	abused 234:8	accurately 80:1	253:21 254:1
9853 155:24 156:1	239:2	acknowledge	318:19
9855 156:1 157:2	abuser 211:16	367:11 368:16	addictive 225:10
99 150:19	abusing 211:11	acquaintance	addition 54:4
9944 144:8	238:13 239:6	23:22	additional 60:17
9956 151:25	241:15,22,22	acquired 85:25	268:8 271:12
9962 153:16	317:3	act 50:9 229:9	282:23 312:9
9974 158:24 159:2	academy 18:1	251:18 252:5	address 12:13
9:01 1:21 9:2	acceptable 230:18	253:7 254:8,14	122:21 172:21
9th 305:14	331:19,21	255:5,13 258:6,13	249:14 259:22
a	accepted 12:20	258:21 320:14	282:3,24 283:5
a.m. 1:21 9:2	access 38:2 54:13	367:14 368:20	341:13 366:15
aaron 1:8	61:3 66:17 68:18	acted 358:18	addressed 120:2
abilities 35:12	68:19,22 69:19,21	acting 95:25 96:6	288:22 304:24
263:16 325:2	71:23,24 74:13	117:1	309:4 361:25
ability 31:5 38:6	accessible 64:19	action 9:21 88:5	adds 108:10
147:12 352:13	64:22 240:14	95:5 304:1 334:11	adequacy 69:16
able 34:12 35:3	accident 248:6	365:4	69:17,20,24
37:5 63:24 141:3	accommodate	actions 23:10	adequate 86:9,15
162:11 191:2	311:3	87:13 124:24	86:18
216:13 260:9	account 147:21	active 82:13 83:8	adequately 166:12
261:5 262:17	295:8 296:6	actively 171:5	adhering 71:8,16
263:8,11,13	330:19	173:20 356:16	adipex 36:9,15
297:21 298:1	accountability	activities 22:5	118:3
342:17 348:22,23	147:20 161:21	activity 39:7 69:11	adjournment
353:15 357:12	283:17,18,20,22	71:3 124:3 177:25	364:21
absolutely 92:5	284:2,3,5 295:13	249:3 323:12,15	adjust 220:11
277:10,21 322:12	300:9 343:7	actors 31:16	administered
325:7 326:9	accountable 17:11	actual 29:5 49:15	45:10
358:20 359:6	147:8 325:21	61:11,12 75:24	administration
abuse 39:12 50:21	accounting 147:15	76:10,16 206:19	46:21,22
50:25 51:13	accumulate	281:25 318:23	administrative
104:14 115:10	263:25		27:14 28:17 31:25
			1

[administrative - aid]

34:8 49:23 58:9	27:11 33:9 39:18	350:13 354:2,25	245:20 246:8,13
65:18 66:2,4,11,12	47:21,23 48:6,7,21	358:3,8,21 359:17	246:14,17 247:1,3
66:21 67:6,23	51:11 52:18 56:20	362:5	247:24 248:3,4,8
68:10 72:14 77:21	57:21 58:19,21	agent's 125:22	250:21 251:1,21
95:17 121:4	59:13 63:23,25	agents 24:18,23	253:15,17,19,23
124:22 131:4	65:16 66:9 67:10	26:12,18,20,24,25	258:12 274:17
132:9 168:22,23	67:19 71:1 77:11	30:11 47:23 49:1	277:5 280:14
337:14	78:24 81:17 83:5	58:14 64:3,4	304:17,23 316:20
administratively	84:9 86:15,21	120:18 125:2,13	316:25 317:6,14
178:19	87:16 92:1 93:19	127:25 130:20	317:18,24 322:9
admissions 234:6	94:10 95:2 96:5	132:3 201:23	353:5 356:15
admit 239:6	96:14 98:23 99:4	235:25 277:6	agreed 81:4
admits 249:20	104:17 107:1,3,22	278:3,10,20	agreeing 209:17
adult 207:17 240:9	107:24 108:6	aggravated 343:14	agreement 59:23
advance 216:20	113:3 120:7,8,11	348:16 350:2,3	216:19
advantage 265:13	125:18,22 126:12	aggravating 349:3	ahead 57:18 73:16
advice 34:3	127:12,24 133:1	349:25	75:15 84:20 95:9
advised 298:16	139:3 141:3	aggressive 22:6	95:21 111:16
affairs 47:10	144:21 150:24	42:25 274:24	151:10 298:13
affiant 184:9	152:20 154:19	275:2,3 278:10,14	304:11 358:23
affidavit 187:18	159:11 164:10	305:9 343:16,16	aid 4:2 10:8 12:3,7
affiliated 25:19	165:1 169:2	aggressively 26:17	44:16 79:19
91:3	173:14,24 174:12	ago 14:20 33:16	114:21,23 138:5
affiliations 9:25	175:19 183:9,20	89:25 91:13	171:9,10,16
affixed 298:3	183:23,25 184:17	137:11 150:8	172:11 173:9
334:16 365:6	184:18,22,24	155:1 294:22	189:10,12 194:20
367:15 368:21	190:4 192:2	319:21 343:24	195:15 208:9,20
aforesaid 364:12	194:19,23 206:21	359:19	209:4 210:22,23
afternoon 5:14	211:20 218:11	agree 9:8 15:8,9	210:24 215:25
103:5,8 219:18	219:18 221:18	60:4 68:11 70:12	266:8 308:7
273:22	223:23 226:7	222:1,18,20	310:17 338:20,24
ag 91:9	228:10 230:6,16	223:23 224:17	339:5,10 340:8,18
ag's 311:21	235:19,21,24	225:25 229:13,15	340:20,24 342:19
age 11:16 239:7	236:20 238:21	230:15,20 231:25	343:1,3,22,23
259:22	246:4,21 248:13	233:9 234:13,16	344:21 346:3,23
agencies 41:25	250:21 251:6,16	234:24,25 235:13	346:24 347:1,10
43:16	255:4 264:21	235:17 237:21	347:21 348:6
agency 27:3 43:3	267:18 278:5	238:20,24 239:1,5	349:20 350:5,14
47:9	292:5 303:24,25	239:8,13,20 240:3	350:20 352:20
agent 23:17 24:1,1	324:16 340:3	240:7 241:7,12,13	353:1,11 355:2
24:17,19 25:11	349:3,5,8,11	241:17,20,24	356:5,5,15,16,20

[aid - arrested] Page 6

356:24 357:2,8	annual 132:25	appearance 10:3	348:20
358:18	144:5	appearances 2:1	approach 339:14
aids 137:21	annually 134:10	3:1 4:1 5:3 9:25	approaching 60:1
ain't 235:16	answer 32:2 54:8	appearing 1:25	appropriate 23:10
al 1:10,13	57:5 58:2 70:10	12:18	105:2 151:15
alarm 69:18	70:11 86:20 89:20	appears 115:12	267:4
alcohol 247:4	111:17 156:18	116:13 147:25	appropriately
algorithms 263:7	158:6,10 165:9	155:25 228:24	78:17
273:3	170:14 201:4	287:21 289:15	approval 361:9
alleged 211:13	207:4 216:16	296:23 298:4	approve 150:24
316:6	224:6 238:1	appel 2:18 10:21	approved 66:24
allergic 335:11	261:22	10:21 16:25 81:4	67:3,15 68:14
337:19	answered 158:9	89:25 91:10,11	85:12 151:3,4,5
allergies 337:19	289:4	102:6,16 194:4,5	330:23 361:5
allergy 104:13	answers 114:11	215:5,7,11,20	approves 361:7
allow 59:2	332:19	216:3,6,6,7 218:9	approximate
allowed 206:9,11	anti 171:6 173:21	218:17,20,23	180:1 183:12
altered 40:9	356:17	252:21,21 267:1	approximately
aluminum 18:21	anybody 17:3	310:3 311:6,20,20	14:18 15:15 29:16
american 253:12	89:24 90:8 100:8	312:4,14 313:13	52:4 107:12
amiss 277:11	115:23 182:15	313:22 359:4,4,8	135:20 136:10
amount 175:4	191:16 192:13	362:19 366:5	233:7 242:13
187:20 205:7	225:24	appended 368:11	243:17 316:18
243:20 254:21	anybody's 16:12	368:18	approximation
291:4	anymore 43:19	applicable 107:1,5	270:14
amphetamine	233:24 340:21	363:7	april 202:8
34:25 118:4	348:17	applicant 68:1,4	area 20:3 39:8
amphetamines	anyways 218:24	86:1	109:6,11,22 110:1
36:9 204:23	apap 308:5	applicant's 69:25	110:8 111:11
amplified 270:4	apart 327:22	application 84:12	112:16 135:25,25
analgesic 236:22	328:23	127:8 329:11,18	150:21 172:12
236:24	apologized 156:19	330:2	190:15 191:2
analgesics 237:13	apologizing	applications 82:5	206:22 254:22
analyses 65:11	157:21	89:11,17	269:9 351:14
analysis 273:10	apparently 51:6	apply 37:5 74:7	areas 69:21
analyze 65:6,8	164:2 175:13,22	applying 112:14	130:23 140:17,23
332:7	175:24 234:10,20	appreciate 173:8	arisen 251:14
analyzing 276:3	appear 77:25	219:22 244:9	arrest 344:22
andrea 176:16	136:13 149:18	280:3 311:6	346:1,3
203:6 204:4,7,17	298:25 367:11	313:19 324:11	arrested 325:18
	368:15	338:1 340:3	340:10,15 343:24

[arresting - back] Page 7

arresting 318:20	assistant 352:15	attitude 339:14	123:21 124:10,14
arrive 298:21	assisted 145:16	attorney 2:18 10:4	216:9 224:24
arrow 220:11	356:16	10:22 89:25 90:6	225:4,5,10,12
articulate 157:3	assisting 345:12	352:16 359:20	233:8,10 242:24
326:25	associated 231:22	365:2	255:4,24 256:6,13
ascertain 53:14	235:21 241:3	attorneys 90:22	257:3 268:22
ashamed 132:4	associates 209:15	attribute 213:21	316:4 320:17
ashtabula 24:12	association 18:5	attributed 213:24	330:16 333:20,24
24:14 109:17	25:15	audio 9:6 357:8	334:1 353:11
188:19	assume 15:5 52:4	audit 133:10	b
asked 32:7 65:9,15	217:6 233:13	147:19 295:11,12	b 21:21 38:16,19
96:24 140:2	310:7,8 361:15	295:13,14,19	61:16,17,21 62:19
168:25 183:9	assured 86:10	296:3	67:21 69:7 85:19
188:16 252:25	attached 122:19	august 208:22	93:12 100:16
262:10 266:9	146:20 148:16	305:14 307:8	103:12 105:1,1,22
268:20 275:22	306:17 368:7	authority 125:6	103.12 103.1,1,22
305:5 317:19	attachment 107:7	authorize 368:11	back 18:8 36:6
334:18	107:9	authorized 28:25	50:24 56:7,8
asking 22:9 38:23	attempt 310:19	69:20 74:13 85:1	62:18,21 66:12
169:21 218:1,3	attempted 217:6	85:21,22 86:13	68:13 73:8,10
224:3 247:23	attempting 66:10	94:1 125:18	81:13,17 103:1,9
248:12 286:2	73:18	127:12 187:9	103:20 107:25
317:23	attend 25:23	208:25 268:24	108:2 112:7
asks 40:21	attended 18:5	auto 248:6	119:15 122:11
aspect 49:22 258:4	attending 9:23	automatic 320:7	137:12 140:9,10
323:25	attention 42:17	autumnwood	140:11 147:12
aspects 25:25	56:23 57:15 81:19	12:16	152:1 161:20
268:11 306:21	100:5 110:15,20	availability 69:23	177:12 181:25
assigned 19:13,17	113:19,24 118:11	available 48:13	190:14,25 195:24
21:3 23:17 24:8	124:21 129:16	77:17 262:7,13	196:8,14,18 197:3
42:7,9,11 120:25	132:10 141:11	263:6 265:1,7,19	201:15 203:25
184:21 192:3	152:22 153:6,14	266:6	218:12 219:2,10
202:10 358:3	154:1 181:21	ave 366:1	230:3 233:14
assignment 367:2	250:20 289:1,10	avenue 2:3,7,14	249:13 267:14,18
368:2 369:2	290:5 303:7	average 233:6	293:12 306:13
assist 15:4 25:6,7	306:25 320:19	236:25	314:11 325:10
48:18 139:8 171:5	327:25 336:1	avoid 105:3	335:6 336:16
173:20 346:2	342:24 345:13,20	awakening 120:18	343:20 346:13
assistance 16:24	352:24 356:12,12	award 26:3	349:24 359:13
27:7 326:20	356:13	aware 12:22 104:3	366:15
		104:6 114:7	_

[background - better]

background 17:22	barricade 68:21	becker 197:7	205:8 207:3,14
231:20 235:2	68:21 74:20,21	beer 247:3	210:2,23 233:16
bad 30:16,17	140:11 141:18	befriending 31:10	236:7 238:16
31:16 35:16,21	150:16,22 151:6	began 174:2 182:4	239:22 243:7,10
100:8 164:23	154:10 281:13,14	183:13,19 209:3	258:22 259:5
188:16,20 196:25	281:16 297:23	224:20 239:7	270:9 274:22
197:20 205:1	298:7,8,15 299:16	242:13	275:25 278:2,19
207:9 264:7,12	299:21,21	beginning 6:7 10:3	279:16,18 291:19
293:9 294:13	barricades 68:23	17:22 60:22 97:11	294:17 298:23
296:9 310:11	70:7	103:3 111:20	317:1 319:18,21
341:6 342:12	bartlit 3:20	112:8 120:20	320:7,25 323:12
346:6 350:5	bartlitbeck.com	147:13 158:12	325:11 326:4,7,11
badly 350:1	3:22	196:15 267:15	328:19 339:5
balance 284:4	base 133:11	280:7 293:12	345:2 350:16
baltimore 3:13	based 17:18 65:11	295:13 307:24	354:24 355:1
bank 90:18	66:2 70:23 98:22	314:12 359:14	357:11 359:20
barbara 163:21	99:17 110:3,5,9	begins 56:17 69:10	believed 173:15
barnes 3:7 5:8	117:9,17 118:22	81:14 219:11	237:17
10:5,6 11:14,22,25	135:7,22 166:2	243:22	belinky 197:18
81:3,16 91:17	169:24 186:8	behalf 2:2,17 3:2,6	benedict 23:17
102:5,13,19 103:7	192:24 255:22	3:10,19 4:2 12:21	26:15 120:23
174:9 194:8,15	263:24 265:20	85:25 322:1	158:3
196:6,17 214:12	294:25 308:5	behave 163:5	beneficial 37:25
214:18 216:16	316:9 334:8	behavior 22:25	benzodiazepines
217:10 218:2	bases 224:5	38:6 345:12	260:3
219:13,15 222:4	basis 30:19 54:4	346:22,25	best 30:25 31:5
222:19,24 223:2,2	94:6 132:18	behavioral 93:16	35:11 38:4 125:7
223:6,14,17,20	134:19 137:3	belief 93:11	126:3 138:11
224:2,14 226:5	232:25 268:3	believe 11:3 13:7	140:23 183:2,2
233:19 235:8	322:9	14:22 16:2 36:7	209:17 214:4
236:9,18 237:24	bates 6:7 142:10	36:10 51:12 63:22	242:14 248:11
240:6 244:19	231:17 280:7	65:1,2 72:18 92:2	261:22 325:2
246:12 247:2,13	bathroom 146:18	112:22 114:13	329:9 330:10,15
247:22 248:2	battle 205:18	118:16 123:25	331:16 332:23
250:25 251:13	battles 324:13	142:23 158:3	333:13 348:5
252:23,23 253:14	bay 306:9,14	173:10,12 180:5	359:21
253:22 254:12,18	307:7	180:11 182:23	better 49:10 56:10
254:20 255:2	bays 306:13	185:13 197:10	166:7 228:17,19
259:4 261:9	bear 158:23	198:17 200:21	307:22 315:12
264:14 266:12,18	beck 3:20	201:8 203:25,25	342:1,6,11
271:23 313:1,4		204:15,16,18	

[beyond - brief] Page 9

beyond 63:9	249:21 355:7	230:13 232:3	boss 131:5 132:8
124:12 231:19	blatant 34:23	233:14,23 234:3	136:5
248:12 252:14	blocks 137:10	235:20,23 238:22	bottles 249:10
255:9,9 257:8	blue 18:3,25 19:1	241:1 242:2 246:5	295:25 296:6
273:6 331:8	board 2:17 4:8		326:16
		249:8,20 251:6,17	
biannual 144:5	10:25 11:2 12:20	257:19 273:25	bottom 107:15,15
biannually 134:19	13:25 18:4 23:13	274:7,25 275:6,10	142:12 161:22
bible 61:12 97:22	23:23,25 24:20,22	275:13 278:3,6,21	189:25 190:7
121:8 334:25	26:20,20,22 27:12	288:2,23 292:5	291:10 294:23
335:1 355:21,22	29:15 34:12 39:18	295:18 296:16	298:14 307:11
bickers 303:11,14	42:19,21,22 43:2	303:18 310:24	bought 205:20
304:12	44:5,6,9,11,13	316:17 320:13	295:25
bid 188:5	45:22 46:11,16,20	322:15 324:20	box 288:13,14,17
big 13:6 16:22	47:2,3,14 48:16	329:10 330:24	289:5,13,14,23
31:9 35:10 184:7	49:1,2 50:19	333:14,24 353:2,7	290:23 294:22
184:8 198:11,13	51:10 52:21 53:10	360:2 361:5,8,8	299:2 300:2
207:25 209:12	54:3 58:14 59:2	board's 43:21 44:4	315:17
211:15 212:8	59:13 64:24 65:4	87:4,5 141:16	boxes 13:1,2,5
236:10 238:12	67:4,24 68:4 82:4	162:14 360:8	boy 132:15 151:9
250:17 329:8	82:18 83:12,16	boardman 172:1	207:9 347:3
bigger 345:16	84:12 85:12,22	320:6 340:10	348:16 355:7
biggest 31:6 198:7	86:4,15 87:24	341:9,15 343:22	brad 155:18
bigowsky 208:14	88:9,12,13,22 89:4	bob 26:15 91:10	brain 89:22 355:8
bill 149:12 181:5	90:1,6 91:1,4,6,8	120:8,10 158:4	branch 19:7
billy 149:14	109:24 120:8,17	223:2 226:14	brand 281:18
binder 12:23 13:6	120:20 124:2,15	252:23 313:1	break 16:9,13 42:1
13:8 16:23 46:3,5	124:18 125:1,5,9	bockius 4:2	81:6,18 102:7
57:15 65:23 83:21	125:11,18,21,22	bodi 175:23,24	103:9 194:6,13
183:7 202:1	126:5 127:10,12	184:22,24 189:16	196:7,19,21
226:10 253:1	129:11 130:19	191:17 194:23	214:19 218:11,24
biological 93:16	131:17,20 133:19	358:3	219:25 267:3,4
bit 28:2,4 34:19	135:8 139:20	bodi's 175:22	338:8,11 359:5
50:1 104:8 171:22	147:9,11 148:19	bona 97:25	breaking 81:3
172:22 173:3,5	148:20 150:24	book 78:8 119:19	brent 145:11,18
183:22 193:7	161:5,17,18 171:5	131:1 232:14	146:23
214:22 221:24	174:24 180:6	272:9 342:2	brenton 163:22
287:19 307:18	191:18 195:25	books 172:21	brian 296:13
331:23 345:19	201:13 206:7,10	bop 6:7 142:13	320:2,8,24 321:1
black 40:3	221:20 222:9	280:8	bribing 225:13
blank 202:25	227:1,1,10,15,24	border 224:8	brief 219:24
210:7,11 249:12	228:5 229:1	246:16 340:9,23	362:10
L	1	1	1

[briefly - case] Page 10

1 1 0 1 4 4 4 4		11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
briefly 16:22	business 69:23	called 11:16 19:11	caption 55:18
91:14 346:1	85:10 263:19	32:25 34:9 41:1	73:23 364:20
bring 40:12 50:23	busy 30:3 33:24	46:10 50:4 78:25	car 19:5 249:9
82:1,15 114:21	100:4 137:16	100:25 118:20	card 83:10,11,15
129:16 132:10	buy 255:20 307:5	131:2 136:3	126:15,16 127:3
152:22 170:10	buying 255:16	141:24 146:8,10	cards 126:5,9
195:24 226:22	256:8,14	151:13 153:3,5	care 93:14 94:8
252:6 288:25	c	157:7,20 164:22	102:8,14 241:14
290:4 336:1	c 21:21 38:19	176:4 185:11,14	career 18:7 27:10
343:11	61:16,17,21 86:9	208:14 210:21	34:21 36:7 37:5
bringing 36:4,19	128:15 163:21	288:21 296:14	42:21,25 43:5
40:14 119:18	177:22 257:16	320:8,24 326:7	52:3 60:13,19
289:10	292:2 340:6	329:3 334:7 336:6	88:7 98:5 101:19
brings 285:10	ca 366:25	336:14 340:7	107:6 111:20
brinks 196:2	ca 300:25 cabinet 240:14	358:2	160:6 174:17
broad 2:19 200:24		calling 33:13	182:13,14 198:1
201:4,6 251:2	calculator 331:10	109:22 152:20	221:20 222:9
broader 68:25	california 25:20	175:11 308:23	243:8 248:20
broke 182:9	call 31:3 33:25	calls 31:4,23 33:8	257:14 266:4
357:10	34:2,9 35:4 41:17	33:16,18,21 38:14	322:20 323:7
broken 46:20	52:16 53:14 74:3	38:22 40:18,20	325:11 328:3
186:2,5	75:1 80:14,15,18	41:9 152:14	338:20 360:2
brought 56:23	83:16 89:8 99:20	295:24 309:12	carisoprodol
108:16 173:16	100:20 103:25	329:2 356:19	250:6
181:7,21 303:6	112:18 114:23	camera 161:23	carried 138:11
320:14,19 327:24	118:16 129:2	326:13	carry 85:10
346:10 347:10	147:2 148:10,12	cameras 162:4,6	125:19 292:23
349:1 352:24	148:13 151:2	162:11,14 320:11	carrying 307:11
buddy 285:7	152:24 154:1	320:12	case 1:7,11,13
building 33:12	158:10 166:21	cap 215:12	9:15 15:24 16:1
69:14 85:16	173:1 174:22,24	capable 94:20	27:7,10 37:19
154:15 306:17	182:25 185:10	185:1,6 278:16,17	52:9,10 79:4
307:5	189:15,16 193:3	294:3,7	134:2 147:19
buildings 85:9	204:25 207:1	capacity 58:8	170:4,11 172:1
built 297:11	210:20 219:1	capita 236:4,13,16	175:24 176:1,2
bumping 313:7	268:13 269:8	236:22,24,25	173.24 176.1,2
bunch 203:3	276:24 284:3		177:1,3,4,7,8,16
	285:7 296:7,9,10	237:2,4,8,15,20	
212:13	297:3 326:9 329:5	244:22,23 245:6	180:6 181:17,18
burden 166:19	329:18,19 333:22	capitalized 302:22	183:15 185:13
bus 102:17	339:25 349:18,18	captain 208:14	186:5 198:11
			199:13,14,15

[case - circumstances]

207:13 209:12	326:17 328:1	213:17 214:7	chart 197:15
211:16 213:25,25	358:1,6,16	265:20 275:7	242:1
214:2,2 219:21	cause 87:20,24	321:10 323:11	cheaper 247:8
237:19 249:5,6	98:5 154:20	355:19	check 56:20 83:8
250:10,16 256:1	303:23 364:11	chains 17:10 22:18	108:10 110:24
258:20 276:24	caused 35:22 88:7	31:19 32:16 53:5	111:12,13 125:14
282:18 308:2	128:8 152:16	79:22 119:22	200:13 288:13,14
323:24,25 325:24	165:11 166:15	134:22 137:10	289:5,13,14
327:25 336:9	170:21 172:11	173:15 179:11	290:21 315:17
341:1 348:14	251:11	188:6 192:7,13	336:6 338:7
352:10,11,16	causing 201:9	201:1 250:22	checked 77:23
353:24 366:6	360:12	255:13 331:25	82:12 123:1
367:3 368:3	center 234:10	342:21 355:17	288:13,17 289:24
cases 1:15 19:19	centers 257:6	chalfin 340:6	290:24 294:23
21:6 27:6 32:15	centre 3:8	341:11 342:1	298:10 299:2
43:14 117:22,25	certain 43:18	345:3,11 346:2,16	300:2
176:5 179:8 182:5	45:18 54:15 64:18	change 33:4 34:17	checking 41:7
193:1 198:5	64:22 72:1,2	60:14 145:3,6	82:5 165:22
201:13 202:10	74:16 79:7 101:11	366:13,14 368:8	195:15
212:6,8 277:4	118:15 132:18	369:3	checklists 130:24
294:18 320:3	167:3,4 268:11	changed 95:13	chemical 93:16
322:14,18 327:22	285:17 335:21,21	120:12,19 286:10	chest 176:22
342:20 348:4,7,10	337:20 342:23	changes 84:6	chicago 3:4,21
354:13	344:24 349:1	366:12 367:7	chief 90:1
cash 36:20 115:25	certainly 228:4	368:7,9	child 235:5 236:14
261:13 270:21	311:4,16 338:19	changing 34:13	237:11,14 276:5
341:6 346:7	353:21	channels 274:15	childcare 102:15
catch 76:25	certificate 5:16	274:16,16	children 240:15
113:19 191:22	83:12 364:1	chapter 129:11	chiropractor
241:15 326:14,21	368:11	chapters 46:12	210:2,5
354:6 357:12,16	certification 367:1	character 76:17	choose 137:2
357:17,21	368:1	charge 20:25	chris 197:7
catches 40:25	certified 11:19	62:11,24 63:1	christopher
categorizes 230:13	certify 364:8,18	83:9 183:23,25	120:19
category 51:1	365:1	184:10 192:13	cialis 179:2 180:18
85:12 244:4	cetera 74:2	257:12 291:11	circle 313:11
283:13	cfr 258:14	326:2	circled 289:9
cathy 155:21	chain 12:2 32:23	charged 46:11	circumstance 41:5
caught 83:18	79:21 121:6 168:7	47:3 58:15 172:7	320:17,22 321:3
189:14 199:10	172:14 173:19	192:18 201:11	circumstances
210:18 320:13	201:8 212:12,14	206:24 327:20	106:3 111:8

[circumstances - compare]

112:18 118:14	clinical 93:17	cole 26:15 120:8	224:8 236:3 299:4
157:22 285:17	clint 4:7 221:9	120:10 158:4	317:11,15 327:5
citation 206:12	clipboard 292:20	257:16,17,18	343:20 355:5,13
citations 130:22	292:23	colleague 228:9	357:22,25
cite 130:11	clippings 322:22	358:23	comes 150:24
city 12:13 43:13	close 137:13	colleagues 11:15	210:16 232:3
civil 11:18 29:25	228:21 349:19	college 17:23	234:10 249:13
316:5 363:3,7	closed 74:15	18:13 192:24	321:7 329:20
367:5 368:5	213:19,19 324:3	307:23 331:9	comfort 275:12
class 257:8 287:10	closer 229:14	color 115:16	comfortable 90:17
classes 18:7	closures 69:15	columbiana 24:14	328:10 349:16
248:23	clothes 19:10,18	25:2 42:14	coming 22:12 94:5
classification	19:25	columbus 2:20	109:15 116:3
255:10	clr 1:24	23:16 34:9 52:22	188:11 206:4
clean 140:12	cmo 247:21	53:13 65:8 83:16	218:12 246:16
141:14 143:3	cocaine 231:24	120:24 132:8	332:18
144:20,22 145:3	232:22	148:20 158:4	commission
145:23 152:17	code 27:14,15	185:7 257:10,12	365:16 367:19
153:12,18,20	28:17 31:24 34:8	257:15 276:24	368:25 369:25
155:7 158:15	45:25 46:12 63:10	329:19	commissioned
159:10 160:11	65:18,18 66:2,2,4	column 49:19	364:8
162:20 164:13,14	66:5,6,11,12,13,14	131:10	common 28:8
328:5,7	66:15,19,21 67:6	combination	277:3
clear 329:25	67:24 68:10 71:16	248:17,23 260:3	commonly 28:18
345:10 349:11	72:12,14,16,19,25	326:5	239:2
clearly 127:22	73:14,17 76:22	combinations	communicate
349:15	77:9,11,15,21	190:11 193:4	114:18
cleveland 2:4	83:21,22,25 84:9	194:24 260:10	communicated
43:11,25 110:13	87:14 89:10 91:19	358:6	276:7
110:18 146:16	92:17 93:1,5,7,8	combine 184:19	communication
270:15 303:16	93:19 94:25 95:16	185:12,20	46:21 47:11
352:12 365:7	95:17 96:2 100:15	combined 185:25	communications
366:2	100:24 101:8,12	204:22 231:24	16:14
click 221:1	101:23 121:4	232:23 312:6	communities
clicked 221:4	124:22 129:12,14	combining 185:21	251:11 254:3
client 218:25	129:19 130:3,10	come 30:8 44:23	companies 12:5
266:12	146:12,12 167:2	110:14 112:11,11	company 22:15
clinic 110:13	168:13,22 258:14	115:5 116:7 118:4	200:5 332:15
198:11,15,20	337:15	118:9,11 132:5	333:15 343:13
209:14 249:13	codes 131:3	147:20 148:8	compare 158:7
		152:15 210:9	

[compared - contact]

compared 197:14	288:21 291:1	concerning 139:9	confirm 16:17
198:12	294:25 298:6	149:6 156:23	268:14 302:9
competent 149:18	299:12 335:25	concerns 304:24	confirmed 11:11
compilation	358:14 360:7	338:24 360:13	187:9 195:2
272:16	compliant 43:1	concluded 242:15	confront 32:12,19
compile 237:17	192:12,17 316:13	309:4 316:9	confrontational
238:2 246:14	325:20	362:22	127:25
248:8	complied 169:4	concludes 250:4	confronted 171:25
compiled 53:4	353:1,4	362:14	congress 251:19
203:1 233:22	comply 34:4 52:20	conclusion 145:4	253:6
234:16 235:9	53:15 54:1,10	224:4,4 309:17,20	conjunction
237:16 238:1	59:3 87:4,10	conclusions 171:8	113:17 235:24
248:9 251:7	88:18,20 91:22	concur 193:25	connection 79:15
265:23 322:19	272:11	condition 108:22	171:17 302:5
334:8	complying 33:19	115:22 124:17	conroy 2:10 10:17
complained 191:3	76:22 77:21 123:3	conditions 53:2	10:17
308:17,19 309:7	130:12 274:2,8	54:15 55:16 56:15	conry 2:13
complaining 309:6	275:13	57:2,8 106:22,25	consider 68:5
complaint 59:8,16	compounded	conduct 25:3,6	274:23
144:23 307:10	94:17	30:5 36:2 58:9	considerable
308:1,11 309:12	computer 128:24	132:18 201:16	356:23
complaints 194:22	141:18 161:14	245:4 249:8	consideration
complete 63:9	178:7 180:21,22	257:13 272:6	88:16
146:13 218:16	181:8 249:23	276:18,23 278:20	considered 69:9
346:15	264:16 273:8	292:4	140:6 188:2 333:7
completed 143:14	300:20 330:22	conducted 50:19	considering 71:3,8
364:20 366:15	331:2,4,6,18 332:7	69:11 71:4 134:25	consistent 59:10
completely 358:11	332:15 333:16	135:11 275:9	195:8 286:11
completes 47:6	335:10 337:19	286:9 299:22	consists 297:23
completing 292:16	360:18,23 361:4	315:5 318:13	constantly 22:9
completion 133:15	computerized	325:1 335:15	constitutes 127:11
complex 27:20,22	101:17,18 156:24	conducting 58:15	construction
27:23	computers 70:15	58:20,22 75:8	69:14 297:6,6
complexity 250:7	331:10	204:12	consult 106:9,16
compliance 24:1	concepts 229:15	conference 25:22	consulting 105:8
26:1 34:3 43:10	concern 118:5	confessed 320:15	consume 187:1
47:11 49:24 60:6	152:16 166:15	confidential 22:3	consuming 116:5
67:22 68:6 69:7,8	298:17 332:9,17	22:7 288:8	175:10 250:1
70:22 71:2 73:19	333:17	confidentiality	cont'd 3:1 4:1 8:1
76:4 88:13 104:4	concerned 299:12	70:17 287:6 288:1	contact 225:21
228:10 275:5		288:5 345:4 346:4	238:4,6 268:13

[contacted - correct] Page 14

contacted 149:5	113:6 118:7	197:5 198:1,24	coroner 185:5
contacting 326:19	122:22 130:7	199:12 201:11	196:22 197:16
contacts 249:8	132:21 133:7	203:8,11 206:24	corporate 148:12
contained 66:1	143:13,16,24	207:11 319:17	169:22 173:5
container 78:2	147:16 151:12	325:19 327:2,21	213:22 214:8
containing 69:21	178:12,14,16,23	340:11 352:7,17	259:8 262:8 263:5
95:16	178:25 179:23	353:17	264:3 265:2
content 281:25	181:15 186:11	conviction 29:18	266:15 276:8
context 229:4	187:10,13,20	206:15 242:15	304:3 331:25
283:21 284:25	190:12 194:25	cooperate 32:4	332:7,24 345:6
287:20 288:2	197:1,8,20 204:10	152:8 207:22	corporation 259:8
continue 9:7 88:19	208:21 209:22	354:18	correct 44:12 48:2
100:15	210:12 211:23	cooperated 32:3	48:3 53:20 59:7
continued 103:6	230:14,16 244:6	321:11 356:6	59:15 65:19 82:24
305:6,6	251:18,25 252:5	cooperating	88:14,20 89:6
continues 104:25	253:7,10 254:8,14	323:23	101:12,13,25
239:15	255:5,14 258:6,7	cooperation	104:23 108:1
continuing 88:12	258:12,20 259:11	346:15	110:25 112:18
contractor 298:5	269:1 309:16	cooperative 23:3,7	116:15 117:3,4
contraindicated	316:7	139:5 140:1	118:23 124:18
335:7	controlling 161:19	145:15 159:19	127:6 130:13
contraindications	controls 66:24	163:2 164:18,20	136:2 140:12
104:12	67:3,7,15 68:14,25	165:13,15 171:11	141:9 142:3 144:3
contributed	69:2,3 70:6,7	203:22 321:14	150:3 151:1,6
223:25 250:23	71:17 143:22	328:5,21 342:21	156:3 160:11
316:21 317:7	161:25 166:7	345:12 346:13	183:24 196:23
contributes	258:8 277:20	361:22	222:10 224:13
317:16	353:6,9	coordinate 310:13	227:17 228:6,10
contributing	convenience 307:2	311:13	230:25 231:1,6,9
316:24	307:4	copier 156:11	235:22 237:15
control 68:18,22	convenient 137:12	157:7	243:23 244:18
68:23 69:17 73:1	conversation	copies 29:1 252:15	246:1 256:25
80:5 85:23 86:5	89:23 113:13	252:24,25	259:9 265:3
129:23 134:20,21	196:4 218:14	copy 40:16 101:21	266:17 267:22
160:16,17 161:3	265:18 340:13	148:20 156:10	272:18 273:4,11
234:11	341:18 342:17	161:21 252:22	273:12 276:11
controlled 40:1	conversations 9:5	279:23 323:1	280:21 287:6
44:22 46:1,14	28:18 77:1	334:7,9	290:14 291:17
53:7,9 63:13	convict 198:22	corner 327:8	293:18 296:25
74:12 85:2,24	convicted 176:14	cornwell 163:22	298:17,23 299:5
99:16 109:21	185:4 196:22		299:23 300:6,9

[correct - criminal] Page 15

309:17 311:7 counsels 286:6 211:19 213:10 courteous 139:1 313:21 314:25 151:17 187:24,25 235:25 236:15 237:3,7,11,20,23 312:17,23 318:25 319:2 counted 143:10 244:23 250:19,19 courthouse 327:9 325:6,7 353:22 counter 72:5 251:12 270:16 courts 15:13 364:16 counties 17:19 322:1,1,2,2 327:20 cover 50:17 77:25 correcting 244:8 22:19 23:19 24:10 30:12,13,14,17 173:11 180:11 173:11 180:11 correction 59:21 24:13,23 25:3,5 30:17,19,20 195:17 covering 11:7,25 corrections 153:13 138:9 212:6,7 347:2,4,5 348:3 covering 11:7,25 366:12 368:17 213:5,5,7 219:20 349:2 351:23 20:0 ever 68:23 92:2 covers 68:23 92:2 corrective 124:23 236:5 254:4 352:7 358:11 283:15 covertly 341:15 correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 64:15 84:15 90:13 258:15 259:1	202 20 20 4 2 5 4 4	20112	200 2 12 211 10	25/12/5
313:21 314:25 count 133:6 235:25 236:15 courtesy 310:20 312:17,23 318:25 319:2 counted 143:10 244:23 250:19,19 courthouse 327:9 251:12 270:16 courts 15:13 counterpart 72:9 277:2 293:18 covered 77:19 correcting 244:8 counties 17:19 322:1,1,2,2 327:20 covered 77:19 correction 59:21 24:13,23 25:3,5 330:17,19,20 195:17 corrective 124:23 236:5 254:4 236:5 254:4 236:5 254:4 226:3 236:15 country 222:3 236:15 country 236:17 236:15 country 236:17 country 23	303:20 304:3,6,14	286:1,3	208:2,13 211:18	354:1 367:7
316:7 317:12 151:17 187:24,25 237:3,7,11,20,23 312:17,23 318:25 319:2 counted 143:10 244:23 250:19,19 courthouse 327:9 325:6,7 353:22 counter 72:5 251:12 270:16 courts 15:13 356:14 360:3 counterpart 72:9 277:2 293:18 cover 50:17 77:25 364:16 counties 17:19 322:1,1,2,2 327:20 covered 77:19 correcting 244:8 22:19 23:19 24:10 330:12,13,14,17 173:11 180:11 corrections 59:21 24:13,23 25:3,5 330:17,19,20 195:17 corrections 153:13 138:9 212:6,7 347:2,4,5 348:3 covering 111:7,25 corrective 124:23 236:5 254:4 352:7 358:11 283:15 correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 368:15 covertly 341:15 157:8 177:6 country 222:3 couple 27:6 33:15 258:15 259:1 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 correspon				
318:25 319:2 counted 143:10 244:23 250:19,19 courthouse 327:9 325:6,7 353:22 counter 72:5 251:12 270:16 courts 15:13 courts 15:13 364:16 counties 17:19 322:1,1,2,2 327:20 covered 77:19 correcting 244:8 22:19 23:19 24:10 330:12,13,14,17 173:11 180:11 correction 59:21 24:13,23 25:3,5 330:17,19,20 195:17 corrections 153:13 138:9 212:6,7 347:2,4,5 348:3 covering 111:7,25 366:12 368:17 213:5,5,7 219:20 349:2 351:23 covers 68:23 92:2 corrective 124:23 236:5 254:4 352:7 358:11 283:15 288:24 303:25 counting 73:7,10 364:4 367:10 368:15 covertly 341:15 correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 368:15 couple 27:6 33:15 258:15 259:1 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 91:13 95:6 103:20 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 298:21				-
325:6,7 353:22 counter 72:5 251:12 270:16 courts 15:13 366:14 360:3 counterpart 72:9 277:2 293:18 cover 50:17 77:25 364:16 counties 17:19 322:1,1,2,2 327:20 covered 77:19 correcting 244:8 22:19 23:19 24:10 330:12,13,14,17 173:11 180:11 correction 59:21 24:13,23 25:3,5 330:17,19,20 195:17 corrections 153:13 138:9 212:6,7 347:2,4,5 348:3 covering 111:7,25 366:12 368:17 213:5,5,7 219:20 349:2 351:23 covers 68:23 92:2 corrective 124:23 236:5 254:4 352:7 358:11 283:15 288:24 303:25 counting 73:7,10 364:4 367:10 covertly 341:15 correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 368:15 couple 27:6 33:15 covertly 341:15 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3		·		·
356:14 360:3 counterpart 72:9 277:2 293:18 cover 50:17 77:25 364:16 counties 17:19 322:1,1,2,2 327:20 covered 77:19 correcting 244:8 22:19 23:19 24:10 330:12,13,14,17 173:11 180:11 correction 59:21 24:13,23 25:3,5 330:17,19,20 195:17 corrections 153:13 138:9 212:6,7 347:2,4,5 348:3 covering 111:7,25 366:12 368:17 213:5,5,7 219:20 349:2 351:23 covers 68:23 92:2 corrective 124:23 236:5 254:4 352:7 358:11 283:15 correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 368:15 covertly 341:15 157:8 177:6 country 222:3 couple 27:6 33:15 258:15 259:1 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:1			'	
364:16 counties 17:19 322:1,1,2,2 327:20 covered 77:19 correcting 244:8 22:19 23:19 24:10 330:12,13,14,17 173:11 180:11 correction 59:21 24:13,23 25:3,5 330:17,19,20 195:17 corrections 153:13 138:9 212:6,7 347:2,4,5 348:3 covering 111:7,25 366:12 368:17 213:5,5,7 219:20 349:2 351:23 covering 111:7,25 corrective 124:23 236:5 254:4 352:7 358:11 283:15 288:24 303:25 counting 73:7,10 364:4 367:10 covertly 341:15 correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 368:15 create 21:18 40:11 157:8 177:6 country 222:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 258:15 259:1 258:15 259:1 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 208:2 216:4 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 creating 29:1,2	· ·			
correcting 244:8 22:19 23:19 24:10 330:12,13,14,17 173:11 180:11 correction 59:21 24:13,23 25:3,5 330:17,19,20 195:17 corrections 153:13 138:9 212:6,7 347:2,4,5 348:3 covering 111:7,25 corrective 124:23 236:5 254:4 352:7 358:11 283:15 correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 368:15 covertly 341:15 correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 368:15 create 21:18 40:15 157:8 177:6 country 222:3 couple 27:6 33:15 258:15 259:1 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 208:2 216:4 counts 25:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 298:21 7:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:22 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 <t< td=""><td>356:14 360:3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	356:14 360:3			
correction 59:21 24:13,23 25:3,5 330:17,19,20 195:17 corrections 153:13 138:9 212:6,7 347:2,4,5 348:3 covering 111:7,25 366:12 368:17 213:5,5,7 219:20 349:2 351:23 covers 68:23 92:2 corrective 124:23 236:5 254:4 352:7 358:11 283:15 288:24 303:25 counting 73:7,10 364:4 367:10 covertly 341:15 correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 368:15 couple 27:6 33:15 create 21:18 40:13 157:8 177:6 country 222:3 couple 27:6 33:15 create 21:18 40:13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 208:2 216:4 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 county 1:10,12 137:10 144:8 creating 29:1,2 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 credentials 125:22 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 21	364:16	counties 17:19	322:1,1,2,2 327:20	covered 77:19
corrections 153:13 138:9 212:6,7 347:2,4,5 348:3 covering 111:7,25 366:12 368:17 213:5,5,7 219:20 349:2 351:23 200 covers 68:23 92:2 288:24 303:25 counting 73:7,10 364:4 367:10 283:15 288:24 303:25 counting 73:7,10 368:15 368:15 298:21 country 222:3 224:12 226:3 224:12 226:3 224:15 84:15 90:13 258:15 259:1 208:2 216:4 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 235:15 263:7 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 260:14 26:7 127:3 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 29:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 260:14 263:11,13	correcting 244:8	22:19 23:19 24:10	330:12,13,14,17	173:11 180:11
366:12 368:17 213:5,5,7 219:20 349:2 351:23 covers 68:23 92:2 288:24 303:25 counting 73:7,10 364:4 367:10 288:15 25:12 187:2 368:15 covertly 341:15 157:8 177:6 country 222:3 couple 27:6 33:15 258:15 259:1 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 208:2 216:4 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 county 1:10,12 137:10 144:8 creating 29:1,2 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:22 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	correction 59:21	24:13,23 25:3,5	330:17,19,20	195:17
corrective 124:23 236:5 254:4 352:7 358:11 283:15 288:24 303:25 counting 73:7,10 364:4 367:10 covertly 341:15 correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 368:15 create 21:18 40:11 157:8 177:6 country 222:3 couple 27:6 33:15 258:15 259:1 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 208:2 216:4 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 county 1:10,12 137:10 144:8 creating 29:1,2 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:22 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	corrections 153:13	138:9 212:6,7	347:2,4,5 348:3	covering 111:7,25
288:24 303:25 counting 73:7,10 364:4 367:10 covertly 341:15 157:8 177:6 155:12 187:2 couple 27:6 33:15 258:15 259:1 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 208:2 216:4 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 county 1:10,12 137:10 144:8 creating 29:1,2 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:27 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	366:12 368:17	213:5,5,7 219:20	349:2 351:23	covers 68:23 92:23
correctly 41:12 155:12 187:2 368:15 create 21:18 40:13 157:8 177:6 country 222:3 couple 27:6 33:15 258:15 259:1 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 208:2 216:4 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 county 1:10,12 137:10 144:8 creating 29:1,2 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:22 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	corrective 124:23	236:5 254:4	352:7 358:11	283:15
157:8 177:6 country 222:3 couple 27:6 33:15 258:15 259:1 182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 208:2 216:4 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 county 1:10,12 137:10 144:8 creating 29:1,2 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:27 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	288:24 303:25	counting 73:7,10	364:4 367:10	covertly 341:15
182:23 188:10 224:12 226:3 64:15 84:15 90:13 260:14 263:11,13 199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 208:2 216:4 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 county 1:10,12 137:10 144:8 creating 29:1,2 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:22 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	correctly 41:12	155:12 187:2	368:15	create 21:18 40:11
199:7 204:17 234:14 250:24 91:13 95:6 103:20 created 150:21 208:2 216:4 2000 13:17 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 2000 13:10 144:8 235:15 263:7 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	157:8 177:6	country 222:3	couple 27:6 33:15	258:15 259:1
208:2 216:4 counts 250:9 122:20 131:7 235:15 263:7 245:19 288:17 county 1:10,12 137:10 144:8 creating 29:1,2 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:22 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	182:23 188:10	224:12 226:3	64:15 84:15 90:13	260:14 263:11,13
245:19 288:17 county 1:10,12 137:10 144:8 creating 29:1,2 298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:22 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	199:7 204:17	234:14 250:24	91:13 95:6 103:20	created 150:21
298:21 17:19 20:6,9 159:21 166:20 60:1 corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:23 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	208:2 216:4	counts 250:9	122:20 131:7	235:15 263:7
corresponding 22:19 23:18 24:15 173:25 189:13 credentials 125:22 28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	245:19 288:17	county 1:10,12	137:10 144:8	creating 29:1,2
28:14,22 66:5,14 24:16,17 25:1,1,2 214:21 278:7 126:7 127:3 92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	298:21	17:19 20:6,9	159:21 166:20	60:1
92:13,23 96:19 25:2,7,12 27:3 281:3 303:8 creek 2:11 178:1	corresponding	22:19 23:18 24:15	173:25 189:13	credentials 125:22
	28:14,22 66:5,14	24:16,17 25:1,1,2	214:21 278:7	126:7 127:3
97:18,21 98:15	92:13,23 96:19	25:2,7,12 27:3	281:3 303:8	creek 2:11 178:1
	97:18,21 98:15	42:13,13,14	321:20	179:18 181:22
117:7 118:11 109:16,17 110:12 course 16:10 crime 192:15	117:7 118:11	109:16,17 110:12	course 16:10	crime 192:15
119:15 120:1,4	119:15 120:1,4	110:19 128:5	23:20 60:23 95:25	250:7
172:3 271:20 135:4,13,14,19,24 96:6,11 97:24 crimes 39:9	172:3 271:20	135:4,13,14,19,24	96:6,11 97:24	crimes 39:9
308:9 342:3,7 136:4,9,24 137:5 117:1 202:22 criminal 18:11	308:9 342:3,7	136:4,9,24 137:5	117:1 202:22	criminal 18:11
345:22 354:23	345:22 354:23	165:20 172:12	236:2 262:5	29:24 30:1 31:24
counsel 9:11,23	counsel 9:11,23	174:22 175:1,12	289:25 290:5	34:8 37:25 38:6
11:1 16:15,25	11:1 16:15,25	175:21 176:7	325:10 328:3	39:1,7,17 50:4,7,9
106:10,17 123:8	· ·	178:3,19 182:3	360:1,6 361:12,16	
	′	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	121:4 124:3 134:2
228:12 229:21 188:19,20,23 court 1:1 5:18 141:14 169:17	· ·			
, ,		, ,	9:13,19 11:4,6,9	188:8 190:7 193:1
311:14 313:23,24			, , ,	
	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	229:9 249:3 277:1
counseling 94:21 199:18,18,19 215:13 216:20 316:5 323:12,15			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
105:9 123:11 200:18 201:10 250:16 277:3,4 354:13				·
153:9 285:25 207:14,16,20 279:13 340:17			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, -, -		

[criminally - defendants]

criminally 172:7	daily 143:14,17,23	date 37:22 101:5	149:8 196:1
cross 215:10	160:14,23 235:6	133:15 150:19	217:14 235:22,25
216:22,24,25	damages 251:11	185:10 289:3,19	283:14 352:14
217:1,7,14,15,25	dan 1:8 215:22	304:9,13 307:25	deal 121:11 141:8
218:16,18 223:5	dangerous 44:25	363:11 366:8	168:10 207:20,21
223:16,19	45:11 46:1,15,25	367:3,9,19 368:3	221:20 238:18
crossing 246:15	50:12 67:1,16	368:13,25 369:20	dealing 103:10
culpable 99:7	68:15 69:12,13,22	369:25	197:12
current 12:13	70:2,8 71:4,5 73:1	dated 131:17	dealt 22:16 79:22
16:11 83:13 348:5	74:1 85:11,24	164:11 202:2	351:10
currently 58:14	86:5,11 99:16	286:16 297:19	dear 366:10
curtains 297:24,24	125:10 127:9	299:14 304:5,12	death 233:6 234:6
custody 5:18	178:22 229:12	305:14	deaths 232:17,21
85:24	daniel 3:12 10:9	dates 108:2 136:14	233:4,7 234:5
customers 258:3	142:18 324:16	351:24	deceased 119:21
cut 217:8 312:2	dark 346:9	daugherty 155:18	171:21 339:15,18
cuyahoga 24:16	darkened 232:20	155:22 156:6	340:5
322:2 364:4	data 60:15,17,20	daughter 241:18	december 1:21 9:3
cvs 3:10,10 10:10	60:25 61:5,7 65:5	dave 202:12	177:9 260:14
12:3,7 31:21	65:12 258:16	david 349:6	365:7 366:4
79:19 171:9,14	259:1 260:8,9,25	day 3:2 10:14	deception 50:11
186:6 215:21	261:5,5,18,24	30:15 31:23 33:16	190:8 229:11
256:7 264:4,12	262:7,11,13,24	61:25 62:23	decided 14:7
266:7 308:7	263:6,19,20,21,22	122:23 160:25	185:9 290:22
310:17 324:18,21	263:24 264:2,11	161:7 167:8	deed 367:14
325:5,12,15,17,20	264:25 265:3,6,14	182:19,20 188:6	368:20
326:12 327:1,7,12	265:19,21 266:2,6	200:10,11 233:6,7	deemed 67:24
328:4,15,24	266:14,16,16,22	238:14 315:21	68:6 366:19
329:11 330:1,6,11	267:20,25 269:19	355:10 365:7	defendant 9:11
330:16,22 331:19	269:20 270:6,11	367:16 368:22	226:25 256:24
332:4,6,14	270:23 271:11,24	369:22	257:20
cypress 2:11	272:17 273:1,3,4	days 25:22 32:10	defendants 11:14
d	273:10 276:2	89:25 91:13 164:3	12:5 22:22,23
	277:13 314:22	187:1 225:1	23:3 31:11 79:4
d 21:21 38:19	331:25 332:7	304:15,19,19	79:19 135:2 171:9
61:16,17,21 86:18	360:25	366:18	173:12 191:7
105:7 106:19,21	database 53:20	dea 18:1 42:2	200:22 201:9
107:16,18,18,19	54:14 60:11,21	43:10,20 44:3,9,17	226:23 310:13
111:3 133:12	61:13 63:2,11	44:20 120:11	312:6,9 332:20
164:16	112:5	130:5 146:11,16	359:25
d.c. 3:17	114.3	146:20,22 148:16	337.43
		140.20,22 140.10	
L	1	1	I

[defense - direct] Page 17

defense 311:14	depends 260:12	doctroving 155:12	354:9
deficiency 142:4	292:8 293:6	destroying 155:12 destruction	developed 355:1
define 222:17	deposed 11:19	112:11 146:1,5,7,9	development
241:9 255:15	14:14 15:10	1 ' ' '	224:20 344:5
		151:12,14 155:8 155:13	devices 94:15
263:22	deposition 1:18		
defined 92:18	6:5 9:10,15 11:7	detail 153:14	diagnosis 268:14
109:25 272:14	12:19 13:4,13,14	detailed 173:9	diazepine 182:22
defines 229:9	13:20 14:6,8,10,24	184:13,15	died 198:22
230:13	16:11,15,21 17:3	details 154:3	diesler 198:21
definitely 276:10	90:11,23 215:14	157:5 195:14	diet 35:1,9 36:9
definition 93:20	216:22 217:1,4,21	202:11 281:22	284:21
degree 18:9	217:24 218:13,15	detect 59:5 60:7	difference 48:5
192:25 250:7	219:21,23 226:23	66:25 67:8,16	284:13
dehner 4:8 11:1	227:7 230:23	68:15 71:18 75:11	different 25:25
91:12	279:17 280:5	75:21 76:6	31:23 33:24 40:17
delay 303:23	362:22 364:19	detecting 162:7	41:2 43:8,16,17
delays 304:2	366:8,11 367:1,3	210:18	48:10,19 59:25
delivery 363:9,11	368:1,3	detection 69:18	108:11 113:7,21
demand 43:16	depositions 216:9	detective 19:10,14	115:6 130:23
128:17	216:18 218:6	19:18 20:24	131:12 150:21
denial 329:16	247:21	detectives 20:22	154:6,9 177:24
denied 330:2,6	derived 93:15	deter 59:5 60:7	179:19 181:12
dennis 197:6	describe 39:6	66:25 67:8 68:14	182:24 203:16
209:16 319:15	42:22	determination	217:17 278:15
dentist 118:2	described 195:9	117:13 315:23	301:15 315:6,15
284:21 300:15	224:1 267:19	determine 62:10	358:11
deny 329:10	285:15 295:20	67:1 106:14 110:9	differently 311:2
department 18:17	327:23	118:1 137:5,7	difficult 176:25
18:24 20:13 22:2	describes 238:10	191:2 193:16	difficulties 16:12
34:21 42:11 43:13	describing 182:2	262:18 265:8	174:3
47:10,11,12,13,16	description 6:3	268:1,8 269:9	dilaudid 115:20
47:17 65:11,14	45:3,6 231:2	270:5,6,11,19,25	175:6 182:18,20
79:12,15 80:4	236:23 267:21	271:5,12 275:4	186:24 188:4
121:17 217:19	descriptions 173:9	342:12	diligence 268:9,13
228:1 234:7 249:8	descriptive 260:24	determined 88:4	268:19 271:13
366:22	designed 59:4 60:7	276:22 290:25	diligent 324:25
departments 79:1	destroy 146:17	determines 240:12	362:2
79:5	147:13 157:9	detrimental	direct 42:16 57:14
dependent 234:9	322:25 323:4	253:11	81:19 124:14,21
depending 106:9	destroyed 157:18	develop 54:11	223:12 260:22
		254:9 255:7 354:3	326:8
	X7 T		

[directed - diverting]

directed 201:21	272:6 296:2	240:23 242:19,20	distributors 44:14
216:20	314:17 342:9	243:25 250:23	254:9 255:6,13,15
directing 189:4	360:19	251:24 256:18	256:1,10 257:4
directly 12:24	dispensed 45:10	257:25 258:24	district 1:1,2 9:13
36:21 246:10	94:14 105:15,18	260:8 261:24	9:14
255:16,21 256:9	114:24 157:16	264:2,5,5 265:3,15	diversion 18:6
256:14,25 276:7	161:6 167:8	266:16 272:17	20:17,23,23 21:6,9
director 57:20	174:21 175:4,14	273:3 274:3	21:12,14 22:25
121:2 181:7	181:2 187:6	283:12 284:12,15	23:5,8 25:15,24
directories 172:20	192:11 195:7	287:25 289:2,16	28:4,6,7 34:13,17
directory 328:20	208:21 237:13	320:7 341:19,23	35:6 38:7 39:8
disagree 232:4	240:4,10 245:15	dispensings 17:11	50:9 51:3,24 59:5
235:7 253:13,17	245:17 246:11	109:1 161:8	60:8 66:25 67:3,8
253:18	251:4 259:13,18	260:15	67:16 68:15,20
disagreement	262:25 271:3,8,14	disposition 70:2	69:4 70:14 71:19
349:7	271:21 283:25	75:12,22 76:7	79:16 80:5 115:10
disciplinary 87:13	284:16,17,18	distance 109:19	139:10 145:21
88:5	300:23 307:6	270:5,6,12,17,18	152:11 162:7
disciplined 87:3	334:15	distinct 280:23	171:6 173:21
disclosed 346:5	dispensers 255:14	distinction 47:22	181:12,13 196:1
disconnected	256:2 257:24	49:13 284:23	199:17,21 200:1
249:14	dispenses 96:20	distinctive 292:1	200:23 201:10
discourteous	97:19 117:9	distinctly 119:16	213:4 229:9
309:1,13	dispensing 27:25	distortion 357:8	239:24,25 240:5
discovered 208:24	32:9 34:25 39:20	distracted 100:5	240:20 253:20
308:7	44:22 53:6,7,22	distribute 255:21	254:1,7,10 255:8
discretionary	54:5 64:17 75:4	285:21	258:10 274:10,13
52:17	85:4 93:1 94:3	distributing	276:9 277:8,20
discuss 257:17	98:1,19,25 99:10	179:23 255:17	283:9,10,12 295:4
discusses 310:5	100:17 101:4	distribution 70:2	295:10 316:6,21
discussing 218:8	111:4 119:14,24	86:11 250:22	317:7,16 356:17
discussion 279:15	154:20 161:11,14	251:23 253:9	361:13
discussions 17:18	166:17 175:16	257:5,6,13,20	diversions 51:19
disease 104:11	178:6 179:23	distributor 32:25	divert 21:16 28:25
234:11	180:7,12,24	44:25 45:11 82:16	51:20 111:20
dispense 44:8	181:15,19 191:10	83:23 84:1,16	diverted 111:22
76:13 85:1 92:12	191:14,20,25	85:11 87:14	201:3 250:4 252:1
94:1 101:9 114:25	192:7 199:23	125:10 127:9	diverting 197:20
118:25 119:10	204:9 211:14	205:25 282:16	199:24 200:18
122:5,6 195:3	223:24 224:11,19	285:20	294:15 317:3
256:4 258:7 259:2	229:4 237:22		341:6

[divided - drawing]

divided 310:10	336:6,15	299:6 325:5	204:22 235:6
dividing 340:24	doctors 31:16 33:5	335:17 336:9,17	236:13,15,22
division 1:3 9:14	33:8 35:18,22	337:3 353:23	237:1,3,7 243:15
18:25 19:2,9,9,13	37:8 45:12 51:14	documenting	244:22 245:14,16
19:21 20:1,13	53:18,21 60:24	78:10 150:2	245:18 271:7
82:4 89:4,8	62:5,9 63:5 64:10	202:23 288:9	doubt 63:9 235:15
dmoylan 3:14	98:10 113:5,12,21	326:16	doubtful 119:1
dobich 149:12	114:3 185:5	documents 39:12	downtown 154:18
docket 175:1	186:14 193:1	50:14 128:11	327:7
310:5	198:2,20 199:9	212:24 226:11,15	dr 27:8 35:24
doctor 21:19	225:14 264:7,12	226:24 229:13	37:19 38:10,19
34:24 36:16 40:3	268:22 294:14	249:11 272:20	61:15,17 62:15,22
40:15 41:18 54:13	301:6 302:6 308:6	doing 27:12 29:20	63:20 64:14
54:16 64:13 75:3	document 1:8 32:6	35:6 38:17 41:5	171:18 173:25
96:16 101:6	50:2 52:1 55:23	47:3 49:11 52:10	174:12 175:20
110:14,17,18	55:25 78:6,11,12	66:8 67:18 74:8	176:6 179:5 182:1
111:22 113:10,11	78:13 84:25 85:3	75:23 76:20,21,24	182:5 183:13
113:15,16,18	99:18 128:20	80:16 104:19	184:1,20,25
114:5,8,9 118:6,8	129:6 141:15	105:13 112:8	186:10 187:9
118:12 138:21	146:10,15 148:24	115:25 117:15	189:3,22 190:5
157:7,8 172:1	151:21 152:22	128:8 130:21	191:3,11,20,24
174:25 175:23	154:7 157:15,18	132:7 134:3,6,18	192:4,22 194:19
176:5,20 185:2,22	190:23 227:23	139:16 141:12	194:25 195:4
187:23 190:22	247:17 275:16,20	149:19 154:11	197:13,14 201:15
192:22 193:6,9,24	276:25 283:19	167:22 174:20	204:11,14 209:9,9
193:24 195:23	290:8 293:13	176:8 177:6,25	209:10 210:8,17
196:3 200:13	305:2,6 331:12,13	179:15 185:1	211:10 243:2
206:23 210:9	334:11,14 335:1	193:12 196:24	244:16 245:4
211:3,11 245:9	336:2,13 337:9,18	198:24 252:18	301:3,3,19,20
249:23 259:20	338:25 339:2	290:10,12 295:13	302:4,4 319:5,9
268:13,21 269:21	361:8	309:19,19 343:3	356:25 359:1
284:21 300:15	documentation	347:18 349:17	drag 171:24
301:15 318:7	52:21 70:15 153:8	351:15 355:23,25	312:22
323:25 341:1,8,14	163:14 292:7,8	door 127:1	dragging 172:13
341:24 342:10	334:4,8,24 335:20	dosage 104:13	339:22
doctor's 38:24	353:25 355:21	236:4,24,25	dramatic 38:5,8
122:22 152:24	documented	237:20 259:16	draw 110:20
175:20 188:22	131:11 140:4	271:1	297:24
249:22 268:14	146:19 154:4	doses 174:21	drawing 141:11
270:12,13,15	170:4 184:2 273:7	176:9 178:9,17	355:7
318:2 319:11,13	290:18 291:6	180:10 190:11	

[drew - easily] Page 20

drew 356:13	268:23 272:10,12	246:23,24 247:7	79:9 135:3,4,11,19
drink 247:3	285:9 286:5,5	247:10,11,12,25	135:24 136:9,15
drive 306:7,9,14	318:5 324:3 326:3	248:1,23 256:2,4,8	136:23 137:4,22
306:22	drugs 21:17,23	256:9 257:6	138:13 139:19
driven 263:19,21	29:4 35:10 36:17	260:10 284:22	142:16 143:4
driveway 189:8	37:7,15 39:11,15	285:2 309:14	144:10,21 149:13
driving 109:19	44:8,25 45:7,11	315:8,24 318:25	149:21 156:1,14
188:18 248:5	46:1,15,25 50:10	320:18 321:5	158:13,25 159:23
306:16	50:11,12 51:5,19	326:5,11 335:7	162:19,20 163:13
dropping 245:25	51:21,24 59:6	337:20 341:6	163:15 164:1
drove 192:9	60:8 67:1,17	343:5 347:25	165:5,10,23 166:3
drug 18:6 20:2	68:15,20 69:12,13	due 11:6 16:11	166:7,11 167:13
25:15 36:15 39:12	69:22 70:2,8,14	250:6 268:9,12,19	169:3,4,10,16,19
39:12 42:13,13,24	71:5,6 72:2,4,5,5	271:12 316:6	169:25 170:1,7
45:25 46:13,13	73:1 74:1,11 78:1	dug 353:14	171:1,4,13 173:2
47:4 50:8,10,12,13	85:11,21,24 86:6	duly 11:18 364:7	173:11 186:6
50:13,14 61:24	86:11,14 94:14,17	364:10	189:6,8,9,11
74:22 75:2 76:15	111:20,23 112:23	dumb 273:8	194:20 195:16
94:14,22 99:16	114:15 116:6	duplicating 40:13	266:8,12 357:3,5
101:6 102:3	124:5 125:10	duplication	eagle's 79:15
103:14,21 104:11	127:10 128:10	104:11	earlier 25:14 29:6
104:12,12,13,13	134:12 143:13	dur 75:1,1,10,18	65:16 72:8 81:20
104:15 108:11,14	146:17 147:2,13	75:23 76:8 103:25	89:23 104:8
111:5 114:25	155:13 178:9,11	104:7,9,16,19,22	117:16 140:17
115:3,14,15 116:8	178:16,20,22,23	104:25 105:11	169:7 196:20
117:10,15,23,25	179:1,2 182:12,14	106:1,9,13 116:10	197:25 233:15
119:10 122:13,17	182:16 187:3	118:15 270:2	274:13 291:19
122:23,23 126:13	190:12 194:25	duration 104:15	301:18 302:6
128:11 129:23	196:3 197:3 199:1	durs 75:8	315:13 316:14
131:2 145:25	199:5 200:4	duties 24:3,4	317:10 319:5
146:5,7,8,13	211:11,12 212:24	27:12 48:10,20	322:13 325:10
154:21 157:15,18	212:25 222:12	67:18 83:5 90:18	331:23 334:2
169:6 178:25	224:8 229:11,11	duty 32:13 58:24	338:18 339:4
179:1 184:10	229:12 230:21,22	83:6	356:22
200:13 212:23	231:10,13 237:22	e	early 36:6 37:21
213:16 225:10	238:12,13,14,17		101:19 115:11
229:9,10,12	239:1,2,6,11,19	e 2:3 38:19,20	199:10 202:7
231:20,23 232:17	240:2,4,13,21	164:16 177:22	ears 354:15
232:18 233:3,4	241:3,5,16,16,22	184:18,18 197:18	easier 61:3 143:25
235:3,25 238:10	241:23 242:20	257:16 350:17	easily 312:22
238:15 239:16	244:2,2,2 246:15	eagle 3:6 10:6 12:1 12:1,7 31:12,14,20	_
-		1014	

[east - evasive] Page 21

2 10 2 10	102 10 252 10	45.04	247.01
east 2:19 3:12	182:18 353:18	enforce 45:24	entrance 347:21
198:16 209:18,19	362:16	66:10 73:19 98:6	entry 127:11
eastern 1:3 9:14	either 55:16 66:1	121:3 123:10	envelope 280:12
easy 37:9,17	101:17 106:9,16	131:6 154:24	envelopes 13:7
172:25 343:8	144:5 163:19,24	enforced 67:18	279:2,4
eddie 139:20	233:20 241:24	123:18	environment
edge 198:18	260:19 305:25	enforcement	74:11
education 46:22	317:3 326:23	34:14 38:1 43:3	epidemic 221:22
192:25	344:24 365:2	46:22 47:12 48:8	221:25 222:2,6,13
educational 17:22	electronic 52:20	104:4 120:21	222:14 233:16,18
edward 138:18	68:21,22 69:17	121:20 124:2	234:13 250:24
edwards 14:4,6,9	74:20	173:20 227:9	epidemiology
14:10 17:2 25:10	electronically 53:6	349:22 356:17,19	318:11
25:11 26:11 27:8	53:10	enforcing 42:24	equal 275:8
46:3 55:6 135:10	element 188:8	46:11 47:4 101:23	equipment 78:1
135:10 183:9,20	elephants 182:21	engage 200:2	85:9,18
184:11 190:18,20	elm 137:1 142:16	engaging 223:12	equipped 85:9
191:17 226:24	email 366:17	265:17,18	equivalent 235:6
227:7 228:8	emergency 234:7	enhance 58:8	errata 366:13,18
234:23 238:4,7	emphasis 302:23	enhancing 179:1	368:7,10,18 369:1
279:17	employee 343:23	248:18	error 100:12
edwin 155:18	346:3,17,21	enlightening 63:7	escalate 59:8,16
effect 52:3 55:13	employees 69:21	261:1,4 267:19,23	especially 109:20
244:17 246:19	344:20 358:18	269:18	353:25
247:11 253:11	empowers 125:1	enormous 175:4	esq 2:3,7,10,14,18
332:22	ems 46:25 138:5	ensure 58:7 59:3	3:3,7,12,16,20 4:3
effective 55:9	enclosed 366:11	60:5 100:19	4:8 366:5
56:17 66:24 67:2	enclosure 69:16	122:17 123:7,15	essentially 108:10
67:15 68:13 71:17	encompass 24:10	271:20 274:1,8	328:5
78:18 86:20	49:21	enter 37:13 125:23	et 1:10,13 74:2
107:25 108:2	encompassed	entered 368:9	evaluated 71:7
258:8	104:22	entering 249:23	evaluating 68:2
effects 222:16	encountered 31:2	entertain 218:13	71:1 104:9
248:18	127:14	entire 146:13	evaluation 67:25
efficiency 255:3	ended 23:22 24:25	253:1 367:5 368:5	72:3
efforts 34:14	34:21 178:18	entities 45:5 58:16	evankovich 15:24
149:20 171:6	182:5 189:18,18	entitled 223:4,15	15:25 52:9 177:6
173:21 356:17	242:22 327:16	223:19 228:24	177:10,19,21
eight 18:3 19:6,16	351:13 358:9	229:2 236:21	179:12,14 181:22
19:23 24:18,24	ends 240:10	entity 125:8,20,23	evasive 139:2
114:5 167:19	359:11	120.0,20,20	107.2

[evening - face] Page 22

evening 338:5	261:12 264:6	138:13 142:8	expert 192:20,23
359:1	266:11 268:24	183:6 184:5	193:2,10,11,15,20
event 365:3	276:20 334:18	201:25 205:11	193:25 224:4
eventually 181:6	336:5	207:8 208:3 209:8	247:15
everybody 102:20	examples 28:8	226:8,12,13,17,23	expertise 92:12
131:25 185:1	139:12 249:3	226:24 227:6,6	94:3 318:10,18
207:1 278:13	350:11	232:9 252:7,17	322:8
279:22	excel 37:13	279:8,14,17,25,25	expiration 367:19
everybody's	excellent 138:16	280:1,5,13,15	368:25 369:25
254:23	138:20,22,24	281:6 293:12	expired 146:14
evidence 169:9	139:7 140:7 144:7	294:10 296:22	expires 365:16
170:6 276:9 277:7	145:19 160:7	exhibiting 115:9	explain 310:15
295:4	296:12,14,20	exhibits 5:4 6:1	explained 41:22
evidentiary 15:11	exception 59:14	12:24 13:3,10	115:1 308:5
evolve 60:11	328:11	14:3,8 217:12	explaining 157:21
exact 55:3 109:2	excess 17:12	252:22,24 279:11	explanation 142:3
197:23	excessive 194:24	existence 115:6	extensive 152:21
exactly 53:12 64:7	236:7,10 308:5	258:21 260:24	185:2 292:6
116:22 154:7	excessiveness	265:6 267:25	322:19
218:8 242:12	236:12	expect 320:20	extensively 93:2
examination 5:7	excluding 24:16	expectation 119:9	148:25
11:17,21 75:3	executed 368:10	expected 76:21	extent 43:23 59:18
89:17 96:12 103:6	execution 367:14	expecting 218:18	59:20 61:7 64:10
215:10 218:16	368:19	experience 28:5	69:18 108:5
219:16 223:13	executive 57:20	35:19 39:16 43:15	145:24 188:9
260:23 273:16	181:6	48:5 63:7 66:3	224:16 225:6
324:14 338:3	exempt 74:1	68:16 70:24 75:11	254:5,6 262:1
358:24	exercise 92:3,7,19	75:17 98:23 99:3	263:24 273:7
examinations	119:4,5	109:14 110:10	318:21 331:8
89:12	exhibit 5:18 6:5,6	114:12 161:4	332:11 333:1
examine 216:13	13:13,18,21 14:4,9	163:6 168:4 169:2	339:3 342:15
217:1,7 223:5	46:3,4,8 55:6,19	187:19 199:21	extra 167:10
examines 96:17	56:4,8,9 57:15	200:2 206:21	extremely 120:23
example 30:16	58:4 65:22 72:24	211:20 232:23	309:3 355:16
34:24 36:10 40:14	73:5 77:4,7 83:20	238:20 261:1	eyes 354:14
63:19 79:9 108:9	89:1,9 90:20 93:3	267:20 269:15,18	f
116:9 118:2	93:6 95:8,15	328:3 349:25	f 38:20 61:16
163:16 204:25	103:10 107:11	experienced	297:16 340:6
211:24 217:15	122:15 124:22	238:23	facade 80:8
239:25 240:1,8,9	131:15 132:20	experiences 350:5	face 28:20 62:14
246:15 256:7	135:6 136:7,11		63:6 75:24 76:8

[face - filling] Page 23

110 10 150 10	217 12 210 10	C1.1 - 200 10	C100.24
118:19 159:12	316:12 318:19	fashion 302:12	females 90:24
181:1,1 260:25	320:16 324:19	fashions 29:1	fentanyl 246:24
facilities 24:7	328:2 332:5,13	fast 32:19 215:1	248:1
48:10,12,17 59:3	333:9 334:10,13	304:22 305:3	fictional 249:13
138:8	336:10 337:5	faster 61:2 314:4	fictitious 249:22
facility 69:19	348:5 355:16,19	fatal 231:22	fide 97:25
146:1 150:6	fairness 218:4	father 138:21	field 23:17 24:1,18
181:12	faith 35:21	fault 174:10	24:19 26:24,25
fact 11:11 26:2	fake 208:13	favorable 325:12	47:20 51:11 176:3
64:15 116:14	249:14	325:13 350:9	201:23,24 329:22
126:6 143:15	fall 138:3 244:3	favoritism 121:5,5	350:13
156:13,18 161:15	283:11,12 288:6	fbi 178:13	figure 134:4
166:6 188:13	fallback 134:3	fda 217:24	281:19
190:20 210:21	falls 70:17	february 52:8	figured 193:18
211:13 216:11	false 20:16 21:18	55:9 56:17	323:6
224:24 225:12	22:13 170:13	fed 102:17	file 37:2 40:23
251:9 255:4,25	344:15	federal 11:17	78:11 158:6
256:7 264:19	familiar 27:13	15:13 30:2 43:9	329:18
266:11 277:19	34:7 37:11 53:24	66:14 72:6,9,12,16	filed 9:12 123:16
298:19 306:6	56:25 57:2,3,7	72:19 129:22,25	160:14,24 194:22
311:7 320:21,23	65:17,21 66:20	130:3,10,12 131:4	files 180:19
344:3	67:9 73:13,15	151:21 178:13	fill 21:22 40:24
factor 71:7 115:8	74:22 78:24 79:2	225:3,4 235:22	50:23 100:8,9,10
116:15 143:18	79:6 83:25 84:3	250:16 258:14	100:10,10 115:2
226:2,6	84:13,14,19 87:14	277:4 340:16	119:5,6 147:2
factors 68:6 69:8	87:15,17 89:2,13	352:12	148:15 151:14
69:10 71:7 76:15	89:15 91:18,24	federally 250:8	172:2 188:15,20
106:21 110:22	104:2 199:16	352:7	210:11,14 214:6,7
115:4 116:2,12	211:21 225:16	fee 45:21 88:17	214:10 249:17
118:9 214:1,3	228:5,7 248:13,16	feeding 247:9	258:1 292:21
239:22	250:10 251:18,20	feel 167:21 168:11	327:18 358:8,12
factory 18:21	family 110:18	293:6 355:18	filled 41:3 149:8
facts 112:17	113:9,14 118:6	feeling 288:6	168:18 171:1
failed 29:13 87:4	193:9 241:2	feely 347:16	188:6,7,10,12
failing 98:10,14	far 94:19 97:15	feet 171:24 172:13	200:25 210:18
failure 87:9	100:13 161:6	339:22 343:10	269:11 308:21
fair 121:8 165:4	166:1 169:17	fell 137:16	309:7 344:16
166:1 169:3 220:1	230:23 248:11	felt 153:25	358:13,14
229:7 238:8 244:7	282:9 299:12	female 327:16	filling 21:22 75:20
255:11 275:1	318:9 330:20	328:19 339:16,18	110:19 168:20
277:24 290:20	331:4 336:22	,	169:10 170:8

[filling - form] Page 24

188:1 189:12	fired 205:18	fix 16:14 352:10	following 68:5
204:21 212:12	346:18,21	fixing 229:22	208:24 209:1
213:13 249:24	fires 31:6 130:17	fixtures 78:2	249:21
322:8 327:10	firm 2:10 9:18	flabbergasted	follows 11:20
341:6 353:12	10:16 221:7	190:24	food 46:13 104:15
film 295:16	first 11:18 18:15	flag 113:4 228:24	foot 345:15
final 119:2 206:15	24:11 26:14 29:9	229:2,5	force 19:12,15
239:9	31:7 34:20 44:16	flagged 265:9	42:4,6,13,14
finally 177:8	48:20,24 59:1	flags 272:14	184:10 235:22
345:19	60:3,18 63:21	flashing 355:8	236:1 352:14
financially 9:22	84:15 85:8 88:16	flip 13:18 46:4	foregoing 364:15
find 23:2 30:17	93:7 97:3,8	58:25 65:22 86:23	364:20 367:13
35:2 130:4,5,7,8	111:18 112:2,3	95:8 116:20 159:7	368:18
139:24 152:21	113:17 120:16,18	298:12	foreign 317:15
159:18 162:9	122:14 138:5,12	floor 2:14,19 3:8	foreseen 311:12
163:17,18 170:17	140:8 153:4	339:23	forged 294:14
170:20 180:20,22	154:15 174:6	florida 109:17	forget 138:4
181:8 202:18	210:25 227:8	flush 147:1 151:23	146:11 303:5,5
247:8 249:9 277:7	273:23 280:25	fly 329:4	forgot 335:4
289:25 290:7,9	281:2,15,23	focal 41:6	form 41:11 54:7
295:4 328:15	286:19 290:11	focus 100:1 140:24	69:5,11 70:9 71:4
353:15 354:20	293:1,20 296:25	343:21	111:15 114:4
360:6 362:2	297:2,10,18 306:7	focusing 99:2	146:13,20,20,22
366:11	307:11 319:13	142:13 283:2	147:3 151:21
finding 61:21 88:1	326:22 343:22	fold 142:1	160:15 161:19,20
253:8	344:6 364:10	folder 56:2 90:15	161:25 222:4,19
findings 291:7	fist 139:16	280:1	222:23 223:7,8,11
finds 35:7	fitzpatrick 2:14	folks 311:3 313:10	223:17,22 224:2
fine 56:12 158:21	217:22,23	follow 29:13 30:15	224:14 226:5
194:11 221:12	five 12:4,7 100:16	63:8 95:19 121:10	233:19 235:8
350:18	109:1 112:21	123:22 124:11	236:9,18 237:24
finish 15:1 50:2	122:15 123:14,20	130:19 141:4,7	240:6,19,21
194:8 291:3	123:23 124:8,12	144:24,25 155:24	244:19 246:12
349:24	139:23 142:9	156:3,5,21 163:15	247:2,13,22 248:2
finished 177:8	148:5,5 153:2	164:1,25 289:18	250:25 251:13
finishing 15:24	167:23 203:20	297:7,11 337:23	253:14,22 254:11
52:9	214:21 217:9	356:9	254:12 259:4
fioricet 178:24	231:18 238:4	followed 142:6	261:9 262:20
285:6	239:5 295:25	164:8 281:4	264:8,14 266:18
fire 346:17	313:4 314:6	308:11	271:15,23 282:10
	315:22 359:7,8		287:22 288:3

[format - generally]

format 29:2 281:5	105:19 109:4	fraudulently	further 46:19 63:4
281:5,24 291:4	113:20 142:1,14	40:10	114:1 214:13
292:3 294:21	144:6 146:21	free 345:7 347:15	218:12 301:17
former 48:7	167:23 177:13,14	367:14 368:20	303:9 364:18
120:10 194:23	177:17 201:23	frequently 54:20	365:1
forms 29:1 34:13	203:20 229:15	friday 14:6 227:7	future 154:2 304:1
34:17 130:6,6	231:18 240:11	310:24	g
151:15 326:19	296:1 315:22	friend's 249:17	g 78:16 116:19
forte 130:15	fourth 123:7	friends 30:25	280:23 292:1
331:12	frame 21:25 63:19	239:19	gain 212:12,15
forth 29:3 37:15	64:11,18 108:24	front 13:9 30:23	214:9,9
38:21 67:5,23	183:18 197:23	41:17 64:16 89:3	gallagher 202:13
100:16 101:7	222:12 236:8	99:18 119:19	349:6.9
103:25 186:7	246:3 310:5	179:4 206:17	gallery 220:18
341:7	framework 298:2	218:9 232:12	gallucci 321:23
forward 142:8	frank 121:1	271:24	gary 177:21
144:8 145:7	175:22,23,24	fulfill 271:19	179:12,14 181:4
146:15 148:17	184:22 185:6,8,17	fulfilling 29:8	181:21 327:6
151:24 158:24	189:16 321:23	full 12:12 49:4,5	gateway 246:18
366:15	franklin 27:8,19	49:15,16,17 59:1	247:11,25 317:21
found 36:20 38:21	171:18 173:25	126:4 127:4,4	gather 65:5 333:3
87:19 128:18,21	174:12 175:21	132:2 136:3	gathered 202:11
129:9 134:14	176:6,20 179:5	140:24 143:6,10	gathering 203:21
140:5 141:19,23	182:1,5 183:12,13	143:11 146:4	geauga 175:21
143:18 156:10	184:1,20,25 187:9	147:15 152:2,18	188:23,24,25
160:16 161:25	189:3 191:3,11,20	152:19 153:17	general 39:5 86:25
170:13,14 188:8	191:24 192:4	156:2 218:15	91:13 110:17
188:11 193:2	193:8 194:25	249:25 296:24	130:14 167:20
211:8 250:17	195:4 197:13,15	297:14 345:13	168:4,5 203:14
256:21 275:16	198:12 199:15	fully 15:5,6 21:11	253:12 299:10
276:9,19 277:11	201:15 204:11,14	139:25 346:13	328:3 350:14
288:18 290:2,4	206:3 207:6,12	function 29:8	360:9 361:19
295:7,23 298:11	243:2 244:16	43:21 44:2,4,7	general's 2:18
299:6 306:14	357:25	48:25 112:4	10:22
308:11 316:13	franklin's 186:10	functionality	generalities 90:16
331:17 333:11	189:22 190:5	331:5	generality 140:7
353:15,21	245:4 356:25	functioned 331:6	167:16
foundation 264:9	357:5	331:7	generally 14:23
four 12:2 21:22	fraud 225:8 250:8	functioning 90:19	21:7 23:7 29:20
25:3 50:17 55:10	fraudulent 225:9	functions 65:5	30:7 84:21,24
61:18,23,24 99:6	249:18		127:16 137:25

[generally - going] Page 26

171:10 231:11	344:25 351:13	166:22 347:15	293:4 301:8
251:17 290:24	giant 3:6 10:6 12:1	go 9:8 21:23 22:19	304:11 309:15,23
325:12 331:19	12:1,7 31:12,14,20	26:16 30:5,16	310:12 311:24
350:7,9,12 353:1,3	79:9,14 135:3,3,11	32:4,11 36:1	313:10 314:5
353:6,8 356:5,8	135:18,23 136:8	41:21 45:7 46:7	335:10 336:17
360:5 362:1	136:15,23 137:4	48:11,13,14,16	338:16,17 339:21
generated 300:20	137:22 138:13	49:18,21 50:3	342:2 347:20
geographic 109:6	139:19 142:15	56:8,13 57:18	358:22 359:8
109:11,22 110:1,7	143:4 144:10,21	62:19,24 64:1	god 187:5
111:11 112:16	149:12,20 155:25	68:13 72:23 73:16	goes 36:6 122:1
120:25 135:25	156:14 158:13,25	75:15 77:3 84:20	341:22
136:6 358:4	159:23 162:19,20	95:9,14,21 102:12	going 9:2 13:9
george 1:18 5:7	163:13,15 164:1	111:16 113:9	20:15 24:12 29:16
6:5 9:10 10:19	165:5,10,22 166:3	114:4 116:19	31:6 33:11 35:1,3
11:11,16,21 12:15	166:6,10 167:13	119:25 121:3	35:4 36:18 37:1
13:15,20 40:22	169:3,4,10,16,19	122:11 126:15	41:23 52:10 55:20
62:16,20 103:6	169:25 170:1,7,25	130:15,16 131:5	56:7 59:21 61:21
215:16 219:16	171:4,13 173:1,11	132:4 133:10,25	61:22 64:9 81:9
273:16 295:24	186:6 189:6,7,9,11	134:22 137:12,17	102:9 106:15
303:19 324:14	194:20 195:16	137:21 142:9	108:2 111:12,23
338:3 358:24	266:8,12 357:3,4	144:8 145:7	114:23 120:9,13
362:14,19 364:9	gifting 250:1	146:25 147:5	122:8,15 127:17
366:8 367:4,9	girard 208:12	149:3,24 150:13	137:6,21 140:9,24
368:4,13 369:20	209:6	151:7,9,22 155:3	140:25 142:3,8
getting 22:24	give 16:6 25:23	155:14 158:24	162:12 165:2,3
31:10 33:16 36:19	28:8 40:5 49:8	159:22 167:21	170:10,12 172:5
40:6 44:1 50:20	50:22 80:13 82:20	170:18 178:16	175:16,18 176:18
52:24 63:13 64:12	126:10 130:4	184:14 185:15	176:19,23 180:6
65:10,13 80:18	138:2 139:12,13	190:14 192:10	180:16 186:4
94:24 111:9 113:5	142:1 148:19	194:11 199:12	189:15 193:23
115:20 124:17	171:21 186:15	202:17 210:6,13	194:6 196:10
158:23 175:4,7	198:3 209:25	217:12 220:19	203:23 206:5
181:25 187:3	218:24,25 249:2	221:10,11 228:22	207:21 209:25
189:19 192:11,12	275:12 285:8,9	228:22 229:7,18	210:19 214:24,25
197:3 201:15	296:7 327:18	229:24 230:11	216:1 217:20
211:4 214:10	346:7 363:1,10	231:8,16,17 232:8	218:14,25 219:7
215:19 239:19	given 21:1 123:8	233:2 234:1,19	220:11 226:8,22
241:5,9 295:14	362:14 364:12,17	237:2 238:9,25	228:13 229:21,25
307:6 308:20	gives 295:15	239:14 240:25	231:17,17 232:8
324:1 330:21	giving 15:6 35:2	241:25 245:21	232:10 233:14
342:23 343:4	36:20,22 99:15	279:14 292:10,25	235:13,16 242:5

[going - harrington]

247:16 252:9	goodness 347:14	323:7	handled 69:12,13
254:21 256:10	gotcha 97:16	guessing 186:19	71:5,6 120:15
266:2 267:10,18	136:12	287:17 351:22,22	207:15
273:20 276:10	govern 89:10	guests 69:23	handling 69:22
277:23 279:1,7,21	governing 95:17	guidance 332:6,14	72:1 346:2 349:23
282:21,22 297:4,5	government 43:10	332:21 333:2,15	hands 252:1 292:9
298:6,22 299:5	227:16	guide 131:16,19	handwriting
300:12 310:22	governs 272:5	227:9 283:6	136:17,20 202:16
311:1 312:2 314:7	grade 333:19	guideline 131:9,13	291:20,25 297:22
321:19 322:24	graduated 17:24	guidelines 130:24	307:19,21,23
324:17 325:8,8	17:25 92:9	guilty 225:2,8,13	handy 347:17
329:20,22 335:2	granting 147:12	guns 205:18	hanly 2:13
338:10 341:10,13	graph 232:16,25	guy 20:19 34:9	happen 88:6
342:5,9 343:4	242:7 243:19	116:4 121:1 122:7	127:19 186:4
346:5 347:22	244:11,14	149:15,17 173:4	277:24 286:6
348:17 354:19,22	graves 176:17	184:12,15 193:11	happened 127:17
355:23,24,24	203:6 204:2	205:20 210:16	161:11 180:14
358:2,12 359:5,10	gray 165:15 173:1	343:11 345:15	185:3 203:15
362:19	great 221:19	347:11 351:9	206:15 258:22
gold 323:7	229:24 230:9	355:22,24 361:7	308:22 320:21,23
good 9:1 10:5,7,13	326:9 329:5 349:7	guys 207:20	321:10 330:4
11:23,24 25:23	greatly 223:25	336:22	351:17
35:14,17,18,21	greed 213:24	h	happening 25:24
80:4 103:8 134:5	214:1	h 2:3 159:25	129:7 240:17,18
134:20,21 143:22	green 141:25	164:16 177:22	345:5 357:9,13
145:18 149:15	grief 165:11	292:2 340:6	happens 100:12
152:7 154:5 160:8	170:21 172:12	habits 269:20	100:14 146:7
160:16 161:3	ground 80:24	half 102:7,14	269:13
162:1,1,21 163:8	group 12:4 150:5	143:7,8 149:1	happily 215:4
164:17 176:4	313:10	215:23 245:24	happy 214:6
185:3,17 204:25	groups 222:15	281:12,20 290:11	218:13 313:8
210:17 219:4,18	guard 258:9	310:2 312:16	314:1,3
220:3 290:10,13	guess 29:8,16	hammer 162:24	hard 49:11 161:21
293:6 294:7,10,18	50:13 60:12 84:5	hand 126:16	328:22 334:6,9
295:15 299:7	102:9 105:4	139:16 281:9	harder 173:18
303:3 321:1	116:18 125:25	283:3 287:21	hardware 141:17
325:21 336:12,16	140:3 151:14	347:22 365:6	264:22
336:25 338:5,16	156:9 171:23	handed 82:23	harrington 175:3
342:12 354:19	195:16 206:7	handful 186:16	182:3,11 185:15
355:14 358:7	208:4 211:24	handle 120:13	207:5,7,19
359:1,9,17 362:2	247:4 313:20	207:13,16	
		207.13,10	

[hato - idea] Page 28

hato 2:8	helpful 162:7,14	highlights 141:15	hours 78:2 124:19
head 16:7 79:11	285:13 289:22	hill 28:10	143:9 194:7
133:3 168:11	helping 43:14	hired 18:18 24:11	215:13 216:15,19
169:15 170:22	helps 100:24 101:2	24:22 26:14,14	217:9 218:6,17,19
187:17 256:17	122:19 271:11	47:15 120:19	267:3 311:9 312:1
325:16 327:5	henry 2:18 10:21	histories 190:8	312:5,7 313:3,5,15
330:8 348:8 350:6	91:10 102:13,15	history 64:18	346:8
352:22 353:18	194:4 215:5	263:1,9 270:25	house 11:1 149:12
headquarters	216:17 252:21	271:6	249:17 344:4
265:25 266:1,7	267:2 310:3	hit 312:15	houses 293:9,10
276:2 332:1	311:20,23 313:9	hiywa 159:25	houston 4:4
health 20:18 122:1	311.20,23 313.9	hold 55:20 132:23	
253:11 254:2	366:5	171:8 172:5	howland 198:19
healthcare 26:8			hq 265:23,25
	henry.appel 2:21 herd 182:21	243:11 354:22 hollow 282:20	hqs 265:24 hubbard 3:21
29:12 85:20 86:13			
92:8	hereinafter 11:19	home 12:25 16:18	326:1
hear 15:1,2 22:4	hereunto 365:5	270:7	huge 36:17 180:17
58:1 174:7 220:4	herman 3:16	homes 48:15 91:2	human 82:18
220:23 230:6,8	10:10	138:7	100:3 186:25
heard 15:5 187:4	hero 362:11	honest 139:25	hundred 210:6
240:16,18 246:18	heroin 231:24	212:3 233:21	214:11 285:8
246:25 248:20	232:22 246:24	244:21	hundreds 15:17
274:22 275:25	248:1 318:2,16	hope 95:4 215:18	167:7 186:17
278:2	hey 34:1 80:15	hopefully 113:15	354:16
hearing 132:9	100:8 114:24	215:3 229:17	hunter 2:7,9
hearings 15:11	129:17 176:4	314:6	321:23
heather 162:24	189:17 207:1	hoping 35:6	husband 197:4
heavy 353:24	308:24	hospital 160:5	hydrochloride
heck 155:11	hidden 180:23	211:3	148:4
held 9:16 17:11	high 32:14 76:12	hospitals 48:14,17	hydrocodone
70:17 80:25 119:8	99:9 168:7 204:22	138:7	230:24 231:3,4
hell 153:3 180:19	230:17 231:13	hotheaded 309:2	250:5 308:5 320:8
187:5,6 337:8	239:10 309:11,12	hour 81:5 102:7	326:6
hello 49:5,14	358:5	102:14 143:8	hydromorphone
81:17 203:21	higher 132:6	148:25 151:18	113:23
help 25:5 43:11,16	240:5 306:23	167:19 215:3,23	hyperspace
45:17 229:22	highest 99:8,8	216:8 281:13,20	266:24
264:6,12 265:7,11	highlight 302:20	290:12 292:14	i
345:2	highlighted	310:2 312:16	idea 81:1 131:7
helped 326:20	232:20 302:19	313:16	222:5 228:3 229:6
			235:9 237:25
			233.7 231.23

[idea - informants] Page 29

			I	
248:9 252:4	247:12 248:1	incidents 325:14	213:14,21 214:4	
254:13 265:22	256:21 274:16	include 69:3 70:6	275:8 296:16	
266:23,25 267:6	276:19	72:4 105:5,24	355:19	
273:11 287:11,12	illinois 3:4,21	106:2 129:10	independents	
288:4 310:12	illness 238:18	138:23 165:21	22:18 31:19 32:16	
318:22 336:12	immediate 26:15	197:9	53:5 179:10 192:8	
358:7	257:15	included 45:6	192:14 201:1	
identification	immediately 32:11	108:15 266:6	212:4,22 355:17	
13:16 280:9	32:16 38:22 118:4	366:13	index 5:1,4,5 6:1	
identified 128:14	118:10 277:25	includes 46:23	7:1 8:1 140:15	
339:4	298:17 315:18	50:10 94:8 104:9	indiana 3:10	
identify 63:12,15	impetus 207:6	115:10 140:11	indicate 262:15	
263:9 264:7,12,18	important 44:7	229:10 249:5	268:23 283:25	
283:9 332:15	48:25 49:3 261:17	282:11	indicated 136:15	
333:16	262:16 268:15	including 31:12,16	indicates 310:9	
identifying 115:16	277:6,8	31:19 46:13 47:4	indicating 55:25	
259:23	importation 253:8	59:6 60:8 63:5	131:3 291:23	
ii 40:1 143:13	imposed 133:18	66:9 89:11 135:2	322:23 366:13	
209:22 230:15,16	166:4	140:24 169:6	indict 176:19	
230:21 231:3,5,5,7	imposes 254:8	179:24 181:16	193:23,24	
231:10 236:4	255:6	185:5 190:10	indicted 185:4	
244:4	impressed 292:11	199:2 201:9,23	250:8,18 340:11	
iii 209:22 244:4	impression 361:19	203:12 230:24	353:16	
illegal 20:17 21:8	improper 199:23	231:23 238:15	indictment 29:18	
39:11,19,19,20,20	223:21 247:20	251:12 253:1	indictments 225:3	
39:22 40:6 50:12	253:10 283:12,14	278:6 313:16	242:11	
62:14 128:10	284:12,13,15,19	incorporated	individual 21:15	
198:25 212:23	284:19,22 285:12	368:12	33:2 79:10 94:6	
229:12 231:23	289:2,15	incorrect 104:13	95:24 96:5 116:25	
241:16 245:4	improperly 204:9	increase 251:4	266:20,21 296:8	
253:8 283:13,13	inappropriate	increased 34:19	322:9 340:1	
284:24 315:25	104:14	34:22 233:5	individuals 24:7	
318:24 327:19	incidences 127:21	increasing 244:11	58:16 94:22	
illegally 51:23	346:22 352:20	245:25	173:17	
199:22 269:2	incident 140:6	independent 32:24	industry 27:16	
317:12,16	156:12,20 170:25	121:6 127:23	43:4 231:12	
illegitimate 33:18	207:23 239:24	137:9 138:19	influence 239:17	
34:1 169:11	307:10 308:1,4,11	139:18 168:8	241:2	
274:15 353:12	327:3 339:17	174:21 179:13,15	inform 206:22	
illicit 21:17 238:15	349:8 351:5 352:3	186:8 212:5,11,11	informants 22:3,8	
246:14,24 247:9	353:24 358:19	212:15,20 213:1,3		
2.0.1.,2.1.2.1	300.2 : 300.17			
Varitant Lagal Colutions				

[information - interested]

www.veritext.com

information 36:8	140:18 162:11	201:19 202:1,5,6	166:2 169:25
37:6 38:2 42:18	324:21 331:2	202:19 203:15	201:16 203:16
46:20 53:18,19	inspected 135:18	205:1,2,6,10,19	266:13 273:5,24
54:3,5 63:3 80:14	137:6,8 139:24	242:23 264:20	274:1,7,20 275:4,9
100:19 117:10,17	165:20 330:23	266:21 276:11,19	278:11,21 292:4
118:23 150:1,5	338:19 352:21	279:1 280:6,15,21	316:10 324:24
156:15,23 190:18	360:1	281:1,4,12,15	325:1,4,11 328:6
195:17 207:18	inspecting 66:9	282:8 283:5	328:23 331:1,18
249:22 259:23	76:24 135:3 169:3	287:22 288:3,19	332:4 335:16
261:7,25 262:16	274:25	290:1,6 291:7	342:18 350:8
263:1 266:23	inspection 6:6	292:17 294:21,25	360:6 361:17
267:20 268:16	32:7 48:10 49:16	295:5,7,15 296:24	inspector 128:14
270:1 271:6 273:9	49:17,18 60:5	297:8,10,15,19	283:4 288:18
282:2 308:8	78:7,14 82:14,21	298:8,15 299:16	291:16
328:17,24 329:2,6	125:11,16,20	299:21 302:13	installed 161:24
344:12,25	126:17 127:5,12	303:19 304:9,14	instance 151:4,13
informed 303:25	127:15 129:6,15	306:5,10 307:8	309:18 330:1,6
initial 96:16 97:4	131:9,15,19 132:2	325:5 328:14	instances 269:8
113:4 129:19	132:14 135:7	335:18 336:3	339:9
289:2,19	136:3,8,14,19,21	339:1 341:1	instigated 208:9
initialed 105:16	138:12 140:4,8,12	348:21,24	instigating 88:1
initially 47:15	140:17,25 141:6,7	inspections 25:4	institute 333:21
64:5 129:1	141:15 143:2,3,6	49:5,9,15 50:1	institution 92:10
initials 105:16	143:11,11,12,19	52:11 58:8,15,20	institutions 24:6
119:10 122:25	144:10,14,20,22	58:22 59:2,7,12,15	instruct 346:16
128:24,24 129:18	145:4,8,16,23	71:13,20 73:20	instruction 363:2
141:21	146:3,4 147:22	74:8 75:7 76:20	363:10
initiated 344:18	148:22 149:3,25	77:20 78:4 82:12	insurance 115:25
injury 238:18	150:10,13,17	83:7 101:15,25	200:5 249:25
inmate 182:2	151:6,8,25 152:2	104:5 105:13	250:8 261:13
input 64:8	152:17,18 153:12	123:2,12,18	270:21
inputted 112:7	153:15,18,18,24	124:23 125:12,15	intend 217:4
inside 282:20	154:5,11 155:3,7,9	125:25 126:4,20	intended 185:15
297:5 340:18	155:14 156:2,2	130:18,21,25	intensive 59:9,17
360:14	158:8,13,15,15,25	131:25 132:2	interacted 361:11
insight 27:6	159:9,11,23	134:8 135:11,20	361:15,20
inspect 24:6 43:20	160:11,13 162:18	136:15,25 137:4	interactions
44:3 48:21 49:1	162:20 163:9	139:6 152:9	104:12,14
124:16 125:2,6	164:10,13,21	154:12,19 158:5	interested 9:22
126:12 135:23	165:4 166:24	158:11 159:21	23:8 365:3
137:2 138:1,9	168:18 170:17,19	162:15 165:21	

[interesting - issued]

www.veritext.com

interesting 195:24	133:19 134:13,16	206:23 207:7	178:4,6,15,21
interests 10:24	134:18 143:14	208:8 209:3,20	182:8 209:20
interfered 296:15	163:16,17 283:14	242:10 243:3,5	211:17 232:18
intern 306:2	284:4,7	244:16 250:3,14	235:14 250:13
internal 69:3 80:4	investigate 21:6	262:6 266:5 272:3	319:19 323:11,15
80:17 160:15,16	22:14 24:5 29:7	276:15,23 302:5	involvement 89:18
161:3,25 272:16	29:12 31:5 38:6	315:18 316:1	involving 22:11
272:20	98:9,13 106:15	321:11 323:19	25:18 26:7 38:7
internet 176:9	114:1 184:24	326:20 341:3	49:16 50:9 156:9
177:7 178:4,5	235:18 238:2	344:12 348:24,25	169:23 190:8
179:22 180:7,24	276:13 317:2	349:15 361:13	207:24 225:3,9
181:15,19,20	investigated 28:9	investigations	229:10 248:10
199:14 243:12,23	29:17 184:21	20:2,2,12 23:20	320:17 321:4
243:25 244:5,15	186:13 190:4	25:4,6 26:1,7	325:16 327:23,25
interns 46:24	198:1 204:9,21	30:10 38:1 39:2	341:1,3
interpose 216:17	243:13 248:21	41:24 50:4,6,18	issuance 28:19
interpret 94:12	256:22,23 257:19	58:10,16,23 60:1,2	72:15,16 92:14,23
96:8	262:7 264:25	99:5 135:1 138:10	95:9 172:4 205:23
interpretation	265:4 314:24	152:12 162:10	335:23 337:22
97:7	315:4 316:15	171:11 174:16	342:4 345:22
interpreting 94:9	investigating	185:21 225:22	issue 29:10 32:5
interrupt 267:1	38:10,16 145:21	272:22 273:1	81:20 96:10 97:4
interstate 347:23	174:20 180:4	278:18 315:5	97:10 98:11
347:23	192:4 204:14	316:6 328:25	141:24 153:10
interview 249:20	301:5 319:20	342:18 354:5	156:9 184:23
320:15	investigation 18:4	356:6	288:18 289:7
interviewed 204:1	19:12 23:4 27:21	investigative	290:22 302:10,25
204:6,16,19	36:25 54:24,25	19:15,19 30:8	305:4,12 329:23
interviewing	59:9,17 62:9 63:5	63:25 64:2 65:6	333:7,14 339:13
357:24	80:24 114:11	163:6 164:19	341:16 345:21
intimidate 121:24	125:20 134:3	170:20 278:8	issued 40:24 61:23
intoxicated 115:12	139:17 141:14	investigator 18:6	62:5 95:23 97:24
116:13	169:17 174:2	26:3 196:1,2	116:24 158:5
introduce 279:8	176:23 178:21	investigators	195:4 205:25
279:21	182:4 183:13,20	25:15	206:7,12 208:23
intuiting 288:16	183:21 184:19,20	involve 41:24 92:6	209:1 266:20
inventories 132:18	185:2,22,23 186:8	involved 62:25	288:15 289:6
133:7 134:9	187:15 191:12,21	81:22,25 82:14	298:24 300:6
143:17,23 153:23	192:21 193:22	83:3 92:3 95:2	302:20 329:20
inventory 132:16	196:5 201:17	169:22 171:19,20	350:10
132:22 133:13,15	202:7 204:13	174:17 177:24	

[issues - know] Page 32

issues 16:3 20:15	jim 176:3 184:18	julie 303:11	key 46:12 68:21
21:8 34:3 43:17	185:11 201:24	july 153:16 299:15	68:22 69:16
97:2 158:22	202:14 326:1,8,15	300:1 304:5	kicked 112:7
171:15 172:22	329:3 340:7	jumping 293:5	kid 102:17
218:1 229:20	345:14 352:6	352:23	kids 154:9 238:11
289:8,25 290:2,4	joanne 174:23	jumps 213:11	238:11 239:16
302:12 314:16,20	183:1 185:14	june 183:14	241:3
325:3 328:12	202:13,22 204:1	208:22	kill 182:21
338:24 347:6,7	204:18,19 211:1	justice 18:11	killed 176:22
350:13 352:20	joanne's 202:15	217:19 227:11,25	248:6
356:20 360:18,21	job 18:15 66:8	228:2	kimberly 155:6
360:25 361:24	199:16 245:8	juvenile 19:9	kind 120:16
issuing 96:15 98:3	278:22 290:10,13	jzhou 3:5	131:13 141:16
98:20 138:9	345:7	k	175:8 178:11
item 141:8	joe 207:5,7		182:16 199:8
itemized 289:8	joey 175:2 182:3	k 177:22 197:18	205:1 207:6
items 78:4 140:16	182:11 185:14	350:17	228:13 291:5
141:1,1 155:23	207:10	kate 10:11 214:15	292:24 296:4
163:12 164:7	jog 107:4 287:14	252:8 273:20	306:24 323:12
281:9,11 283:3,8	jogged 56:18	279:16 309:23	327:13 333:6
286:22 287:21	jogs 55:12	kate.swift 3:22	336:20 337:3
iv 211:15 244:4	john 207:24,25	katherine 3:20	kinds 262:11
j	208:1,4,15 340:16	kathleen 303:2 305:22	king 182:11 212:1
	341:4 344:1,7		kinsman 127:22
jacob 249:7	348:12 352:3,10	keep 147:17 157:13 224:25	128:3,5 138:19
jail 30:5 175:1,9 182:3	jones 3:2 10:14	258:23 259:12	139:15,18
james 4:3 10:8	jonesday.com 3:5	273:2,19 336:23	knew 104:21
•	joyce 296:13		126:17 132:11
216:1 338:6,13 340:6	305:16 320:2,8,24	336:24 354:19 359:22 362:10	140:5 175:23
james.nortey 4:5	judge 1:8 311:12	keeping 260:8	185:8 192:6
january 15:23	312:19	261:19 284:8	203:18,21 206:4,5
52:7 168:19 177:9	judgment 92:3,7	keeps 258:16	209:5 211:3
jar 125:4	92:20 93:15	kelly 352:15	236:10 303:2
jason 3:3 10:13	100:12 105:2,23	ken 159:25 176:15	326:8
359:2,18	106:4,8,16 110:4,5	203:12 204:5,6	knife 176:22
jeff 184:9 196:1	110:23 111:12	206:17	knot 339:24
jefferson 24:15	112:18 117:12,20	kept 41:19 157:17	343:18
25:2	118:22 119:4,6	186:9 261:24	know 16:9 23:21
jeffrey 144:11	140:19,22,23	322:17 345:4	25:18 27:5,7 32:1
jeren 144:15,19	141:2 193:2	346:4 355:17	35:5,8,14 40:19
J-1	358:17	310.1.230.17	43:13,18,22,23

[know - late] Page 33

51:4,7,8 52:12	219:23,25 220:15	339:15,16,20,25	257:16 279:5
53:5 54:18,19,22	222:25 225:6,7,18	341:23,25 342:23	292:2 340:6 364:6
55:3 57:4,19,22,23	226:13 227:25	343:3,4,7,13,14	365:13
57:25 62:22 64:4	228:8 232:7	344:8,13 345:4,17	l.p. 1:10,13
64:5,10,19,22 65:7	233:11,21 236:12	345:18 346:14	label 334:15
65:8 66:7,13	236:19,19 239:21	347:8,12,16,17,19	labeled 122:17
71:10 72:15 76:15	241:7,12,18	347:20 348:1,11	labeling 122:18
81:3 84:18 89:7	242:12 244:20,21	348:15 349:21,22	123:5 156:22,24
89:22 90:1,12,17	245:7,11 247:18	351:14,23 353:17	laborer 18:22
91:3 99:13,18,23	247:20 251:7	354:13,16 355:20	laid 57:13
106:23 107:2,23	254:5,15 255:10	355:20 356:18	lake 1:10 17:19
108:5,13,17	255:20,23 256:3,5	357:4,6 358:13	24:16 25:7,11
113:22 114:7	256:11,12,15,16	knowing 41:6	27:3 109:17
115:22,22 119:8	256:17 257:2	93:19	135:13,24 175:1
119:17,24 120:6	260:5,16 264:1	knowingly 98:1,18	175:11 182:3
124:13 128:21,22	265:5 266:20	98:22,24 99:3,6,10	188:18 190:17
130:9 131:10,11	270:16,17,18	99:11,15 170:7	199:18,19 207:14
131:12,18 132:13	272:1,8 275:21	171:1 353:12	207:16,20 213:4
132:14 133:21	276:5 279:12,13	knowledge 53:16	219:20 237:7
134:7,14,22 138:4	287:9,16 288:7,7,8	93:15 94:3 99:25	251:12 254:3
138:15 139:14	288:9 290:8,8,16	110:9 112:1	322:1 330:12,13
141:20 143:10,20	293:4,5,7,10,22,23	121:15 125:7	330:17,19
149:15 150:18	293:24 295:12	231:13 232:6	lakeside 2:3
152:4,13,23 153:3	296:2,12 303:3,14	233:24 242:14	land 85:9,17
154:3,5,6 157:23	303:15,16 305:25	254:22 257:22	language 96:8
160:4,6 166:2	306:15 307:1	269:3 272:17,19	117:3
167:3 168:10	308:15,22,25	319:23 322:7	lanier 2:10
169:18 172:14	309:2,10 310:7,10	329:9,12,23	lanierlawfirm.com
173:1,3 175:15	310:21 311:11,12	330:10,15 332:23	2:12
178:16 180:21	311:13,16 312:16	333:13	large 27:10 145:25
184:7 186:20	312:17,18,21	knowledgeable	236:17 242:20
187:17 188:5,7	313:11,13 318:4,5	224:15	243:4 263:24
189:18 191:9,16	319:3,4,13,16	known 218:10	278:18,19 291:4
192:9 193:11	320:24 321:7,21	231:11 310:25	325:19
198:4 200:15	325:14 327:4	326:23	larger 222:15
202:25 204:1,5,6	328:22 329:6,21	knows 36:21 95:12	lastly 350:22
204:19 206:14	330:13 331:8,11	333:5 351:25	late 16:4 128:4
210:14 211:1,2,2	331:24 333:4	1	183:16 185:13
212:17 214:9,10	335:5,8,9 336:11		224:21 346:9
215:14,14,20	336:12,19,20,20	1 1:24 13:6 178:1,1	352:8
217:17 218:9,13	337:10 338:9	197:18 207:24,24	
		208:5,5 226:16	

[laura - limited] Page 34

laura 2:14 217:23	learn 52:15 344:6	legitimately 28:11	329:10,23 330:2,7
law 2:10 34:14	learned 263:17	92:13 94:1 181:2	330:12,18
37:25 43:3 46:22	leave 129:1	255:22	licensed 44:13
48:8 58:23 78:8	leaves 240:13	legitimize 41:16	48:9 49:2 67:13
98:4,21 99:14	leaving 315:17	legitimizing 41:19	68:17 74:12 76:1
119:18 123:21	led 31:9 36:10	length 277:17	81:21 82:23 85:20
124:2,10 129:25	327:15 329:8	letter 147:12	86:4,7,12 89:5
130:1 131:1	344:12	157:21 217:18	92:9 96:10 125:9
165:24 166:4	left 47:16 49:19	279:7 303:10,17	125:21,23 206:19
167:5 173:20	52:7 66:16 73:5	304:5,12 366:19	licensee 67:2 68:2
221:6,7 227:9	103:9 131:10	letting 312:17	68:3
272:9 314:25	140:16 181:4	level 31:17 249:4	licensee's 69:25
315:2,3 342:2	215:15 227:20	259:8 263:5,6	licensees 66:23
349:22 356:17,18	281:9 282:9 283:3	264:3 265:2	licenses 44:12
lawful 11:16	287:21	266:15 284:3	45:13 49:21 83:23
lawfully 170:1	legal 9:18 47:10	304:3 332:24	84:10 128:2
173:13 316:12	65:25 224:3	349:7 355:18	138:10 165:6,22
laws 42:24 46:14	362:17 366:1	361:9	206:14
47:5 58:17 87:5	369:1	levels 166:15,17	licensing 44:7,11
127:4 129:23	legally 28:25	245:17	46:23 47:10 78:21
130:12,13 131:2	77:18	lewis 4:2 162:24	81:20,22 82:4
272:10,12	legend 72:6 244:1	196:1	83:3 86:24 88:24
lawsuit 17:6,7	244:2	lfitzpatrick 2:16	293:1,2
lawyer 90:1,1	legitimacy 117:13	liber 2:2	licensure 85:12
321:17	180:20,25 193:16	library 78:1,9	lie 336:19
lawyers 17:18	legitimate 17:12	272:10	life 173:17 182:13
91:6 321:20,25	21:18 22:11 28:12	license 33:1 44:9,9	276:5 347:14
323:2	28:21,24 29:11,21	44:21 45:13 82:1	lightning 304:22
laxity 120:7	30:19 33:11,14	82:9,13,15,16,19	lights 78:12
layman's 45:1	39:25 40:9,13	82:21,22,25 83:8	liked 339:6,11
lead 118:16	51:19 61:5 62:10	83:17 84:2,11,16	345:17,17
246:23 247:4	62:12,13 76:1	84:23,25 85:8,14	likelihood 318:14
248:3,6 306:22	92:15,25 94:2	85:16 86:25 87:8	liking 157:9
leads 22:2,24 23:4	95:24 96:11 97:5	87:21 88:8,11,19	limit 216:8 312:13
30:8,14,22 31:15	98:11 99:24 114:2	89:11 124:18	312:15 313:8
33:6 65:6,10	116:24 117:21	127:9 128:9 130:5	limitation 216:10
139:9,13 145:20	118:1,18 170:8	131:11 165:7,23	217:8
152:11 163:7	171:2 188:3 252:2	176:18 178:19	limited 87:9
164:19 253:20	252:2 268:1	205:24,25 206:6,8	115:11 216:18
leaning 228:14	271:21 274:15	206:12,20 282:16	218:6 254:20
	275:17 284:21	297:9,10 305:21	

[limits - lost] Page 35

limits 215:16	244:17 302:15	243:22 270:17,18	297:4
linda 164:15,16	307:18 322:17	338:9 347:6	looking 20:16
line 11:1 30:23	328:20 331:23	355:10,12	30:15 55:17 60:21
38:21 62:24	336:21 339:13	longer 24:25	66:6 74:8,10,16
121:19 183:16	345:19	240:12 267:9	76:8,18 84:18
232:19 291:9	live 320:14 326:15	286:11,14	102:1 108:8
293:4 340:24	326:17	look 13:3 33:12	110:15 111:2
366:13 368:7	lived 344:3	49:23 54:19 55:5	114:7 125:3
369:3	llc 3:10,11	55:22 56:15 57:5	128:15 129:24,25
lined 291:5	llp 3:7,11,15 4:2	58:3 61:10 63:7	136:18,18 137:19
lines 316:16	loaded 76:12	69:9 71:21 75:25	142:11 144:1
lining 33:9	195:22	78:20 82:18 83:11	157:4 161:20
list 22:21 85:7	local 69:23 124:2	83:12,20 85:6	172:19 180:21
130:2,9 147:9	194:22 249:7	87:12 90:14,15	186:24 211:7
281:9 283:2,4,8,16	259:7 264:4 304:2	93:3 95:22 104:2	226:17 232:14,15
284:12 285:24	locally 262:14	107:3,19 113:19	242:24 246:2
286:10,22 287:21	located 109:5	115:21 116:5	252:17 257:12
288:12	128:6 178:2	135:6 143:9	272:15 281:14,19
listed 55:8 123:15	location 32:22	144:16 148:8	286:8 293:8,9,13
123:23 129:12,21	45:9 69:13 82:16	154:20 170:16	299:17 301:2
135:9,10 150:9	85:3,5 113:1	176:8 183:6 184:5	311:11 315:24
159:24 261:15	150:25 151:4	194:2 195:23	327:15 355:15
294:9 305:16	167:17 203:5	197:24 201:25	looks 40:20,23
306:1 368:7,17	266:21 282:16	205:11 208:17	147:24 148:3
listen 288:10	306:21 340:7	210:3 212:18	149:25 150:16
listened 278:13	locations 31:12	221:15 230:14	151:12 153:20
listing 94:7 100:18	46:24 60:20 186:3	236:20 244:10	155:18 159:12
146:13 368:7	266:20	245:13 249:4	210:16 245:15
lists 68:7 111:8	lock 195:21 298:1	261:5 269:20,23	280:18 300:5,13
124:8	locked 195:21	279:23 284:11	303:15
literally 292:21	298:11	285:23 286:15,25	loose 131:24
litigation 1:6 9:12	log 153:9 160:14	287:3 296:21	lose 128:9 176:18
366:6 367:3 368:3	160:24 161:8	309:15,21 312:19	206:5
little 28:1,3 49:19	logs 334:3,7	328:20 335:24	losing 266:19
50:1,25 78:6	lone 347:19	336:16 342:2,4	loss 79:1,5,7,11,15
89:22 104:8 154:9	long 21:2 27:20	looked 22:13	79:21,24 80:3,7,11
155:1 157:5	35:10 48:16	61:13 75:6 76:12	81:1 148:12 149:4
171:22 172:22	109:22 143:21	78:3 90:20 101:14	149:16,19 343:11
173:3,5,17 183:22	148:25 150:8	116:10 148:23	347:11
187:12 193:7	155:11 194:11	155:8 180:13,19	lost 128:1,2 198:21
214:22 221:24	214:24 222:7,8	212:16 286:19	241:11 303:4

[lot - materials] Page 36

		I	
lot 25:5 29:23 30:3	madison 2:14	236:14 237:11,13	202:9 242:14,17
30:21 31:4,8 33:3	magnitude 178:8	management	246:6 286:16,20
33:23 35:14,17,18	197:12	110:14 113:11,16	289:13 297:19
35:22 43:12,15	mahoning 20:7,8	118:8 190:10,22	309:22
45:12,17 48:14,16	22:18,20 23:18	192:22 193:10,11	marcie 158:17,19
49:11 76:23 84:5	24:14 25:1 42:12	209:14,14 249:13	158:20
101:19 120:9	109:16 110:12,19	manager 176:21	marcus 3:7,9
126:18 128:11	150:5 174:22	mandatory 52:17	marijuana 231:24
129:3 133:24	178:3,18 185:5	52:19 53:1	232:22 238:15
151:9 152:14	196:22 235:25	manner 28:19	mark 114:20
174:19 178:24	347:3 352:6	72:15,16 78:19	153:1,1 290:21
179:1,2 196:25	mail 148:18 225:8	86:20 92:14,22	335:5 343:11
198:5,6 202:20	main 20:19 67:12	95:7,9,10,17	marked 6:3 13:15
205:4,7 212:3,4,4	67:17 178:20	103:10 104:1	13:21 14:3,4
212:6,21,25	189:8 320:5	123:4 154:25	57:16 226:16
229:20 247:16	325:25 347:23	169:5 172:4	227:5 280:1,8
265:6 308:22	maine 25:21	185:21 335:23	289:14 344:15
316:19 323:21	maintain 85:22	337:22 342:3	market 198:17
324:12 326:10	133:23 168:9	345:21	209:18,19 280:16
345:16 354:3	258:15 259:1	manual 37:11 38:3	280:18 293:17
lots 26:7 269:3	260:5 360:24	49:19 128:23	301:10 307:9
316:24 317:5	maintained	129:18,19 130:19	340:22
lottery 126:19	133:14 158:6	130:20,24 141:21	marketing 224:20
louis 138:18	259:10	181:10	226:1,6
louisiana 4:3	maintaining	manually 37:13,16	marks 81:10 103:2
luchette 176:16	277:12,19 283:23	82:23 101:19	115:16 196:11,15
203:6,13 204:3,4,7	314:22	105:16	219:6 267:11,15
lucky 132:15	major 44:16	manufacture 70:1	314:8,12 359:14
lunch 102:9 103:9	128:25 165:16	251:23 253:9	mart 213:15,16
luncheon 102:22	201:19 202:6	manufacturer	323:10,16 324:3
m	328:12	44:16	maryland 3:13
m 3:16 163:21	majority 45:21	manufacturers	massive 179:9
178:1 207:24	195:3 275:24	36:14 37:7 255:17	185:2 186:10
208:5 249:7	317:25 325:13,19	255:21 256:9,14	187:20
m.d. 210:10	325:20 350:15,19	256:25	massiveness 27:24
m.d.s. 210:10	making 38:13,22	map 236:23 237:2	masters 198:9,22
machine 40:16	117:12 212:15	244:24 245:2	209:9 210:1,5,8,17
320:7	255:2 275:3 284:7	march 14:21	213:13 301:16,20
madam 366:10	male 90:25 267:6	24:20 52:7 106:24	302:4 323:19
madgar 162:21	man 23:16 167:21	143:2 146:4	materials 226:7
163:2	168:11 235:5	184:16 185:11	
	37 '4 4 T	•	

[math - mic] Page 37

	1		
math 49:10	182:25 186:23	media 9:9 81:10	memories 195:24
matter 9:11 26:2	187:4 188:3,4	81:14 103:3	memory 55:11,12
27:19,20 28:1	189:5,13 190:24	194:14 196:12,16	56:18 90:18 92:21
71:25 88:2 126:6	195:20 198:5	219:7,11 267:12	107:4 125:4 214:4
156:13,16,18	201:3 203:22	267:16 314:8,13	287:14 337:6
171:18 174:12	211:11 212:17,25	359:11,15 362:16	memos 272:20
188:13 190:20	213:11 217:9	medical 22:11	men's 151:22
203:9 207:12	221:10 229:5	28:12,21 29:22	mendenhall
210:20 275:7	241:10 255:18	30:19 62:10,12,13	172:22 343:19,21
298:19 299:10	257:1 265:25	95:24 96:11 97:5	347:10,24 348:22
344:3 358:7 359:3	266:24 269:3	116:25 118:2,18	351:6
359:19	274:13 276:4	150:5 192:20,23	mendenhall's
matters 79:16	283:20 284:25	192:25 193:2,15	350:24
102:8,15 157:25	285:25 287:9,23	193:20,25 195:25	mental 99:7
mcanany 163:21	288:2 289:24	234:9 252:2	mentioned 25:14
mcevey 293:25	290:24 292:10	271:22 318:7	29:6,9 44:17
294:5,6,8 295:23	294:24 295:3,9	medically 230:18	48:21 95:6 97:20
md 1:7 9:15	296:15 298:8	medication 99:16	173:24 177:19
mdl 1:6 9:14	299:7 307:2 309:1	114:9 118:7 195:3	196:20 269:7
mdl1801987 6:7	312:20,21 313:19	199:24 240:23	281:3 319:21
280:8	313:25 320:3	284:16 285:16	322:13 323:9,14
mdl2800646	325:14,15 328:7,8	314:18	325:23 327:2
142:13	329:4,12 333:4	medications 45:19	357:7 359:19
mean 12:6 28:7	345:2,14 347:5	53:23 96:20	messiest 327:12
33:22 37:6 39:23	354:16 355:11	101:10 190:6,9	met 23:19 74:17
41:17 50:13 51:18	357:21 358:12	208:22 250:2,6	165:5 166:3,9
55:11 57:11 61:1	meaning 287:20	274:3,14 294:16	178:13 194:19
61:2 66:5 68:16	290:23 310:16	317:4 360:19	353:6
70:23 76:11 77:4	means 68:19 77:17	medicine 240:14	metal 297:20,24
96:4 98:22 99:4	93:13 94:8 108:18	318:19	298:1
100:3,24 106:11	109:12 111:23	medina 24:16	methadone 113:23
109:13 110:11	218:21 237:10	medsmart 324:5,6	175:7 182:22
112:6 113:7 115:4	287:24 288:17	meet 32:22 33:1	187:2
115:25 116:2	289:6 295:6	49:23 98:14	methylphenidate
118:19 120:15	meant 56:3 92:19	meeting 25:18	148:4
121:15,22 126:2,2	93:20 109:10	123:4 194:18	mexican 246:16
132:5,11 134:3	201:7 290:2	meetings 57:12	mexico 224:8
138:4,5,24 139:15	mechanism 75:10	meets 101:11	317:11,15
147:6 148:10	75:11 327:14	members 26:20,22	mic 228:13 229:19
155:9 169:13	medal 323:7	memorializing	229:20
170:9 179:4 182:7		153:25	

[michael - name] Page 38

michael 144:11	minimal 331:13	mistake 100:11	mother 343:13
michelle 91:11	358:15	mistaken 313:14	motion 252:5
microphone 9:6	minimum 77:9,14	mistakes 100:2,4,6	295:16
228:15	77:22	misunderstood	move 70:20
microphones 9:4	minor 128:21,22	56:10	151:24
mid 352:9	141:20 153:13	misuse 104:14	moved 154:14,16
middle 160:23	336:2	234:7 239:16	movement 274:14
291:5 329:22	minute 107:14	253:20 316:21	moylan 3:12 5:10
middlefield	126:4 153:19	317:7,17 318:15	10:9,9 215:21,22
194:21 195:2	172:18 177:12	misusing 317:3	254:11 309:23
midwest 366:17	189:6 196:7 210:3	moment 249:2	324:15,17 337:24
369:1	281:23 294:22	319:21	mullin 207:24
mike 160:6 162:21	297:1 310:6,6	moments 359:19	mullins 207:25
163:1,2	312:10,10 314:1	money 88:15	208:1,4 209:3
mildred 2:10	359:5	212:16 344:15,17	multiple 17:10
10:17	minutes 33:15	mongine 303:2	23:19 29:1 41:25
mildred.conroy	81:5 90:9 151:18	305:23,24	113:5,6 118:7
2:12	194:10 214:21,21	mongine's 306:1	166:10 186:3,3
miles 109:25 192:9	215:23 216:2	monitor 257:5	204:22 276:13,19
270:17 358:10	221:25 310:8	monitoring 70:1,8	308:6
mill 178:1 179:18	311:8,9,10,17	257:5	municipal 15:14
181:22	312:8,9 313:15,16	month 53:11	munoz 2:7
milligram 148:5	314:6 343:24	61:19 137:22,23	murder 176:24
175:5 182:18,20	mirror 72:17	200:11 201:20	muscle 248:17
186:25 187:2	mirrors 72:14,20	260:14 297:12	260:4
188:4	mis 241:11	monthly 134:19	muster 266:14
milligrams 235:6	mischaracterizing	143:15,18,23	mute 9:6
million 174:20	254:25	months 63:21	mymo 144:11
176:9 178:8,16	misconstrued 90:2	108:21 112:10,24	n
180:9 242:4,6	miserable 347:15	114:16 131:7	n 163:21,21
243:15 245:16,24	mish 202:13	137:11 180:12,13	177:22 197:18
245:25 246:1	misinstalled 298:4	morgan 4:2	207:24 208:5
mills 264:13	misplaced 303:4	morganlewis.com	340:6
mind 73:18 76:1,4	mispronounce	4:5	nalluri 300:17
152:15 321:7	216:5	morning 9:1 10:5	301:3
355:5	missed 243:24	10:7,13 11:23,24	name 9:17 11:25
mine 49:10 184:6	287:10 288:11	91:15 274:23	12:13 15:25 24:12
208:6 223:9	missing 50:20	319:9 322:6	34:24 61:13 64:8
280:22,23 292:1,2	misspoke 56:6	359:17	79:10 90:7 115:15
297:22 307:22	201:5	morphine 235:6	115:15 122:21,22
			122:23 131:5
			122.23 131:3

[name - notarized] Page 39

138:6 142:25,25	368:3	negative 220:9	newport 340:9,20
146:11 152:19	nationally 262:15	352:19	340:24
153:4 172:16	nationwide 264:5	negligently 99:11	news 17:15 209:9
175:2 177:20,21	nature 16:8 17:6	negotiations	286:23
182:2 183:8 184:8	63:14 81:8 82:6	217:19	newspaper 322:21
190:22 197:17	327:3	neighbor 348:12	newspapers 225:1
198:14,19 206:17	near 312:13 313:7	neighborhood	nice 200:12 293:8
208:1,23 209:13	343:22 356:25	239:4	nicole 4:8 10:25
210:7,21,24,25	357:5	never 80:14 82:3	89:25 91:12
213:15 216:4	neat 127:1	90:19,20 111:22	night 346:9
219:19 249:24	necessarily 113:7	121:10 122:9	niles 138:13
259:13,22 273:20	154:13 159:4	127:17 131:21,22	144:10 152:1
282:24 293:20	167:1 268:3 284:6	131:23,23 139:20	153:17 154:18
305:17 306:1,4	necessary 48:12	148:11 152:14	158:25
319:11,14 320:1	67:8	161:11 165:11	nine 41:8 180:11
321:20 323:17	need 11:7 16:8	170:13,13 182:14	180:12
324:16 327:6,7	27:13 49:22 61:10	191:13 201:3	nods 16:8
338:5 340:5,14	99:19 102:11	229:6 234:15,18	nominal 45:20
343:12 344:1	118:14 147:20	238:23 250:15,20	non 20:18 74:13
350:16,25 359:1	148:8 192:23	251:7 256:23	178:12,25 225:10
359:18 366:6	193:5,15 194:13	262:10 264:16,25	234:9 295:13
367:3,4,15 368:3,4	200:10 220:14	265:4,23 266:3,3,5	noon 102:17
368:21	221:14 229:14,18	266:9,9,10 272:22	nope 225:11
named 26:2	240:12 247:19	273:1 276:1,4,6	norm 94:19
126:12 303:11	338:11 359:5,7	286:23 296:15	normal 44:2 136:3
364:9	needed 32:15,20	301:24 306:12	199:5
names 22:16,21	131:7 148:15	314:24 315:10	normally 30:10
249:10 351:24	154:2 156:15	318:2,17 328:21	126:20 129:5
355:14	167:10 172:24	330:4 332:5,10,10	154:10,13
nancy 352:15	195:21 200:10	335:2,4 342:13	nortey 4:3 5:11
napoli 2:6	203:24 207:19	345:23 346:4	10:7,8 215:25
narcotics 18:3	217:12 275:11	361:22	216:1 338:4,6
19:7,8,11,21 20:13	276:23 288:22	new 2:11,11,15,15	358:21
21:4 27:3 74:2	290:18 332:6	26:12 108:10,14	north 150:6
204:22,23	333:15 338:8	108:16 121:19	northeast 24:9
narrow 45:2	339:20 346:14	138:9 150:22,24	316:11,22 317:8
narrowed 187:14	356:12 361:24	151:4 154:14	317:11,17
nathan 197:18	needing 81:21	161:23 205:20,23	northern 1:2 9:13
national 1:6 9:12	needs 68:1 102:14	205:25 278:5	notable 143:19
18:5 25:14,18,21	252:3 285:5	281:17,18 297:15	notarized 366:14
26:2 366:6 367:3			

[notary - obligation]

	,		
notary 364:6	nsprlaw.com 2:9	231:18 242:4	270:22 271:16,25
365:13 366:25	null 110:16	244:10,18,22	287:5,19,20,25
367:10,18 368:15	number 6:3 9:14	245:6 288:16	oath 171:12 173:7
368:23 369:23	9:15 14:5 23:16	289:6,14 300:6	186:20 195:12
notation 289:24	35:21 42:5,8 58:7	318:23 358:15	object 224:2,5
note 9:3 78:8 84:4	60:23,24 69:24	368:7	226:5 233:19
128:25 141:22	81:11,15 101:10	numerical 159:6	235:8 236:9,18
143:15 276:10,12	103:3 108:9 109:4	numerous 22:7	237:24 240:6
277:13,15 282:11	109:25 115:9	nurse 40:21,23,25	244:19 246:12
297:18,20,25	121:1 135:9 137:1	41:7,19	247:2,13,22 248:2
298:14 301:17,22	138:14 142:10	nurses 152:23	250:25 251:13
306:10 307:10	146:12 153:7	nursing 48:15	253:14,22 254:12
331:6,7 333:8	158:13 167:4	138:7	259:4 261:9
366:12	170:4,11 177:3,4	nutritional 104:15	262:20 264:8
noted 48:24	182:8,12 185:13	nutshell 176:25	266:18 271:15,23
122:24 141:6	186:5 196:12,16	295:7	objected 223:8
142:4 143:20	212:2 215:13	nw 3:16	objecting 222:22
144:23 146:3	219:7,12 233:4	0	223:7,10,17,21
153:7 154:15	236:17 237:15	o 164:16 177:22	objection 7:3,3,4,4
158:8 168:17,21	243:20 244:11	257:16 319:12	7:5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,9
202:21,21 203:10	245:14,22 246:10	oac 126:14	7:9,10,10,11,11,12
209:16 282:24	249:4,15 251:3	oarrs 37:11,16,20	7:12,13,13,14,14
302:12 325:3	252:7,17 259:18	37:24 38:18 39:4	7:15,15,16,16,17
331:22 333:2,10	259:25 267:12	47:12,16,17 52:1,2	7:17,18,18,19,19
360:10	271:2,7 274:18	52:4,15,17 53:1,4	7:20,20,21,21,22
notes 21:10	276:24 278:3	53:20 54:13,25	7:22,23 8:3,3,4
notice 6:5 12:18	279:19 282:3	55:2,8,14,19 56:16	11:15 41:10 54:6
13:14,19,24	283:16 284:11,12	56:20 57:6 60:11	69:5 70:9,20
124:16 125:19	284:24 285:24	61:4,13 63:2,11	75:14 111:15
126:21,24 216:24	288:1 289:1	64:1 65:5,11,12,14	112:19 213:6
216:25 217:14,14	298:13 300:8,8	105:6,25 106:2,5	222:4,19 224:14
217:15,25 288:21	302:19,19,20	105.0,23 100.2,3	247:20 254:11
302:18	308:2 314:9	108:10,19,23,25	255:3 264:14
noticed 36:16	326:13 342:20	110:24 111:6,13	318:3 331:20
216:21,22 217:15	353:24 355:14	111:13,21,23	361:3,6
217:24 286:8,23	359:15 362:16	112:5 114:17	objections 5:5 7:1
noticing 10:3	366:7,13	115:5 227:9	8:1 10:1 254:18
217:11	numbered 58:4	260:16,23,25	255:1
noting 264:22	289:10	261:6,22 262:4,12	obligation 29:14
305:1	numbers 142:14	265:5,11,13	257:3 258:8
	186:16 222:15	267:18 269:19,19	271:20
		207.10 209.19,19	

[obligations - okay]

obligations 254:8	offer 123:8	361:14	315:2,3 316:11,23
255:6 257:24	offered 23:23	ohio 1:2,10,12 2:4	317:8,11,17 320:6
observation	office 2:18 10:22	2:17,18,20 4:8	326:1 330:24
116:17	33:10 35:1,3,25	9:14 10:22,25	333:14 344:3
observations	36:23 37:1,3	12:16 17:13 23:13	360:2 364:2,7
153:24	40:19,20 42:4,8	24:8,9 27:14,14	365:7,14 366:2
observe 34:12	43:24 53:23 80:14	28:6 31:24 42:24	ohioattorneygen
78:14 166:11	82:2 88:22 90:7	43:4,21 44:3,15	2:21
212:20 306:20	91:9 146:16	45:24 46:12 47:5	okay 12:6 13:2,8
observed 166:23	152:24 153:2	58:17,23 63:10	13:18 14:11,23
obstructing	175:20 176:21,21	65:17,18 66:1,2,6	15:18 18:8,19
349:18	188:12,22 210:6	66:10,15,21 67:14	19:6,25 26:18
obtain 21:15 50:11	211:5,13 214:8	68:18 72:20,25	29:6 39:22 42:16
190:8 229:11	227:11,24 228:1	73:13 77:15,18,21	44:1 46:9 47:8,19
obtained 117:10	243:6 257:9,11	82:10 83:22 87:5	50:7 51:2,13,17,22
157:19 250:2	268:14 269:2	91:19 95:16	51:25 53:17,25
obtaining 109:20	270:13,15 311:21	120:21 123:21	54:11 56:9,13
303:23	336:6,15 349:2	124:10 127:4	57:1,14,18 61:4
obvious 221:18	357:5 358:2 361:9	129:24 130:12	62:4,8,18 63:2,11
obviously 51:18	365:6	131:2 138:13,19	64:12 65:24 67:21
60:24 189:18	officer 19:18	144:11 152:1	70:19 71:12 72:18
190:17 203:22	offices 45:12 47:1	155:17 159:1	73:11,12,16 74:14
206:14 301:2	262:9	164:12 165:6,24	76:14 77:8 83:20
327:19 343:16	official 227:23	166:4,8 172:1	84:14 85:6,19
occasion 201:14	367:15 368:21	178:2 181:3	86:9,18 88:24
201:15 276:1	officially 24:2	194:21 195:2	90:10 91:17 92:1
306:19 317:2	oh 15:17 36:18	208:12 221:20	92:17 95:6 97:11
324:20	45:16 50:6 56:5	222:8,9 227:1,1,10	98:17 101:20
occur 39:9 87:19	58:1 109:12	227:11,15,23,24	103:24 104:7
242:11	121:21 127:22	228:1,5 229:1	107:14 108:9
occurred 242:9,13	145:18 146:23	230:13 232:3,17	109:24 110:6
352:4,9	159:5 167:17	233:4,14,16 234:3	115:8 116:19
occurring 39:9,17	169:12 178:15	235:4,20,23	118:13 120:3
occurs 39:7	180:9 181:4 187:5	238:22 241:1	121:18 122:11
150:23	205:16 207:9	242:2,4 246:4,16	124:4 127:2,20
october 18:1	277:15 279:4	251:6 257:18	132:5,16 133:5,12
365:16	286:1,13 292:19	262:4 272:11	135:23 136:7,12
odd 211:2 306:3	298:2 312:4	275:10,13 278:21	136:23 138:12
odds 349:9	323:18 324:22	280:17 288:23	140:8 141:5
offenses 45:25	347:3,14 353:23	293:16 301:10	142:15 144:20
46:13 225:4	355:18 357:17	303:18 314:25	147:22 149:3

[okay - overholt] Page 42

150.4 152.15	262.24	101.16.107.0	
150:4 153:15	263:24	181:16 197:9	organized 214:22
155:16 159:5,8	operating 67:7	199:2 231:21	origin 119:2
160:10 161:16,22	170:1 173:13,16	232:21 236:4,16	original 88:19
162:15 163:11	316:11	242:3 243:4,21	205:8
171:17 181:14,25	operation 70:3	244:12 248:17,19	orr 34:24 35:24
190:3 197:25	87:6 124:19 328:2	251:24 252:3	37:19 184:9 319:6
201:14 202:8	operational 70:7	253:20 258:25	319:9,10
206:6 207:5	77:17 331:14	260:2,10 263:2,9	osbp 184:17,22
208:18 213:9	346:8	265:15 270:20	308:2
220:4,7 226:18,19	opiate 1:6 9:12	271:2 272:7 274:4	otc 72:5
226:20 227:5	17:11 34:25	274:15 314:18	outcome 9:22
229:16,21 231:16	182:22 200:9	316:22 317:8,10	outer 198:17
238:16 240:19,25	211:12,16 222:12	317:14,17 318:1	outpatient 111:4
253:23 255:20	243:25 246:15	318:15,15,24	131:16
291:3 293:11	309:11 366:6	360:20	outside 33:10 83:5
297:5 298:5	367:3 368:3	opportunity 13:3	83:6 109:5,10,25
305:15 309:21	opiates 27:25	59:7,15	110:7 111:10
312:12 313:18	35:13 113:15,22	opposed 256:9	112:15 317:13
327:1 329:15	175:5 224:9 358:6	opposing 312:11	overall 67:25 68:3
331:16 332:12	opinion 43:6,8	313:22,23	350:4
333:20 359:6	66:18 80:7,17	oral 332:14,21	overdose 232:17
old 158:23 172:20	142:20 166:22	333:2	233:4
355:8	167:2,10,11 168:1	orally 290:6,13	overdoses 231:22
older 120:15	187:22,23 192:17	336:1	overholt 14:19
olds 239:3	232:1,5,7 233:20	orc 126:13	27:8,19 52:10
once 21:22 133:7	242:19	order 67:1 75:21	59:19 171:18
180:15 183:19	opioid 221:21,25	84:11 85:18 88:11	173:25 174:12
195:21 265:5	222:2,16 223:24	97:23 99:13,22	175:14,19 176:2,5
267:24 348:22	224:1,11,19 226:3	100:18 130:6,8	176:15,15 179:5
ones 199:6 291:24	233:16 234:13	156:15 159:6	182:6 183:13
309:3 355:5	237:12 245:14,22	170:17 181:1	184:1 185:15
online 249:22	246:9,10,11,23	193:16 217:1,8	187:24 188:15
262:4	247:6,11,25	257:4 260:6	189:4 192:10,12
op 1:11,13	248:18 250:24	271:18 275:11	192:15 199:15
open 66:16 139:25	251:3,10 253:20	312:4 335:17	201:16 202:2
175:24 280:12	254:1,2 256:2,8	ordering 130:6	203:12 204:3,5,7
opened 13:5 176:2	258:17 259:13	249:21	205:5 206:3,18
296:25	264:13 309:7	orders 75:13,22	207:12 211:24
openly 251:1	353:13	76:6	212:1 213:11
operate 78:18	opioids 17:7 59:6	organization	315:14,20 356:23
113:3 115:18	60:9 179:24	227:16	358:10

[overholt's - pass] Page 43

			I
overholt's 184:19	p.m. 230:1 362:22	366:13,15 368:7	97:22 101:8 104:4
188:12 195:7	pad 249:12,12,16	369:3	106:1,13 107:21
242:8,9 244:16	249:21	pages 47:9,19 52:2	123:11 147:15,17
245:5 276:16	page 13:19 46:7,10	73:8,11 84:15	156:25 165:9,21
277:18	46:19 47:9 48:21	93:7 100:25	193:21 201:17
overly 115:12	50:3 55:5,8,18	103:20 122:20	209:24,24 234:22
116:13	56:3,8,9 57:1,5	142:9 144:9	235:2 261:17
oversee 151:14,16	58:3,25 72:23,24	146:21 179:6	266:13 283:19
oversight 213:22	73:5 77:3,4,5	231:18 298:13	301:22 331:1
213:23 214:5	84:15 86:23 87:12	303:9	349:19 354:11,12
overwhelmingly	88:25 107:12,12	paid 168:1 215:19	355:22 368:9
236:17	107:16,25 116:20	249:25 261:13	partial 150:9
overworked	116:20 122:14	270:20 306:24	participating
166:19	143:12 144:8	pain 62:18,21	252:11
owned 135:2	145:7 147:23	110:13 113:10,16	participation
139:17 177:22,22	149:4 151:7,25	118:7,8 178:25	203:9
179:17,17	153:16,21,22	190:10,21 192:22	particular 36:15
owner 176:15	154:15 155:3,14	193:9,10 198:11	45:8 84:19 163:19
213:17 214:5	155:24 156:22	198:14 209:13,14	202:12 219:21
owns 259:8	157:2 158:12,24	235:4 238:19	245:9 249:6 251:8
oxford 3:8	159:22 160:10,13	240:13,13 249:13	262:14,18 263:10
oxycodone 61:14	160:20 161:22	painkiller 234:5	265:8,20 268:25
61:17 63:21	162:18 163:10,14	pamphlet 52:1	271:13 277:18
113:22 175:7	164:4,11 184:14	pandemic 16:11	279:1 281:8,12
182:23 187:3	189:25 194:2	paper 101:21,22	282:18 285:16
197:10,11 199:5,6	195:14,18,25	203:1 285:4,18,19	302:13 315:21
200:9,14 230:24	202:16 208:17	342:10	339:17 341:14
250:5 283:24	226:21 227:8	papers 174:6	344:13 345:21
295:25 296:6	228:23 229:8	paperwork 285:11	347:8 348:13
oxycontin 224:21	230:11 232:9,9,11	paragraph 58:13	particularly 27:20
224:23 225:9,19	232:11 234:1,2,2	59:1 60:4 68:13	parties 9:8 11:8
225:25 226:2	234:20 241:25	69:7 73:24 97:2,3	216:9 310:10
230:24 247:7	281:10 282:4	100:16 105:7	partner 179:16
250:5	283:3 289:20	112:21 125:8	parts 227:6
р	291:6 294:23	187:8 189:21	party 9:21 216:10
p 1:18 5:7 11:16	296:22 297:14,18	303:22	217:11 256:10
11:21 103:6	298:13 299:19,24	parents 241:14,21	311:15 312:11
219:16 273:16	301:18 303:9	parkway 2:11	365:2
292:2 324:14	305:10,13 306:8	part 16:13 36:6	pass 126:18
338:3 358:24	307:11,12,25	51:25 72:3 76:25	335:17 346:6
364:9	308:1 310:5,9	82:3 89:20 95:2	358:23
JU+.7	Voritort Los		

[passed - perpetual]

passed 30:17	116:3 187:24	159:11 164:11	253:12 303:5
119:20 251:19,21	188:4 189:4 190:6	165:1 169:2	306:1 315:22
253:7 254:14	190:7 192:5,9	173:24 174:13	317:25 318:14,20
266:13	195:1,3,5 199:23	192:2 194:17	318:23 342:24
passing 219:14	200:1,2,18 209:21	196:18 206:21	percent 32:14
patience 219:22	210:9,13 211:14	214:13 218:12	188:11 233:5
patient 32:8 37:2	242:2 243:21	219:16,18 221:18	238:16 239:10,18
38:16,24 54:14,19	244:12 249:25	223:23 226:8	241:4,4,21,21
54:21,24 61:25	252:2 260:9 261:7	230:6,16 235:19	percentage 239:21
62:16 64:9,16,23	271:9 294:14	236:21 246:22	percentages
75:3 76:10,11,17	308:23 317:2,7	248:13 250:21	211:22
96:12,17,21 97:25	332:8,16 333:16	255:5 264:21	percentile 309:11
99:17 100:19,21	patricia 172:21	267:18 273:16	309:12
101:1,5,11,16	348:22 350:23	280:11,24 303:20	percocet 21:20
105:9 106:10,17	351:6	304:18 314:15	40:1,15,22 200:8
108:10,13,20,25	patrol 19:1,3,5	317:25 318:8	percodan 200:7,8
109:5,15 110:7	patrolman 19:17	324:14,16 338:3,5	perfectly 313:8
111:19 112:2,22	19:20 20:1	338:18 340:3	perform 20:12
113:8,9,14 114:14	patrolmen 20:21	349:11 352:25	102:2 103:14
115:9,14,20	20:25	353:20 354:2,25	268:12
122:21,21 123:8	pattern 137:17	356:2 358:22,24	performed 268:9
123:10 150:1	patty 172:16,16,16	359:1,17 362:6,15	268:20 271:13
152:25 153:22	347:10,24	364:9 366:8 367:4	273:24 274:7
180:25 186:24	paul 12:15 293:25	367:9 368:4,13	performing 75:18
188:3 197:1 205:4	294:5,6 295:23	369:20	75:20 270:2
205:8 208:24	pavlich 1:18 5:7	pay 41:21 115:24	period 22:24
210:12 249:10	6:5 9:10 10:19,23	115:24 200:6	52:24 111:7,25
261:13 263:1,10	11:12,16,21,23	paying 100:5	133:14,22 135:21
263:11 269:10,23	12:15,17 13:15,20	peaking 245:24	137:14 179:21
270:7,20 271:1	13:21 14:2,13	peer 239:17	180:2 187:11
285:5 286:2,4,5	16:21 17:22 33:9	pellegrino 1:24	192:19 197:19
300:21 307:24	34:12 35:20 40:22	9:20 364:6 365:13	243:18 300:22,23
308:3,13,19	41:13 42:17 57:8	penalties 98:4,21	351:5
334:18 335:7	58:4 59:13 62:16	pending 206:19	periodic 54:4
336:7 337:19	62:20 63:23,23	pennsylvania 3:8	132:18 137:3
patient's 64:8	65:17 67:10 68:10	pens 292:24	permission 127:11
197:4 259:22	69:6 77:11 78:24	people 26:9 28:23	permit 126:12
patients 33:9	81:17 91:18 96:14	31:17 79:21 80:11	permitted 125:23
36:19,22 37:12	103:6,8 123:20	182:8 190:19	254:18
38:11 45:18,20	124:15 134:25	203:20 222:15,16	perpetual 143:14
54:22 63:12 113:5	144:21 154:19	234:8 252:1	143:17,23 153:23

[perry - pharmacist]

perry 340:16	219:19	188:1 195:5 201:8	112:14,14,17,21
341:4 344:1,7	pharma 1:10,13	211:21 212:3,20	114:13,22,25
348:12 352:3,11	pharmaceutical	213:3,21 226:25	115:2,19 116:4
person 30:17,20	20:11,23 38:7	251:4 254:9 255:7	117:8,11,19,24
32:24 42:9,10	39:8 43:4 93:17	255:18,20,25	118:10,12,21
48:8 85:21 86:12	199:17 200:23	256:3,19,24 257:7	120:24 121:2,22
89:8 96:19 98:1,3	213:4 231:12	257:13,21,24	121:23 122:10,24
98:18,18,20,24	pharmaceuticals	258:7,15,25 259:9	123:22 124:11
112:10 144:15	20:5 21:12 24:6	261:18 262:6,24	126:8 127:18,18
145:11 158:18	28:4,7 39:15	263:23 265:15	129:17 138:15,16
182:13 237:1	42:12 44:15 75:12	269:8 272:4 273:2	138:17,21 139:3,8
250:18 282:11,14	75:13 224:22	274:25 278:21	139:21,24 140:7
291:10 293:3,21	225:2,13,17,21,24	286:10 292:14	141:8 142:21,21
305:18,20 308:3	pharmacies 12:2	306:20 307:4	142:24 143:1
349:19 355:19	20:16 30:13,13,23	308:7 310:18	144:11,14,17
361:9	31:22 36:2 38:14	316:10 324:21	145:14,16,19
personal 32:21	40:18 41:2 43:20	325:6,12 328:4,11	147:1,8 148:14,18
73:25 102:8 132:7	44:3,11 46:25	328:16 330:22	149:5 150:2
206:13 290:17	48:13,22 49:1	331:3,19 332:5	151:11,22 152:3,5
293:3 319:23	52:20 53:17 54:4	333:15 334:4	152:7 153:17
personalities	58:20 59:12 64:9	338:20,23 353:1	155:6,18 156:1,6
278:15	66:1,9 67:13 71:2	357:23 360:1,7,12	156:14 158:21
personality	71:8,16 76:19	360:15 361:5,17	159:14,16,19
343:15	78:25 81:21,23	pharmacist 23:18	160:5,7,9,15 161:1
personally 235:14	84:10 87:1,3,20	28:16 32:12,13	161:9 162:22
235:17 250:17	91:20,21 101:16	33:3,13 34:7 35:3	163:3 164:17,22
350:12 352:21	116:3 123:2	40:5,18 41:5 48:9	165:12 166:18
367:11 368:15	124:17 125:2	54:12,16,23 55:7	167:6,9,18,21
personnel 74:13	131:16 132:17	55:14 56:16 64:13	168:9,12,20
78:3,16,22 142:17	134:9 135:1,4,12	68:17 73:25 74:12	170:21 171:1
150:10 282:24	135:18,19,24	74:14 76:2 79:23	172:11,15 176:16
297:14 339:5	136:9,16,24 137:2	80:9 82:20,23,25	176:17 177:24
perspective 76:5	137:4 138:5	83:9 85:20 86:4,7	180:15 183:1
77:15 145:6	140:20 149:20,21	86:12 92:8,24	191:19 195:22
pete 214:23 215:1	162:2 165:5,20	93:1,14,25 94:8	202:22 203:5
217:22 247:14	166:3,7 168:4,5,6	97:19 99:12	206:16 208:9,20
252:8 253:2	169:3,4,16,19,25	100:14,17 103:13	209:4 210:15,22
254:12 310:15	170:1 173:19	105:1,12,15 106:5	210:24 212:12
peter 2:3 10:15	177:23 179:13,13	106:8,14,22	213:17 262:17
27:8 175:20 176:6	179:15,18,22	109:18 110:8,11	264:17 266:17
176:19 184:1,20	181:15 186:4	110:23 111:5,9,21	267:25 268:7

[pharmacist - pharmacy]

Page 46

	,		
271:12,18,19	133:23 147:7	46:24 49:23 52:21	175:19 176:2,6,15
272:13,15 275:22	148:9 159:24	53:6,10 54:3	177:23 178:2,5,8
276:21 282:17	162:24 163:20	59:19,19 61:15,21	178:10 179:18,20
284:16 286:3,3,6	164:1 166:13,20	66:16 67:4,25	180:4,6,16 181:22
290:5,9,12 291:14	166:20 167:4,10	68:5,19 69:3	182:6 184:1,19
292:22 293:24	168:25 169:10	71:13 72:1 73:19	185:16,22 187:25
294:2,3,5,7 296:9	170:7 171:4	73:23 74:15 77:10	188:13,15 191:7
296:16,18 298:16	176:14 189:21	77:14,18 78:12,17	191:18 192:15
303:3 305:19	190:9,15,16 191:1	78:25 79:4,4,11,16	195:7 200:22
309:1,18 315:8	191:3,6,10,25	79:18,23 80:9,20	201:9,13,17 202:2
319:24 320:19,25	194:19,21 195:1	82:1,9,17,19,22	203:20 205:5,5,11
325:17 326:2,6,7,9	195:16 199:23	83:1 84:23,25	205:15,21 206:8
326:18 327:2,10	203:10 206:13,22	85:14 86:3,7,19	206:10,12 207:2
328:1 334:18,23	212:5 213:18	87:6,21 88:7,12	208:20 209:5
335:5,14 336:6,14	242:16 258:1	91:1,4,6,8 92:2,10	210:15 211:24
336:22 339:10	264:6 265:1,7	92:18 93:13,21	212:14 213:11,12
340:1,11,12,15	269:8 272:6	95:3 109:6,10	221:21 222:9
341:3,16 343:8	276:21 283:23	110:1,4,7,12	227:2,10,15,24
344:2,14 346:6,11	290:16 294:9,19	111:11,19 112:3	228:6 229:1
346:12	296:5 309:2	112:13,13,16,17	230:13 232:3
pharmacist's	319:20 322:7,10	115:21 119:12	233:14,24 234:3
128:23	325:21 328:16,24	124:2 125:1,9	235:21,23 238:22
pharmacists 22:8	334:10,24 335:20	126:5,15 127:5,10	241:2 242:2,9,21
22:16,17,23 23:2	336:12,25 337:3	127:23 128:1,4,5	242:23 243:25
26:8,25 28:15,19	342:8 350:20	128:17 129:2	244:1,5,15 245:5,9
30:14,22 31:1,11	354:4,10,14 355:3	130:11 134:17,22	246:5 248:22
31:15,18,20 32:2	355:4,20 356:5,16	135:2,8 137:11,13	249:3,6,11,15
33:7,17,25 36:3,5	356:20 357:24	138:1,19,25 139:4	250:22 251:6,17
41:1,8,14 46:14,23	360:15 361:12,16	139:10,15,18	255:13 257:19
56:19 63:24 64:7	361:20 362:2,3	141:17 143:4,13	259:7 260:7,19,20
64:20 75:8,19	pharmacy 2:17	146:18 147:1,10	261:20 262:14,17
76:5 78:21 80:20	4:8 6:6 10:25 11:2	147:11 148:7,10	263:4,6,18 264:16
83:4,18 89:5,11	12:5,20 13:25	148:14,19 150:20	265:20 270:8,13
91:21 92:4 94:5	14:19 17:10 18:4	150:21 151:20	270:16 272:9
94:11 95:1,18	20:22 21:21 22:22	153:21 154:6,14	273:6,25 274:2,8,8
98:7,14 99:22	22:23 23:3,14,23	161:6 163:15	274:25 275:5,10
100:7 101:9	27:9,15,19 31:11	165:11,23 166:13	275:12 276:10,17
104:18 105:19	32:23 35:5 36:12	166:17 167:13,13	277:8,12,18,19
114:17 119:8,11	38:20,23 40:2	170:12 171:9,18	278:4,6,8,22 280:6
119:23 120:4,15	42:19,21,23 43:2	173:12 174:1,12	280:16 282:12,25
121:18 128:2	44:12 45:2,4	174:22,24 175:15	285:3,3,4,15,16

Veritext Legal Solutions

[pharmacy - position]

286:4 288:3,23	282:3 297:3	145:5 153:10	15:3 16:6,17
290:25,25 291:12	354:24 366:3	155:23 158:4	65:22 174:8 183:7
292:5,11,18 293:7	photocopy 21:20	163:12 288:15	184:5 208:17
293:15 294:24	40:20	289:5,23 290:15	252:7 254:19,25
295:5,11,19 297:4	photocopying	290:22 294:24	279:24 283:7
297:25 300:24	41:21	298:24 300:2,5	285:23 293:11
301:9,11,18 303:4	phrases 76:25	302:19 315:17,20	322:25 323:4
303:10,18 306:9	physical 68:21	328:9 350:10	366:11,11
306:21 310:25	69:2 70:6 72:24	piszel 190:22	pled 177:8 198:22
314:25 315:14,21	73:4 77:5 113:1	192:22 193:9,13	225:2,8,13
315:24 316:2,17	125:13	pittsburgh 3:8	plus 177:11
319:22,24 320:4	physically 133:6	place 9:7 11:7	pocket 292:24
320:13 321:10,10	263:3	66:17 70:13 91:24	344:17
322:16 323:9,11	physician 21:16	107:2 134:7	point 26:11 41:7
323:14,20 324:2	22:12 28:16 30:20	155:20,21 160:8	91:25 140:13
324:20 325:22	32:9 41:16,22	304:2 320:3 353:6	147:7 191:11
326:21 327:7,23	46:25 50:21 54:24	353:9 364:19	204:15 218:8
327:24 328:13	62:25 63:17 64:1	placed 105:16	236:11 274:23
329:10,11 330:1,6	96:20 150:6 181:1	places 266:3	276:23 310:4
330:11,16,17,24	190:10,21 212:13	317:16 348:2	357:7
332:20 333:14,24	354:13	plain 19:10,18,25	pointing 311:10
334:21 335:17	physicians 26:7	plaintiff 310:7	poland 12:16
339:12 341:12	29:12 34:22 35:9	plaintiffs 2:2	police 18:17,24
342:12 343:2,5,12	35:15,18,20 45:21	10:16,18 216:21	20:13 22:1 23:12
346:8,11,15	61:23,23 198:9	217:13,25 252:7	34:20 42:11 43:13
347:13 349:15,24	pick 9:4	252:17 310:21,22	69:23 121:16
350:15 352:10,20	picked 36:8	312:5,7 321:16	194:23 249:7,16
353:12 356:24	picking 140:23	plan 323:5	policeman 18:2
357:3 359:24	picture 25:24 47:7	plaque 83:16	293:8 294:4
360:2 361:24	238:12 295:16	play 44:23 94:5	policies 272:5,14
pharmacy's	piece 261:25	115:5 214:3 217:4	272:23
261:23 275:6,13	342:10	played 44:11	policy 47:11
276:1 353:2,7	pill 264:13	plaza 213:14	polster 1:8
phentermine	pills 36:9,21	323:20 340:9,20	poor 327:12
36:16	223:25 224:11,19	340:24 343:22	poorly 248:5
philamena 352:6	240:10,11 246:11	plead 199:12	pop 348:9
phone 31:4 33:24	248:18 250:23	pleading 225:5	portion 115:17
38:14,22 53:14	251:3 259:18	pleas 277:3	117:16
99:19 152:14	271:2,7	please 9:3,5,24	position 23:23,24
182:25 207:1	pink 141:12,22,24	10:2 11:4 12:12	51:9 218:10,10
218:25 249:14	141:25 142:2,4	12:14 13:19 14:25	263:18 311:1
L	1	l .	L

[position - prescription]

312:2	practices 257:20	110:6 111:10	85:2 92:15 94:13	
positive 54:9	practicing 35:15	110.6 111.10	95:11,23 96:10,15	
350:9 357:4	35:18 212:5	112.24 114.13		
		248:22 263:14	97:2,10,19,24 98:1	
possession 85:23	pratt 3:12		98:2,19,25 99:17	
253:9	pre 121:13 207:8	271:6,8 300:13,18	99:23 100:18	
possibility 216:12	209:21 211:9	300:21 308:9,10	103:11,18 105:15	
217:3 283:11	preached 336:20	prescriber's 97:4	105:17,18,21	
possible 9:6	337:11 356:1	prescribers 29:7,7	108:16 109:16,20	
219:24 273:20	preacher 336:21	29:18,19,20 30:4,9	109:21 110:12	
359:22	preceding 108:21	63:12 98:6 112:25	111:5 114:19	
possibly 78:5	112:24 114:16	113:8 199:22	115:3,13,24	
post 18:12 206:2,3	predict 215:2	209:1 261:7	116:15,24 117:9	
206:3 240:13	predicted 342:14	300:14 316:16,21	117:14,20 118:17	
postdates 247:17	345:9	332:8,16 333:17	119:1 122:16	
potential 105:3	predicting 215:2	prescribing 27:24	123:4,15 124:12	
115:10 128:18	predina 174:23	29:21 35:25 39:19	152:24 155:12	
129:21 139:10	183:1 201:22	44:22 63:18 64:18	156:10 157:6	
230:17 231:14	202:13	97:14 113:13,15	169:5 170:13	
361:13	preferred 337:2	113:17 114:8	171:1 178:23	
potentially 33:17	preliminary 16:3	117:6 118:6	181:2,19 190:9	
pounds 345:15	premises 69:14	154:25 186:11	199:24 200:4,6	
powerful 211:12	prep 91:14	193:3,4,5,8 196:3	210:11 223:25	
powerpoint	prepare 16:20	198:4 199:9,22	224:11,19 226:1,1	
228:23 230:12	90:10,13 235:18	204:13 210:4	226:3 229:10	
powers 64:25	prepared 101:4	223:24 224:10,18	231:21,23 232:21	
342:11	135:7 136:8	237:22 243:4	234:4 236:22,24	
practice 22:11	prescribe 85:21	248:22 259:20	238:13,14,17	
29:22 30:19 33:12	86:13	268:22 269:20	239:1,6,11,12,18	
77:18 86:19 89:10	prescribed 28:11	270:13	241:5,15,22 244:1	
91:20 92:2,10,18	28:16 35:9 63:20	prescription 1:6	244:2,3,5 246:22	
92:25 93:13,20	92:12 182:13,15	9:12 20:15 21:5	247:7,11,25	
95:3 96:1,7	182:17 194:25	21:14,16,17,18,19	249:10,12,12,21	
110:17,18 113:1,9	235:4 238:18	28:24 29:5,11	251:24 254:2	
113:14 117:2	260:3 284:18	30:16,18 35:5	256:8 258:17	
118:6 193:9 278:7	prescriber 20:15	38:2 39:24 40:9	259:2 262:19,25	
287:25 342:11	21:8 28:13 29:10	40:12,13,21,24	263:9 265:9 268:1	
356:25	39:25 57:6 92:24	41:2,15 50:10	268:10,15,25	
practiced 35:20	92:25 94:2 95:25	51:20 59:6 60:8	269:5,10 271:14	
139:1	96:5,6,9,16 97:1,9	61:5,11,12,15,19	271:21 274:3,14	
practicers 35:14	97:14 105:8	62:6,14 75:25	284:20 294:15	
	106:10,17 109:5	76:9,16 78:1,10	298:15 308:20	

[prescription - problem]

309:7 314:17	212:13 242:3	242:22 243:7	270:25 321:16
316:22 317:4,8	243:21 244:12	283:15 301:7	351:19
318:1,2,15,24	245:23 246:10	323:24 331:7	priority 58:7
322:10,11 334:3,6	249:18,24 251:25	335:4 344:24	prison 122:8
334:7,13,16 335:2	255:22 258:2,2	348:18 357:3	176:24
335:6 336:3,7	276:20 294:14	358:13 360:14	private 9:5
337:22 344:15	296:10 306:23	prevent 68:19	privileged 219:19
345:21 357:23	315:7 322:8	69:4 71:18 80:5	privileges 210:4
358:1 360:19,24	327:18,19 332:8	86:10 218:3 254:7	probably 21:3
366:6 367:3 368:3	332:16 333:17	254:10 255:7	24:24 36:8 39:13
prescriptions	334:3,25 341:20	prevented 71:23	79:20 81:6 84:6
20:16,17 21:8,9	342:9 344:10,25	preventing 274:9	177:15 182:23
22:12 28:11,20	353:13 358:5	304:1	185:4 188:6 198:7
29:4,5 33:18	presence 364:14	prevention 79:1,5	206:5 210:4
34:25 36:1,4	present 4:6 110:22	79:7,11,15,21,25	215:24 216:14
37:14 38:12,20,25	276:21,22	80:4,8,11 81:2	226:6 239:13
41:20 50:22 59:20	presentation 55:7	148:12 149:17,20	244:17 257:14
61:18,22,24 63:13	116:14 228:23	343:11 347:11	261:19 285:2
70:16 75:20 76:7	229:8 230:12	previously 108:15	287:24 301:7
92:12 94:1,4,9	234:21,23 242:1	184:21 244:24	302:14 309:11
95:7,18 97:5	249:1,2,5	271:2	327:12 355:13
98:11 101:10	presented 227:10	price 249:25	probation 207:17
110:16 112:23,25	presenting 115:13	primarily 22:20	problem 53:15
114:2,14 118:8	pressuring 185:8	44:4,5 79:22	105:4,4 121:10
121:9 124:7	presumably	80:19 96:24	134:15 141:5
128:23 141:21	304:25	145:25 178:24	158:10 170:14
152:20 161:7	pretty 20:19 22:6	281:15	179:19,22 180:2,3
167:5,7 168:19,24	26:13,24,25 27:9	primary 43:3	180:8 184:23
169:11 170:8	33:24 34:7 35:16	45:24 50:17 65:4	200:17,20 203:19
176:10 181:11,20	39:21 43:15,24	71:19 96:14	220:5 222:11,20
186:17,17,18,22	47:18 52:8 54:10	148:11 199:6,20	224:1,7,9,12,18,23
187:10,13,21	68:23 72:22 92:23	principally 273:21	233:3 234:17
188:11,14 189:17	99:9 119:22 124:8	principles 93:16	235:3 236:11
189:22 191:23	126:3,16 131:24	print 38:17 160:25	238:11 246:9
192:5 193:17,21	137:16 141:4	161:8	251:10 292:10
195:4,6 197:9,11	142:5 149:1,15	printout 161:1	298:11 305:11
200:19,25 203:3	154:4 180:10	181:10 300:20	320:9 325:18
204:10,21 205:8	183:16 189:7	prior 15:20 19:8	335:3 341:13,14
208:13,22,25	193:18 195:8	55:13 91:15 108:2	342:13,15,22
209:21 210:7	198:11,12 199:10	111:4 194:18	343:2 345:6
211:5,9,22,23	200:24 201:1	232:6 242:16	354:20 361:23

[problematic - pseudoephedrine]

	I		
problematic	128:11 169:5	program 332:15	59:15 63:3,18
262:19 265:10	198:25 212:23	333:16	66:23 67:14 73:25
problems 32:17	229:12 315:25	prohibited 16:16	86:4 94:21 128:16
35:22 59:8,16,22	produce 52:20	promised 102:6	139:9 140:1
59:23 106:15	63:22 275:22	327:17	145:20 152:11
119:23 129:3	produced 135:8	promotion 225:9	156:15 157:1
157:24 165:16	225:19 228:25	promotional 21:1	163:6 164:19
171:13,14,14,15	234:22	pronouncing	173:22 252:25
202:18,20 212:2,4	production 366:15	216:4	253:1 258:8
212:8,9,19,21	366:17,22	proper 97:13	267:21 294:13
213:1,20 226:3	professional 43:1	117:6 285:4,17,18	300:22 328:24
231:20 239:15	85:20 86:13 92:3	285:19	provided 11:17
251:14 339:19	92:7,9,19 95:3	properly 15:2	44:18 54:2 67:2
342:25 349:5	96:1,7 105:2,23	85:10 308:10	73:24 130:20
procedural 337:17	106:4,8 117:2,12	358:18	156:17 190:21
procedure 11:18	117:19 118:22	prosecute 21:7	252:22,24 273:10
285:12 288:24	119:4,6 122:2	98:9,13	301:11,24 308:13
363:7 367:5 368:5	159:19 166:13	prosecuted 30:9	332:6,13,21 333:1
procedures 66:24	309:3 312:17,23	316:15 319:25	344:11,21 346:10
67:7,15 68:14	354:3,10 355:2	prosecuting	providers 44:14
69:22 71:18 258:9	358:17	319:20	providing 53:18
proceed 12:8	professionally	prosecution 59:22	53:19 93:14
85:18 88:5 114:10	139:1 163:5	178:21 276:15	302:11 305:4
116:6 129:4	professionals	315:19	326:19
141:13 221:13,16	20:18 26:8 29:13	prosecutions	provision 69:8
276:25	profile 32:8,8,9	29:23,24 30:2	73:14 74:2 77:11
proceeding 10:1	38:18 54:19 63:17	31:16 99:5 174:16	77:22 84:2,16
221:12	75:3,4,4,5,5	174:16	87:15 88:25 89:1
proceedings 15:12	100:21 101:5,7,11	prosecutor 197:6	93:9 96:2 98:22
proceeds 14:24	101:22 113:20	277:2 351:24	99:22 101:8
process 37:9 81:22	114:7 153:22	352:6,12	105:11 113:3
81:24,24 104:7,9	183:5 261:21,22	prosecutor's 349:2	116:21,23 127:14
106:2,13 115:7	263:12,14 269:23	prospective 102:3	129:11,22
116:8,10 122:16	308:3,13	103:21 117:10	provisions 66:12
124:7 132:10	profiled 100:19	122:12,13	76:22 83:21,22
147:16 328:15	profiles 64:1 70:15	protect 70:14	89:10 91:19 92:18
processing 39:12	101:1,16 153:23	protecting 277:9	93:6 95:16 98:6
39:22 40:7 50:12	182:9 192:5 205:9	protection 69:24	100:15,24
82:5 95:7,11,18	profit 200:12	provide 23:4	pse 287:5,8,12
103:11,18 104:1	214:7	26:19 31:15 33:6	pseudoephedrine
123:4 124:11		45:20 53:22 59:7	287:13

[public - reading] Page 51

public 69:19 277:9	pursuant 12:18	quarter 174:21	334:19 337:25
364:6 365:13	13:24 78:19	176:9 178:9,17	338:9,14 345:10
367:10,18 368:15	100:20 102:3	180:10	356:3 358:22
368:23 369:23	103:14 105:7	query 55:7,14,19	362:6
published 233:13	123:8,16 126:13	56:16 57:6	quick 172:14
puerto 2:8	217:18,18 363:3,6	question 15:1,5	194:5 228:12
pull 64:13 162:11	put 13:8 35:11	30:18 34:15 40:19	323:24 338:8
172:6 192:5 226:9	37:18 40:3 46:3	63:17 88:18	quicker 61:2
252:19 341:10	51:15 71:12	109:23 116:4	_
pulled 207:3 298:8	119:10 122:8	118:17,20 124:9	quickly 304:21,25
298:9	129:19 152:16	158:14 161:16	quite 14:20 34:19 299:25 351:3
pulling 37:2	153:4 162:13	181:14 194:5	352:1
191:23 323:21	166:16,24 177:1	200:24 201:6	r
purchase 75:4	186:23 187:17	223:8,21 233:15 242:18 253:5	r 164:16 184:18
256:4,11	195:12 200:15		319:12,12 350:17
purchased 37:7	201:21 203:19	262:10,21 276:20	350:17
45:19 256:25	208:15 212:10	289:4 294:20	radius 38:14
283:24	288:4 289:1	330:5 334:23	ran 36:14 200:13
purchases 283:14	290:14 292:24	342:14 346:20	271:16
purchasing 36:17	296:1 297:4,6	questionable	random 54:22
256:15,18	304:2 308:2	75:12,21 76:7	randomly 124:16
purdue 1:10,13	323:23 335:11	119:1	range 180:11
224:21 225:2,8,13	337:18,21	questioned 139:21	316:19
225:17,21,24	putting 119:19	190:11 321:17	ranger 347:20
pure 46:13	152:19 153:8	questioner 338:1,6	rank 19:20 21:2
purged 181:8	185:6 326:16	questioning 31:25	rate 233:6 236:24
purported 98:2,19	pweinberger 2:5	109:18 189:22	237:1,2
98:25	q	201:6 310:16,16	raul 180:5
purporting 97:23	q&a 334:20	310:17 313:2	rbarnes 3:9
purpose 28:12,22	qualifications	questions 14:25	reach 21:2
29:11 59:11 60:5	78:21 82:6 89:12	39:5 55:1 114:6	react 34:13
62:11,12,13 71:19	qualified 193:7	116:1 164:25	reactions 337:20
95:24 96:11	364:8	188:16 194:16	read 66:22 70:4
116:25 118:2,19	quality 26:4,6	214:13,15,16	140:10 245:19
133:9 141:16	228:14	216:2 218:1,3	250:9 297:21
271:22 307:3	quantities 37:15	248:12 268:18,20	307:17,24 355:23
purposefully 99:8	72:2 193:5 194:24	269:4 273:14,21	362:20 367:5,6,12
purposes 13:16	243:4	273:24 286:2	368:5,6,17
45:16 97:6 274:1	quantity 26:6	293:14 299:14	readily 133:16
274:6 280:9	69:12 71:5 101:6	310:11,19,23,24	reading 106:11
	116:5 122:23	317:20,22 324:17	150:3 183:3
	110.0 122.20		100.0 100.0

[reading - red] Page 52

209:23 366:19	63:16 64:11,20	350:3,24 351:1,4	125:14 147:18
ready 38:2 102:18	65:10,13 70:23,25	352:4,19 354:5	157:13,17 172:24
220:2 297:12	71:1 72:12 74:3	356:25 357:9,13	196:11,15 219:8
338:17	75:19 76:4 77:10	360:11,17,21,25	219:11 221:10,11
real 35:10 228:12	79:3,10,14 80:17	361:23	229:19,21,24
realize 255:12	85:13 86:2 87:18	recalling 53:12	230:1,4 267:11,15
realized 121:21	90:7 92:1,17	165:17 307:16	279:15 280:13
211:8	93:19,23 94:10,25	receipt 70:1	300:8 307:18
really 52:10,11	96:2 103:16	366:18	310:12 311:14,25
79:25 82:11 90:14	108:12,21,24	receipts 249:11	313:10 314:5,8,12
92:21 113:23	109:2,3 125:24	receive 31:22	329:24 345:10
119:20,25 148:21	127:20 134:1,9	235:5 260:9	359:11,14 362:13
148:24 157:3	135:3 142:25,25	received 12:23	368:9
175:17 176:4	144:18 149:17	112:22 114:14	recorded 9:10
181:9 185:3	150:7,11 152:4,5	185:9 237:14	334:20
189:12 192:7	156:11 158:22,23	284:20 308:14	recording 9:7
200:9 211:6 216:8	163:19,23 164:21	receiving 242:3	recordkeeper
236:19 290:10	164:23,23 165:10	243:21 244:12	327:12
303:6 307:20	165:13,16,19,22	recess 81:12	recordkeeping
313:25 331:24	167:16 168:22	102:22 196:9,13	59:4 60:6 123:17
341:21 342:22	169:13,14 170:5	219:9 230:2	131:12 133:18
349:9 355:12	170:25 171:3	267:13 314:10	134:15 284:6
realm 188:2	174:11,14,25	359:12	335:22
reason 62:17,20	180:1 181:22,23	recognition	records 74:10
70:4 112:22	186:21 195:12	251:22	126:13 133:13,19
114:13 126:23	198:16 199:4	recognize 55:15	133:24 140:2
134:24 141:2	202:9 208:8,11,11	68:9,11 89:1,9	153:22 154:20,21
183:10 232:4	225:23 248:24	93:5,6,8 95:15	163:17 168:10
235:7,15 247:10	261:15,16 264:23	106:25 124:25	201:12 205:5
253:2,13,15,17	272:21 276:7	162:23,25 163:1	225:7 258:16,23
268:15 275:20	288:8 293:23	311:23	259:1,10,12 260:6
366:14 368:8	302:1 305:2 306:4	recognizing 56:16	268:23 283:23,25
369:3	307:2 308:15	recollection	284:9 301:11,24
reasonable 32:10	314:16,20 315:5	132:25 133:17	302:3,11 303:24
63:9	316:3 329:13	183:11 209:2	305:5 314:22
reasons 115:1	330:3,9,14,20	286:12 331:17	328:6,7 334:20
recall 14:23 21:25	333:5 337:16	348:6 356:4	346:14 353:14
22:15 24:25 31:10	339:7 340:5,14	recommendations	354:19 360:25
37:21,24 47:13,22	343:24 344:20	356:10	rectified 303:24
49:16,20 54:20	346:22,25 348:7	record 9:2,8,25	red 228:24 229:2,5
55:3,16 56:19,22	348:19 349:16	81:10,14 103:2	272:14

[redirect - remember]

redirect 310:6	279:25	128:13 129:12	release 209:9
redistributing	refuse 119:5	132:17 133:13	relevant 68:6
197:5	refused 195:2	regulations 33:19	relied 43:11,14
reduced 364:13	regard 76:5 92:6	72:19 88:13	354:17
reed 120:19	regarding 42:18	107:22 120:22	relievers 235:4
refer 12:4 14:8	45:25 94:22 116:1	123:21 129:23	religiously 356:1
289:15	194:24 204:13	130:22 131:4	relocated 150:20
reference 45:1	209:9 233:25	134:10 154:25	rely 41:15
47:8 97:17 98:17	247:21 259:2	168:14 258:13,14	remaining 311:4,8
101:3 149:11	266:15 272:4	275:6,14 291:1	311:10
153:21 161:23	302:6 318:23	295:1 360:8	remark 14:7
208:19 287:8	324:18 341:15	regulator 43:3	remember 14:17
306:6 366:7 367:2	348:21 356:23	regulatory 26:1	37:22 53:3 57:10
368:2	363:2,11	49:24 103:17	57:12 76:24 93:2
referenced 27:18	regardless 64:15	104:22 112:15	95:20 96:3 119:13
33:15 35:17,24	regimen 121:12	133:5 144:2	119:16,18 127:22
140:16 202:6	regional 184:18	337:13	130:23 137:15
203:7 207:5	registered 344:2	reik 350:16 351:9	140:5 142:19,23
343:23 356:24	registrant 67:2	rein 345:7 347:16	142:24 144:12
364:13,17 367:11	68:2,4	relate 283:8 284:5	145:12,13 147:10
368:15	registrant's 69:25	related 9:21 20:14	149:14,14,23
references 86:18	registrants 66:23	33:17,23 37:6	150:22 154:17
105:23 106:20	registration 44:18	83:22 87:5 94:15	155:21 156:19,25
143:12 160:14	82:19	158:14 246:9	157:5,6,8,24
189:21	regroup 129:2	322:14 342:18	158:18,19 159:13
referred 119:15	regular 134:18	344:21	160:2,3 163:20,23
317:20	335:9	relates 1:8 66:15	164:14 172:15
referring 19:4	regulate 24:5	181:14	175:2,5 177:3,5,9
21:13 39:23 69:6	27:15 91:19	relating 225:22	177:17 178:8
99:1 160:22	regulated 89:5	relation 322:3	179:3 182:7
refers 78:16 289:5	regulating 42:23	340:12,25 341:2	186:20 187:16
289:19	46:23	relationship	188:9,13 195:10
refills 115:12	regulation 34:4,5	294:11 321:1	197:2,23 199:6,13
122:24 259:25	103:13 104:2,2,25	relationships	204:3,17 207:23
reflect 225:8	105:11,22 106:12	354:4,9,10 355:2	207:25 208:1
reflected 353:22	110:21,24 111:3	355:15,16	209:6 210:21,25
reflecting 195:16	111:14 112:15	relative 365:2	211:4 244:25
reflection 340:1	115:18 117:17,18	relatives 241:6	245:1 250:15
refrain 254:19	119:3 122:12	relaxants 248:17	261:21 279:24
refresh 101:2	123:11,24 124:8	260:4	286:13 294:6,18
183:11 209:2	125:1,5 127:7		298:2,20 299:4

[remember - resolve]

306:3,13,18	111:6,21,23 124:1	280:7,15,21,25	95:19 117:18
317:21,23 319:6,8	128:16 131:10	281:1,4,23,24	118:25 126:2
320:1,2,4,10 321:8	140:4,8,10,11	282:8 286:9 291:4	132:17 134:10
323:13 325:24,25	141:7 148:1,16	291:21 292:17	147:5,6 153:4
326:24 329:1,3	149:4 156:23	293:14 294:21	161:1,5,17 166:8
331:15 332:1	157:25 163:9	300:14 325:5,9	216:25 244:3
337:7,7,8 339:16	168:18 179:4,5	333:3,8 339:1	259:6 304:21
340:7 341:17	202:1 207:8	353:22	334:5,11 366:25
344:8 346:9 347:9	239:11,19 241:5	represent 12:1	requirement
347:9 348:14	271:16 272:1	91:11 219:20	62:11 63:9 66:21
357:24	286:16 287:22	227:22 359:2,18	67:10,13,17 71:3,9
remembered	288:3 289:13	representing	72:10,20,21 74:4
107:21	294:9 297:19	10:23,24 91:6	85:8,14 86:3,14,21
reminds 264:19	298:16 299:14,16	313:24	86:25 99:10
remote 1:18 2:1	300:1,12,19	reproduce 21:20	100:21 103:17
3:1 4:1 16:10,15	302:13 303:19	request 105:25	108:22 109:2
125:14	304:9,14 305:14	106:5,23 111:6	122:18 123:17
remotely 1:25	306:5,11 307:8	150:4 301:18	129:20 132:22
9:16,24 11:8	309:22,24 333:11	308:12 323:3	133:6 144:3 166:8
remove 205:4	353:24	328:17 349:13	334:19 335:15
renee 1:24 9:20	reported 108:11	368:9,11	336:8 337:14
279:10 364:6	108:14 111:5	requested 32:13	requirements
365:13	112:23 114:15	126:11 151:11	65:25 67:5,20
renewal 88:24	115:13,15 148:6	165:12 171:7	68:7,8 70:18
330:7	190:5	173:23 184:17	71:17 74:16,19
renewals 44:12	reporter 5:18 9:19	275:24 300:13,25	84:17,24 85:7
renewed 88:11,20	11:4,6,9 16:7	301:12 302:11	101:12 103:25
reorganization	174:4,5 279:13	308:4 363:1,6,10	123:5 133:18
351:13	367:7	requesting 105:5	165:3,5 166:4
repeat 174:7	reporter's 5:16	105:25 106:20	169:6 335:22
replied 164:2	364:1	108:22 115:14	353:2,7
reply 164:4,6	reporting 32:6	150:1 302:3	requires 103:13
288:22 290:3,19	54:5 108:17 308:4	requests 153:13	258:6,25
295:9 304:16	308:8	156:21 339:14	requiring 59:9,17
305:3	reports 6:7 17:15	require 63:4,8	93:14 123:22
report 32:7 37:16	36:14 53:4 132:14	88:21 105:11	residents 232:18
38:13,15 53:1	135:8 136:8,14,21	161:18 258:14	resistance 127:14
54:14 55:2 87:23	145:8 160:14,24	295:9,19,21,22	resolve 105:3
88:3 105:6,7	165:4 166:25	required 49:4	156:16 164:7
106:1,2,5,20,23	170:17,19,20	54:13,21 67:13	348:23 354:6
108:19,23 109:1	264:21 276:11	72:7 84:10 86:8	

[resolved - right] Page 55

	120 (144 15		2.0
resolved 158:1	138:6 144:15	retired 14:21	rey 2:8
348:25	145:11 158:17	24:20 55:10 84:5	reye 176:3 184:18
resort 127:13	159:16 167:25	84:22 106:24	185:11 201:24
respect 22:24 28:6	172:5 175:20	131:18 138:20	202:14
71:17 216:8,10	282:11,14 293:21	176:1 238:7 351:8	rhodes 164:15,16
231:10 239:17	294:2 305:18,20	351:16 353:19	rick 79:10 144:15
243:2,12 263:10	341:24 354:17	retirement 55:13	144:16,18 165:15
265:12 271:1,6	responsibly	56:21 126:10	173:1
276:16 277:12	173:16	242:16 247:17	rico 2:8
289:12 298:17	responsive 328:16	351:20	right 16:5 30:21
301:19 302:10	339:6,10	retiring 184:22	42:4 49:25 55:21
310:14 325:22	responsiveness	retrievable 133:16	57:4,16 62:24
327:1 328:8,14	349:13	returned 366:18	71:21 74:6 76:3
334:12 345:6	rest 96:18 250:9	revealed 272:23	80:2,22 83:9
respond 32:18	restate 15:3	273:1	87:25 88:2 93:18
responded 301:19	restricted 87:9	review 59:3 74:23	94:15 95:14,22
304:20	restroom 81:7	75:2 76:16 102:3	96:22 97:1,11
responding 303:18	rests 96:15 97:18	103:14,21 106:9	100:25 101:1
358:8	117:8	111:6 115:7 116:8	102:15,19 111:14
response 15:7	result 61:20	117:11,16,24	112:5 113:19,25
128:17 155:25	240:22 242:10	118:1,6,9 122:14	118:18,19 119:19
156:9 157:1	243:5 296:3	149:12 154:21	124:6,16 125:4,16
163:13 349:14	326:18	187:8 193:21	127:4 128:16,19
responses 16:6	resulted 29:17	275:11,11,19,22	133:20 136:1,21
157:4	31:15 36:24,25	363:2,6 366:12	140:18 142:12
responsibilities	276:25 308:7	367:1 368:1	144:4,6 145:7
26:12 28:15 54:18	resume 102:10	reviewed 108:20	146:17 148:21
responsibility	retail 86:24 87:1	158:4 308:1	149:5,24 150:25
28:22 29:10 92:14	135:1 250:22	reviewing 105:6	151:15 154:17
92:24 96:15,19	251:4 255:12,25	105:25 193:14	157:20,23 164:3
97:4,13,18,21	256:24 257:7	reviews 169:7	164:17 169:20
98:10,15 105:14	258:15,25 260:7	revised 27:14	170:22,24 173:2
105:17 117:6,8	260:19,20 261:18	31:24 45:25 46:12	183:4,4,17 186:19
118:12 119:16	261:20,23 262:14	63:10 65:18 66:1	189:5,9,14 190:13
120:1,5 167:23	265:20 272:4	66:6,15 83:22	190:23 192:6
172:4 175:22	retain 192:20	84:9 93:7	194:15 196:6
308:10 342:3,7	193:20	revocation 206:19	205:24 206:2
345:22 354:23	retained 5:18	revoked 87:9,21	209:15 213:11
responsible 32:12	362:17	88:8 165:8,24	217:3,7,10 218:16
32:24 80:25	retire 185:9	330:18,21	219:3 220:3,10,21
105:20 119:9,11			221:3 225:22

[right - saying] Page 56

	I	1	1
227:20,21 228:4	ringing 354:24	room 151:22	rx's 283:14 284:13
228:14,20 229:7	risk 240:5	282:23 298:15	284:19
232:8 234:19	rite 4:2 10:8 12:3	320:15 346:13	S
235:1 237:8 238:8	12:7 79:19 114:21	ross 208:21	s 2:14 164:16
238:25 240:3	114:23 137:21	rough 345:18	208:5,5 366:15
241:20 243:16	171:9,10,16	roughly 316:20	368:8,8 369:3
244:13 245:3,10	172:11 173:9	roundabout	sabatini 300:17
245:13,21 246:5,8	189:10,12 194:20	206:25	301:3
253:19 255:24	195:15 208:9,20	route 320:5,5	safe 78:18 86:19
256:6,20 258:24	209:4 210:22,23	routine 58:8 59:2	143:14
259:12 260:19	210:24 215:25	59:6,14	safeguards 86:10
261:2,14,19,23,25	266:8 308:7	rozzi 155:21	86:16,19
263:10,14 266:5	310:17 338:20,24	rph 55:18 160:24	safer 241:22
268:10 269:6,11	339:5,10 340:8,18	206:18 308:4,9	safes 69:15,16
269:13,16,21,24	340:20,24 342:19	rpr 1:24	safety 254:3 277:9
270:3 272:2	343:1,3,22,23	rubesich 160:6	salety 234.3 277.9 sakes 359:23
273:13 274:20	344:21 346:3,23	rude 120:17	salary 212:14
276:3,17 277:20	346:24 347:1,10	rudis 4:7 9:17	214:10
277:23 278:4,25	347:21 348:6	rule 67:5,23 73:25	sale 85:3 86:10
279:3 283:1	349:20 350:5,14	74:7 100:20 105:7	sales 54:3 75:5
285:22 286:22	350:20 352:20	126:14 129:10	283:13 284:24
289:8,21 291:21	353:1,11 355:2	rules 11:17 47:5	287:5,8 306:24
296:15,21,24	356:5,5,15,16,20	58:17,24 59:4	sampling 54:22
297:1 299:8,9,10	356:24 357:2,8,16	60:7 87:5 88:9,13	sampling 34.22 sat 43:24 327:8
299:13,15,18	358:18	120:21 247:21	satisfaction 145:1
300:11 301:6,20	rivera 2:7	274:2,9 275:6,14	158:1,2,3
301:24 302:8	road 112:10 137:1	291:1 295:1	satisfied 156:8
306:17 311:19	142:16 192:10	311:11 331:11	163:25 164:6
313:14 317:4	358:10	360:8 363:3,7	save 255:3
321:7 323:21	robert 3:7 4:7 9:17	367:5 368:5	saved 310:16
325:15 327:8,8	10:5 11:25 176:16	run 38:13 40:16	saw 28:9 59:20
334:5 337:6	203:6 257:16,17	53:1 54:14 55:2	113:21 144:3
338:21 339:1	role 21:7 46:10,16	63:16 64:1 112:9	166:17 180:15
340:9,23 346:18	46:20 58:19	271:25 273:3	190:24 213:20
347:21,22,22,23	207:12 251:16	running 55:1	292:10 306:12
348:7 349:13	roles 42:22 44:10	167:24	344:10 352:14
350:9 351:3	45:22,24	runs 111:21	356:11
352:21 353:13	roll 297:12	138:18	saying 71:11 108:4
355:3,4,24,25	romea 180:5	rustling 174:6	118:13 119:13
356:7	romeo 326:1,8,18	rx 3:10 284:22	121:13 133:3
	327:24 329:3	289:2	148:7 168:11
			170./ 100.11

[saying - see] Page 57

102 2 210 2 266 2	44 106 2 202 21	4 254 12	07.7.100.11
183:3 218:3 266:2	scott 196:2 293:21	secret 354:12	97:7 100:11
276:5 303:21	scrapbook 197:24	secretary 37:17	102:19 103:24
308:23 312:1,14	322:13,14,21	section 50:4 66:22	107:4,16,17 108:4
312:16 330:4	351:25	68:10 70:12 72:25	109:7,8 113:7,20
357:15,18	screeched 319:7	73:17,22 77:9	114:1,5 116:2
says 46:11 50:8	screen 220:11	78:15,16,19 84:19	119:2 129:3 135:9
67:21 73:24 78:17	221:7 226:22	94:25 101:1,12	135:15,16 136:7
84:4 85:19 87:2	228:21 229:15	103:12,20 123:25	140:15 143:7
97:13 102:2	232:12,13,15	124:23 126:13	144:15 146:2
103:14 105:1,5,24	252:13,15	133:12	147:22 148:2
108:9 109:3 111:4	scribbling 21:10	sections 27:15	150:11 154:4
112:21 117:5	script 34:2 100:8	101:24 264:22	155:19 162:12
124:1 125:8,18	214:11 336:16,18	secure 69:16 74:20	163:18 166:14
126:11 127:8	346:6	288:7 298:11	167:7,20 168:6,8
132:24 133:13	scripts 167:18	secured 71:22	169:9 170:6
160:23 184:16	168:7 188:1	72:7 74:11 143:13	172:17 178:20
190:4 194:18	197:20 203:2	143:16 151:20	180:14 183:8
205:24 208:20	207:3 213:13	297:25	188:17 189:3,17
220:18 227:9	214:6 323:22	security 59:4 60:6	189:23 194:17
231:21 232:20	324:1 336:15	66:17,20 67:4,7,9	201:5 202:3,4,8
233:3 234:3 235:3	341:7,9 355:21	67:12,20 68:1,3,7	204:5 205:16
238:11 241:2	se 161:13	69:2 70:17 71:2,9	220:6,7,12,19,24
254:22 285:8,24	seal 365:6 367:15	72:10,19,20,25	221:8 226:20
286:5 287:7	368:21	149:12,13 166:3,8	227:8,13,14
288:15 295:24	sealed 13:7 226:11	283:10 288:6	232:13 234:21
297:13 303:23	226:15	298:7 353:2	237:2,5 242:4,7
304:4 312:4	search 37:1 179:7	sedated 115:12	252:20 279:22
schedule 40:1	179:9 184:3,4,6,13	116:13	280:22 281:6,7,11
209:22 230:15,16	187:7 189:20	see 13:21 14:2	282:1,5,13,21
230:21 231:3,4,5,7	190:2 194:3,9,18	20:21 22:9 30:18	283:16 286:18,21
231:10 236:4	208:3 243:7	32:18 33:9 34:11	288:13 289:12,20
244:4	second 18:8 36:14	36:18 38:11,17	291:20 293:1,20
schierholt 57:19	58:13 96:23 97:6	39:16 41:4 48:20	297:3,13 298:9,12
57:24	97:12,12 99:7	48:23 50:6,8,15	299:20 300:3,4,16
school 239:10	102:2 103:12,17	55:6 56:18 58:11	300:17 301:13,14
sciences 93:17	129:8 160:4,10,13	58:12 59:1 60:14	303:12,13 305:16
scope 22:10 134:1	160:20 163:9	61:22 62:5 63:20	305:17,22 306:16
231:20 233:3,25	219:1 296:22	64:8,14,17 69:10	307:13,14 313:9
235:2 238:10	301:23 303:6,22	73:2 75:19 80:12	313:11 314:2
248:12 255:9	307:12 311:25	80:15,15 82:3	331:5,6 334:22,24
278:18	343:21	83:2 85:7 93:12	335:24 338:8

[see - signed] Page 58

351:21	sentence 60:3	shared 253:3	shorten 262:21
seeing 55:12 113:5	96:23 97:3,6,8,12		341:5
113:10,11 134:9	119:3 207:8	sharing 252:14 sheet 49:20 78:7	shortly 39:4 176:1
337:3 344:24	sentenced 207:11	129:6 141:12,22	shortly 39.4 170.1 show 38:18 61:1
		/	
358:4,5	separate 68:8	141:24 142:2,5 145:5 153:10	83:15 88:12 111:24 112:9
seeking 154:24	124:9 178:7		
seen 45:1 83:24	sergeant 184:9	155:23 158:4,8	121:4 126:8 127:2
111:22 116:21	serious 87:22	163:12 203:1	127:3 186:9
117:2 131:19	153:11 251:11	206:17 288:15	200:14 261:20
132:2,14 180:17	seriously 278:22	289:6,23 290:15	273:5 279:1
182:12,14 228:25	278:23	290:23 292:25	showed 61:14
232:24 234:12,15	serve 232:24	294:24 298:24	121:5 126:8
234:18 253:24,25	served 12:19	300:2,5 302:20	showing 175:8
269:15 315:15	13:25	315:17,20 328:9	183:10 243:20
sees 114:22	service 306:9,15	336:4 350:10	248:11 350:11
self 39:20 50:21,25	services 3:10	366:13 368:7,10	shown 132:20
51:13 198:4	227:12,25 228:2	368:18 369:1	207:7 244:24
211:11 214:1	session 5:14 103:5	sheets 49:18 142:1	366:16
sell 21:23 36:15	sessions 26:19	shelf 296:1 326:16	shows 84:7 202:12
85:1 200:4,11	set 38:14 67:5,22	sherman 3:18	221:7 232:16
206:9 258:2	109:24 114:4	198:10,21 209:9	236:23 244:11,14
285:21	120:3,16 133:21	210:1 211:10	245:14,20 279:25
sellers 86:24	137:17 252:4	301:15,19 302:4	shut 243:14,16,23
selling 29:3 39:20	257:4 280:15	323:19	shutdown 242:8
51:20 190:6	312:19 326:13	shibley 2:2	siba 91:12
sells 285:15	365:5	shift 38:5 167:19	sickly 210:5
seminars 18:6	sets 100:16 103:24	shipped 12:24	sidari 194:19
send 53:6,13 87:23	seven 133:25	211:13	side 73:8,11 281:9
88:3,15 125:2	161:23 216:8,19	shit 100:14	283:3 287:22
147:9,11 252:15	218:6 312:1,5,7	shkolnik 2:6,7	347:22
sending 327:16	313:3 359:11	321:23	sign 161:1,12
seniors 239:10	severe 62:18,21	short 81:18 121:3	210:7
sense 57:9 186:15	sex 214:2 327:18	143:11 146:3	signature 29:2
222:14 309:25	sexually 179:1	148:4 196:19	144:16 210:16
311:3	shack 329:21	210:1 214:19	211:6 291:9,10,11
sensitive 9:4	shaheen 79:10	273:19 285:6	291:13,15 363:5
sent 25:5 81:25	shapira 3:7	309:14 359:22	365:12 366:14
136:4 157:21	shapira.com 3:9	shortage 147:25	signatures 136:19
212:7 327:11	share 252:9,10,12	148:7,15,22 149:6	signed 136:14
344:14	279:9,14,25	343:6	146:24 160:14,24
			204:4,17 209:21

[signed - special] Page 59

202.15 201.24	aigton 167.5	grandles 120.16	gamta 261.6.262.15
282:15 291:24	sister 167:5	smoke 130:16	sorts 261:6 262:15
293:24 305:21	sit 127:21	snapshot 295:16	sound 250:10
367:13 368:18	site 80:10 125:11	social 93:17	sounds 30:21 43:7
significant 174:15	125:15,17,19	software 260:13	60:4 133:20 182:1
174:18 180:8,10	127:11 154:11	263:7,8 273:6,7,10	
215:10 226:2	181:11	279:9 331:7,15	294:10 337:2
333:7 360:12	sites 138:4 354:16	sold 176:17 205:15	
significantly	sitting 89:21	206:6 285:2	source 41:15
250:23	170:24 219:22	sole 224:7,9	97:21 182:1
signing 211:9	314:15 329:25	solely 105:14	200:22 213:3
366:19	333:23 355:12	solutions 9:18	247:9
signs 115:9 175:8	situation 215:9	362:17 366:1	sources 21:17 22:4
similar 48:9,19	315:15	369:1	190:5,17,19
113:21 114:9	six 24:24 52:3,13	solved 119:22	199:17,20
116:21 117:2	52:14,16 60:12,13	soma 53:8 326:6	south 313:2 349:4
171:8 269:10	106:21,25 107:2,6	somebody 228:9	351:10,11
270:1 330:5	111:8 112:9 115:9	291:11 308:16	southwest 349:4
338:23 346:22	136:23 137:4,11	309:5	space 78:2 291:5
similarly 33:6	142:9 218:20	someplace 313:2	spaeder 3:11,15
106:7 332:12	311:9 345:15	337:18 339:21	10:10
356:9	skill 93:15	somewhat 116:16	span 347:6
simmons 2:13	sleeping 248:18	soon 187:4 194:14	spangenberg 2:2
simmonsfirm.com	288:11	sophistication	10:16 221:6
2:16	slide 180:23 229:8	260:13	spanglaw.com 2:5
simple 38:25	230:12 231:19	sorry 56:3,6,10	speak 22:8 30:24
sincerely 366:21	233:2 234:3,20,20	57:23 58:1 62:1	80:1 89:24 90:3
single 37:2 78:6	234:21 235:1	97:12 107:8	91:14 100:7 109:4
79:6 170:12	236:20,21 238:9	122:12 167:21	213:7 350:1
sir 12:13 20:6 54:8	238:10,25 239:1	168:12 174:4	359:21
153:18 199:3	239:14 241:1,1	179:23 194:4	speaker 220:18,19
233:8 234:12	242:1 245:14,22	226:18 232:10	221:2,5
240:3 246:9	249:1,4,19 250:3	234:2 260:21	speaking 52:23
273:13 274:20	297:21 298:1	267:1 307:25	137:25 167:12,15
277:5 280:14,21	331:11	311:22 312:6	184:4 220:20
283:7 293:18	small 31:8,8 35:21	351:2 355:18	247:20 254:17
307:17 315:1	59:18 131:13	sort 65:21 130:24	255:1 311:18
316:7 317:12	345:15	142:5 143:7	350:7 360:5 362:1
322:25 324:9	smith 64:14 153:1	171:23 260:15	speaks 52:1 93:13
337:24 357:19	153:1	261:5 315:14	special 18:3 19:12
362:15 366:10	smiths 153:2	322:17 329:6	19:15 120:10

[specialist - stay] Page 60

		T _	
specialist 47:21	136:4 139:15	stand 277:23	67:4,14,24 68:4,18
48:1,4,6,8,14,18	157:16 158:8	292:21 304:10	77:18 104:11
174:23 180:5	161:10 167:12	standard 55:3	125:9 127:10
181:5 183:2	179:3 189:4 199:4	59:24 78:9 83:19	130:3 131:4 139:3
201:22 202:23	225:23 230:15	88:21 91:23	176:24 181:3
specialists 47:24	282:21 288:25	137:21 166:9	187:8 227:1,10,15
58:14,19 278:7,10	305:3 334:15	281:5 282:7,25	227:24 228:5
278:20	344:9	283:1 284:6	229:1 232:3
specialized 93:14	specifics 56:24	294:21	233:14 238:22
specific 16:4 24:23	93:22,24 104:20	standards 29:22	241:1 242:2,3
25:3,6 31:20 32:5	150:7,12 181:24	67:6,22 70:13	246:4 251:6
32:22,25 37:14	specified 364:20	77:10,14,22 132:6	257:18 262:4
42:9,10 44:21	speed 19:3 39:1	standing 210:15	272:10 288:23
52:25 53:4 57:10	spell 177:20	343:10	317:13,15 344:2
61:25 63:18,19	319:11	standpoint 104:23	364:2,7 365:14
64:23 94:25	spend 292:15	stands 247:10	367:10 368:15
112:17,17 113:14	325:8	start 30:10 47:20	state's 105:6 106:1
114:6 115:15	spent 42:20	49:20 175:18	stated 95:20
116:14 124:7	155:11 221:19	214:19 276:14	194:22 195:5
131:8 137:19	356:22	279:7,21 318:23	208:21 262:23
139:17 140:5	spoke 22:17 79:20	327:14 338:12	statement 96:13
143:1 150:1,4,20	81:20 90:23 91:12	started 23:13	165:4 166:1 169:4
167:16 168:24	190:19	34:20 52:4,13	174:7 232:2 233:9
169:15 170:5,11	spot 154:16,16	60:18 64:5,6	233:17 239:9,16
197:2 232:18	282:11	172:13 182:8	241:13 247:24
248:25 256:16	spread 122:10	185:8 186:9	367:13,14 368:19
269:4 277:2	207:3	193:13 202:16	368:19
281:23,25 289:9	ss 364:3	211:7 296:17	states 1:1 9:13
294:18 300:21,21	stabbed 176:21	299:18 341:12	25:19,20,25 58:14
308:13 316:8	stack 180:17	345:14	59:2 99:7
325:9 328:13	staff 36:23 47:20	starting 37:6	statewide 236:25
329:2,3,14 332:25	57:12 59:2 80:9	133:11 242:5	statistic 251:8
334:8,12,23 336:7	90:1 167:11	245:23	statistics 232:24
345:11 355:5	176:16,17 296:18	starts 40:8 142:12	233:22 234:12,15
specifically 14:17	305:19 320:25	245:16 299:15	237:17,18,25
20:4,14 24:8 33:2	staffed 78:18	state 9:24 10:2,24	243:19 245:12
65:9,15 72:11	166:12	12:12,20 17:12,24	status 83:8,13
77:1,23 79:3	staffing 166:15	18:10,16 24:7	statutory 66:11
91:20 92:20	stamp 6:7 280:7	30:2 36:7 42:18	130:22
105:12 111:3	stamping 23:8	42:21,22 43:6	stay 215:11 228:21
119:13 124:8,14		44:15 47:5 52:21	345:25 349:23

[steak - suggesting] Page 61

[•
steak 176:22	144:10,18,21	280:16,19 285:5,7	subsections
stealing 320:6,18	145:4,9 147:23	285:10 293:18	100:16
321:4 343:5	149:25 150:14,20	301:10 326:1	subsequent 205:10
347:13	151:8 152:1	327:9 340:22	242:10
stem 221:21	153:16 154:17	344:4,11 345:1	subsequently
stenotypy 364:14	155:4,17 158:13	348:13	203:8
step 101:10 102:2	158:25 159:9,15	strengths 271:1,7	substance 40:1
103:12,17 104:1	159:17,23 161:24	stricter 121:12,19	53:9 63:13 109:21
122:17 123:3,7,14	162:19,20 164:12	strictly 307:3	122:22 133:7
123:15 124:10	167:9 176:10,18	strike 19:11,15	187:10,13,20
141:23 305:8	176:19 177:25	47:1 70:21 290:21	197:8 204:10
335:16,19,19	188:9,10 206:6	structure 47:9,14	211:23 252:5
337:17,17,21,21	214:6 243:13,15	struggle 307:18	254:14 269:1
stephanie 159:13	243:23 281:17,18	studies 249:5	309:16
159:14	282:2 296:17,25	study 249:6	substances 44:23
steps 105:3,5	297:15 298:22	318:13	46:1,14 53:7 85:2
122:16 123:21,22	299:4 325:17	stuff 100:13	85:4,25 113:6
123:23 124:9,12	332:25 340:12,19	126:11 128:12	130:7 132:21
335:13 337:4	340:20 344:9	175:8 180:18	143:16,24 147:16
steve 10:10	346:23,24 347:1	stumbled 178:1	151:12 178:15
steven 3:16 57:19	356:24 357:8	style 347:19,19	179:24 181:16
stipulate 11:8	stored 181:11	subject 98:3,20	186:11 197:1,21
stock 45:18 78:1	262:8,12	125:11 143:17	209:22 210:13
115:4 284:1	stores 32:3 53:4	316:5	230:14,17 244:6
stocks 126:13	125:13 165:6	subjective 110:3	251:18 253:7,10
stole 241:10	166:11 167:6	116:16	254:8 255:5,14
249:16	213:1 325:15,20	submission 127:8	258:6,7,13,21
stomach 339:24	338:25	submit 84:11	259:11 316:7
343:18	straight 24:15	subpoena 12:19	substantial 67:22
stone 142:6	120:4 307:20	13:24 64:25	69:7,8 70:22
stop 16:13 35:11	straightforward	subpoenaed 217:2	199:11 211:22
49:14 93:18 102:5	31:2	226:25	213:3 253:11
191:10,14,20	streamline 310:19	subscribed 367:10	sue 153:1
220:1 243:3 249:9	313:9,12 314:2	368:14 369:21	sued 12:2 256:1
254:25	street 2:19 3:12,16	subscribers 33:5	suffering 222:2,16
stopped 313:2	3:21 4:3 20:17	subsection 66:22	sufficient 67:24
store 32:4,11	21:9,12 22:7 26:9	67:21 78:16 86:9	suggest 308:16
46:24 127:23	28:24 30:12 31:17	93:12 105:1,22	309:5
136:25 137:18	33:23 115:15	106:19 107:16	suggesting 223:4,6
138:14 139:24	189:9,11 198:17	111:3 116:19	223:18,20 311:24
140:13 142:17	209:18 241:23	127:7 128:15	

[suite - take] Page 62

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			0.00.40.4.5.5.5.
suite 2:4 3:4,13,17	supplements	surgery 240:10	260:13,16 262:4
4:3 366:2	104:16	surgical 240:13	262:12 264:11
summarize 77:13	supplied 266:17	surprised 215:4	300:8 304:1
summarized 77:16	supply 61:19,25	surrounding	330:23 331:2,6
summarizes	62:23 122:24	213:5	332:7 360:22
239:17	182:19	suspect 249:15	systems 69:17,18
summary 162:16	support 4:7 78:22	250:4	70:8 101:17
195:17 202:25	230:10	suspect's 249:9,19	254:10 255:7
352:18	supported 130:23	suspended 87:8,21	257:5 273:1
summit 322:2	supposed 56:20	88:8 165:7,23	314:17,21 331:18
superior 366:1	91:21 101:9	330:12	331:25 360:18,23
superstar 278:17	110:23 111:11	suspicious 75:13	361:1,4
supervise 74:15	124:7 128:15	75:22 76:6 119:2	t
349:21	130:21 152:25	134:14 189:17	tab 57:16
supervision 69:20	157:13 172:2	257:4	table 135:7
74:1,3 85:23 86:5	283:4 284:8	swanson 158:17	tablet 200:10
349:19 350:24	309:19 322:10	swear 11:4,9	295:25
360:16	sure 15:1 16:6	swift 3:20 5:9	tablets 21:19
supervisor 25:5	21:11 23:1 32:20	10:11,11 214:17	39:25 40:15,22
26:16 33:3 51:12	45:14 54:10 64:6	214:20,25 252:8,9	148:5 175:6
80:10 119:12	65:1,2,3 71:15	252:14 262:20	182:19,20 186:25
120:9,11 121:22	72:22 73:15 76:14	264:8 271:15	187:1 188:5 200:7
127:24 129:2	76:25 82:13 89:20	273:17,20 279:10	200:8 250:5
132:15 148:10,14	91:23 93:4 94:20	279:20 280:2	283:24 284:18
165:14 172:11	101:15 102:17	310:2,14 311:18	285:6,8 308:25
176:3,3 184:18	123:3 155:2	311:22 312:12,25	taboo 113:8
185:7,25 201:23	166:18 167:14	313:6,18,25	take 9:7 11:7 16:7
201:24 257:15	168:2 169:12	314:14 324:8	16:13 21:21 23:10
282:19 293:23	174:9 189:7 204:6	swipas 145:12,15	28:20 35:4 37:23
294:6 296:12,13	207:15 214:7	146:23 149:5	40:2,4,16,17 56:14
296:19 303:11	217:5 229:23	151:11 155:5	59:14 81:6 83:2
326:12,24 327:24	248:24 251:14	sworn 11:18	95:5 102:7,7,8,9
328:19 340:4	252:16 262:22	195:11 364:10	102:14 105:2
342:16 343:2,9	267:5 270:22,23	367:10,13 368:14	107:3,19 138:2
345:3,18 350:16	275:3,10 284:7	368:18 369:21	148:8 177:2 194:6
351:11	285:9 298:10	synopsis 184:16	194:9 196:7 198:3
supervisors 79:23	320:12 329:24	system 37:10,13	206:8,12 210:6
80:20 134:22	333:6 342:6 347:7	52:17 68:1,3	214:18,20 218:18
171:20 172:15	348:2,9 354:8	69:25 141:18	219:25 247:6
173:10 214:8	357:4,16	161:14,24 178:7	267:4 277:1
339:13		200:15 249:24	278:22 285:23

[take - tests] Page 63

		100 = 10= 50	
286:5,15 287:3,4	342:5 345:14	100:7 107:20	285:20
296:21 309:21	347:4,5 348:11	119:13 121:8,23	terminate 45:8,9
311:1 314:1	talks 231:19	122:5 138:23	terminated 346:21
334:17 335:8,14	tampering 50:11	174:1 191:19,24	terms 38:1 42:23
336:5 338:18	229:11	192:1 203:14	45:1 55:14 58:20
346:7	targeting 182:10	204:8,20 207:1	63:25 69:1 76:21
taken 9:10 102:22	targets 169:17	212:18 218:23	80:24 82:4 91:20
131:16 170:3	task 42:4,6,13,14	235:11 248:11	99:4 124:4,6
178:13 185:13	184:10 235:22,25	260:22 261:6,12	149:19,19 179:22
190:25 277:4	352:14	279:11 290:11,13	230:21 231:2
364:19	taught 27:1 30:11	296:23 302:24	242:21 261:1
takes 23:12	241:18	334:24 335:3	267:20 273:9
talk 17:2 28:3	tddd 44:25 45:6,13	336:12 337:4	335:13 349:12
47:20 62:4,8 90:3	82:15 205:23	355:25 356:21	terrible 215:1
90:8,13 181:12	tech 221:10	telling 41:7 167:19	territory 324:23
218:11 219:1	252:18 285:10	168:25 173:7,7	329:14
221:24 229:14	321:4 347:12	191:17 192:1	test 55:11
257:23 278:25	technical 4:7	276:6 288:11	testified 15:11,16
323:2 345:23	16:12 174:3	336:19 341:12	27:12 65:16 89:22
348:22	230:10 263:15	354:21	274:12,18 276:15
talked 54:23 57:11	technician 249:7	tells 249:16	277:17 291:19
90:16 105:24	249:16 315:8	ten 18:2,23 23:11	294:22 302:5
165:12 190:16,17	319:22,24 320:4	39:25 40:15,16,17	315:13 316:14
230:23 243:13,14	320:18 325:16,23	40:22,25 41:2	317:1,10 319:5
264:16,17 287:19	326:2,21 327:23	100:10 109:1	322:6 331:23
321:15,19,21,25	347:25 348:15	196:7 234:6	334:2 354:2
326:12 341:15	350:22 351:5	286:21 304:15	testify 275:25
348:4 349:12	technician's	321:8 351:7,19	364:10
359:25	350:25	359:7	testifying 13:23
talking 15:20	technicians 166:14	tends 294:24	16:5,18 17:6
21:10 30:1 55:22	technique 37:4	term 12:6 44:24	testimony 15:19
63:5 98:24 105:12	278:8,14	70:22,23 74:22	91:16 93:4 196:21
107:9,11 114:2	techs 167:24	98:21 99:2 109:25	221:19 254:25
116:23 119:16	teenage 240:15,15	112:15 171:23	319:6,8 332:2
146:22 183:1	teens 238:13,14,16	248:14 260:25	338:19 339:7
186:16 191:1	239:5,18 241:4,21	terminal 32:25	351:17 357:1,9,11
198:8 216:12	telephone 90:4	44:13,24 45:10	362:14 364:12,16
220:15 224:16	268:25 269:2	82:15 83:22 84:1	367:6,7 368:6,9,12
225:23 226:11	tell 17:21 31:21	84:16 85:10 87:13	testing 92:21
230:22 242:13	38:9 55:21 61:4	125:10 127:9	tests 21:1
281:8 341:18	76:19 79:20 100:3	205:25 282:16	

[texas - three] Page 64

texas 4:4	282:19 292:9	37:20 41:18 53:13	177:15 194:1
text 56:17	296:4 306:24	57:4 61:1,16,20	197:11 214:11
thank 12:11 81:11	307:2 322:18	64:21 70:11 71:10	325:10
214:13 219:4	327:15 329:8	81:6 89:22 90:8	thinks 111:10
228:19 230:9	335:10,21 341:5	92:16 96:25	third 13:19 72:23
244:7 273:18	347:20	102:14 104:7	72:24 73:4 107:15
280:2 313:18	things 16:8 22:10	116:6 129:4	122:17 156:22
324:9,10 350:21	26:16 28:9 30:15	132:22 138:8	160:4 216:10
354:25 356:2	31:8,8,9,23,25	139:22 142:1	256:10
362:5,15,17	33:24 43:12,17	158:20,21 165:14	thirds 306:8
thanks 230:9	48:18 52:8 63:14	165:19 169:1	thirty 366:18
273:14	71:15 76:17,23	177:4 180:12	thomas 4:7 221:9
theft 50:10 66:25	77:25 78:20 81:7	181:23 189:6	221:16 228:12,18
67:16 68:15,20	82:6 90:13,19	192:8 198:19	229:18 279:16,24
69:4 70:14 71:18	95:1,13 100:12	200:25 201:5	thorough 129:15
80:5 124:5 134:12	104:9,18 109:7	202:14 203:7,25	132:1,13 154:8
162:7 212:24	116:7 118:15	204:3 213:15	155:10 157:3
229:10 240:21	120:9,13,19	214:15 215:22,22	195:19 274:19
258:9 274:9 283:8	125:14 126:18	216:21 220:7,10	275:4,15 278:11
283:10,11 295:4	128:3 130:15	220:18 226:12	292:13,13 324:25
295:10 315:7	131:11,12 134:23	231:5 243:14	326:15
326:11 347:25	148:24 154:4	247:15 264:10,11	thoroughly 276:13
theisler 198:10	171:23 172:13	274:12 281:17	thought 33:14
209:10 210:2,8	176:11 185:7	285:1 287:2,12	72:8 80:6,7 95:11
211:9 323:20	188:20 193:14	288:10 289:18	169:1 187:5
themself 147:2	196:25 198:3	290:18 294:1	290:10 298:20,20
theory 317:21	202:24 261:11	297:3,8 299:3	298:21 313:6
therapeutic	277:7 283:4 287:1	304:15 307:1	323:18 355:13
104:11	290:17 296:10	311:15 312:12	356:11
therapy 94:15,22	299:8,9,11 303:3,4	313:1,7 315:16	thoughts 216:15
108:15	306:20 310:19	318:5 320:9,11	thousand 167:17
thick 131:3 322:22	313:9 314:2 315:6	321:4 324:9	168:18 283:24
322:23	315:9 316:25	325:15 326:3,4	thousands 179:6,6
thing 29:9 37:17	322:20 326:10,14	327:6 328:18	186:18,19
55:21 63:16 70:12	327:5 335:9,11,23	334:2 337:25	three 25:22 32:10
78:6,13 107:24	336:20 337:17	338:8 344:23	39:13,14 63:21
111:1 131:14	343:4 344:19	347:8,12 348:2,10	73:7 76:15,17,24
141:11,19 149:8	349:7,10 353:15	350:6 358:21	90:24 109:4
154:7 180:23	354:14 358:15	thinking 28:21	112:24 113:20
182:1,12 184:12	think 24:2,13 32:1	35:1 72:10 89:21	114:16 123:3
185:16 248:6	33:10 34:1 36:13	170:22 176:23	133:14,19,21,24

[three - trafficking]

- 3-			C
134:1,4 141:13	140:19 143:21	41:18 45:17 48:16	175:3 176:8
147:18 162:16	145:9 146:2 147:4	95:7 97:20 98:8	185:25 191:10,13
167:24 176:14	147:23 150:8	98:12,16 101:19	204:12,15 207:19
177:11 198:9,9,20	154:8,21,22	137:20 139:23	326:10 342:1
199:9,25 200:11	155:11 163:16	152:9 165:7,21	345:4,11 362:9
201:22 203:10	165:14 171:25	166:19 167:20	tom 202:13
206:13 214:1,2,3	173:6 174:19	168:11 170:2	tool 37:25 38:4
218:22 225:2,3	179:21 180:1	173:13,25 223:11	268:6,7
240:11 242:15	181:6 183:12,18	247:18 274:18	top 28:10 39:13,14
248:23 297:23	187:14 191:4,11	277:3 281:3	59:1 63:12,12
throw 127:19	197:19,23 200:21	295:18	73:5 133:3 169:14
322:25 323:4	201:2,7 203:6	tina 160:7	170:22 187:17
throwing 202:24	204:2 211:15	ting 138:18 139:8	194:2 199:25
ticked 302:15	214:14 215:9,15	139:20,25 142:22	208:19 220:12,17
tickets 126:19	215:17 217:8	142:24 143:1,10	221:3 246:16
tide 221:21	219:25 222:12	tip 209:3 329:2	256:17 277:25
tidy 127:1	225:20 227:18	344:21,23 345:1	282:3 297:14
tier 99:8,9	236:8 243:18	tips 145:20 294:13	299:8,9,11 307:5
till 35:10 312:22	246:3 247:16	328:24	325:16 327:5
tim 23:16 26:15	251:22 253:6	title 24:2 149:16	330:8 347:17,17
120:23 158:3	254:21,23 255:3	226:21 227:8	348:8 350:6
162:24 350:16	267:7 273:15,18	today 9:19 12:18	352:22 353:18,19
351:9	276:7 286:11	13:4,23 16:5	total 47:7 59:23
time 10:2 14:17	290:17 292:4,8,12	59:24 127:21	313:15 362:15
16:9 21:2,25	292:12 296:17	134:25 169:7	totally 83:6 178:7
22:24 25:8,8,11,11	300:22,23 301:23	170:24 215:17	touchy 347:16
26:11,21,21 32:10	303:6 306:15	221:19 273:19	tough 181:9
34:13,18 35:10	309:16,25 310:5,9	274:19 281:4	tougher 120:21,23
41:25,25 48:16	311:5,15 313:17	287:20 291:20	touhy 217:18
49:11 52:24 53:9	321:9 322:11	302:6 314:15	tracing 37:8
54:12 57:11 59:9	323:23 324:11,21	321:16 322:14	track 1:15 258:16
59:17 60:12 63:19	324:21 325:8	325:10 329:25	259:12 260:8
64:10,18 80:17	328:22 338:2	331:24 333:23	261:24 273:2
88:6,7,18 90:21	345:23 347:6	339:4 356:22	297:20 298:1,3
108:24 111:7,18	351:4 352:2,19	359:21,25 362:7	366:6 367:3 368:3
112:2,3 116:14	355:6,12 356:23	today's 362:14	tracks 298:4,10
119:12 120:5,5	364:19	todd 152:2,4	trading 250:1
121:22 129:5	timely 302:12	158:16 160:8	traffic 249:9
133:22 135:21	times 14:16 15:15	told 31:21 102:13	trafficking 39:11
137:14 138:11	21:22 27:18 31:8	104:8 120:12	39:14,15,17 51:5
139:19 140:10,13	33:4 34:6 40:17	121:3 131:5 172:3	51:14,21 128:10

[trafficking - type] Page 66

			_
198:25 212:24	141:4 154:8 193:6	199:18,19 200:17	tuttle 152:2,6
240:1 315:24	274:19 275:15	201:10 208:2,12	153:17 158:16
trail 12:16 285:4	310:15 324:25	211:17,18 213:4	160:8
285:18,20	336:22 354:3,6,9	213:10 219:20	twice 188:6
train 26:12,19	354:11,11	236:15 237:3,11	two 13:1,2,5 18:2
278:9	trigger 327:14	237:20 244:23	18:24 19:1 23:16
trained 25:13	trilogy 248:14	250:18 251:12	26:14 53:11 73:7
26:10,13,24 228:9	tripped 327:13	254:3 270:16	89:25 90:24 91:7
278:3,5	trophy 322:18	293:18 322:1	100:10 105:19
training 26:18,19	trouble 122:9	327:20 330:11,14	108:19 109:7
92:11	171:22 172:8	330:17,20 347:1,4	113:7,20 120:18
transcribed	173:3,6 279:10	348:3 349:2	121:1 127:24
364:15 367:7	307:17 336:23,24	351:23	132:23 133:1,3,8
transcript 5:1	336:25 349:12	truth 364:10,11,11	137:15 138:2
363:3,6,9,11	true 41:14 59:18	truthful 15:7	141:12 143:9
366:11,12 367:5	195:13 209:7	try 16:13 33:1	148:11 162:15
367:12 368:5,11	221:22,23 226:4	53:14 127:18	163:12 173:9,17
368:17	233:11,12,13,17	129:14 137:13	177:22 179:10,13
transcription	233:17 241:19	138:1 162:16	179:14,17 182:23
364:16	246:21 247:5,12	215:2 219:23	185:12 187:12
transmission	254:4,10 259:3,14	229:22 273:19	194:7 218:20,22
220:5	259:15,17,19,21	359:22 362:9	267:2 284:14,23
traveled 270:7	259:24 260:1,11	trying 32:2 45:17	294:9 300:14
travels 137:9	261:8,10 262:19	63:15 70:11 71:15	301:5 302:6
treated 121:7,7	263:2 265:10,15	76:5 97:7 121:23	305:25 306:8,9,12
275:8	265:16 266:8	155:20 167:14	306:14 307:7
treatment 97:25	268:2,11,16	168:9 172:23,23	327:22 334:3
104:15 234:6	269:12 271:3,9,14	186:21 218:2	339:4,12 340:2
tremendous 349:4	271:22,25 284:3	221:21 247:14	344:19 348:4,6,11
349:5	294:11 295:6	254:15 281:19	350:6,8
trends 262:16	301:7,21 302:2	287:12 311:2	twofold 96:25
trey 14:6,10 25:10	318:4 364:16	313:1,20,21 326:3	type 19:18 29:2
25:11 26:11 27:7	trumbull 1:12	347:12 348:10	39:6 41:4 69:11
135:10 184:11	17:19 24:14 25:1	349:20 352:10	69:11,14,14 71:3,4
190:18,20 228:8	42:13 128:7 135:4	353:17	90:19 116:21
trial 15:20 198:21	135:14,19 136:9	turn 132:4 247:14	125:14 128:12
199:12 217:5	136:24 137:5	293:12 303:8	141:18,19 179:2
trickle 31:7	150:5 165:20	305:10,13 318:14	200:1 213:22
trickling 358:9	172:12 184:10	318:24	276:15 305:11
tried 31:5 132:1	188:19 189:2	turned 131:24	335:8
132:12,13 138:3	197:6 198:8	195:6 229:16	

[types - veritext] Page 67

4 20 11 21 7	265 21 274 12	17.05	110 16 117 1
types 20:11 21:5	265:21 274:13	university 17:25	112:16 117:1
22:4 28:5,5 39:8	290:15 312:25	18:10,13	usually 72:13,13
39:16 48:17 50:18	334:5 342:5	unknown 168:3	72:17 129:13
69:15 72:1 110:22	345:25 352:1	unmarked 36:12	134:1 290:11
111:8 182:24	353:20	unsupervised	351:10
212:9,19 278:15	understanding	69:19	utilization 74:23
334:4	12:9 15:4 17:5,8,9	unusual 75:11,21	75:2 76:16 102:3
typically 148:6,9	17:14,17 54:12	76:6 185:20,24	103:14,21 104:10
291:25	59:11 82:8 109:9	344:10	104:10 115:6,11
u	113:18 215:12	unwillingly	116:8,9 117:11,15
u 207:24 208:5	216:18 218:5	185:19	117:24 118:1,5
	342:7 351:15	update 146:15	121:9 122:13
u.s. 352:15	understood 14:12	updated 84:5	154:21 169:6
ultimately 118:21	15:6 46:15 55:4	93:10	300:14,18
246:23	58:18 80:2 84:9	upper 313:8	utilized 264:23
unaware 251:8	91:5,7 104:16	usage 75:11,21	utilizing 287:25
329:15 330:1,3,5	106:3,12 119:7	76:6	v
unbeknown	125:12 278:9	use 12:6 14:7	
179:16	333:12 334:17	21:24 39:20 44:21	v 1:10,12 177:22
unbelievable	337:12	51:23 59:19 67:4	177:22 244:4
182:24	unfamiliar 115:14	72:13 80:11 81:6	292:2
uncovered 36:2	unfortunately	92:11 94:2 117:11	valid 83:17 88:4
undercover	338:15	117:19,25 118:22	95:23 97:5,10
327:11,16 328:1	unfounded 308:12	131:13 140:22	99:17
344:14	309:4	200:12 210:10	validity 116:23
undergrad 18:12	unintentional	230:19 231:17	value 28:20 62:14
underneath	232:17	238:17 240:8,9	75:24 76:8 118:19
107:18 288:12	unique 306:14	246:22,23 248:1	various 28:25
understand 12:17	333:11	253:10 260:24	115:1,4 116:1
15:2,3 21:11 34:6	unit 9:9 19:12,15	262:17 267:25	190:5 195:1 198:3
34:15 41:12 44:2	20:19,22,25 42:12	268:8 269:19	249:5,10 265:14
68:12 70:5 71:11	43:11 44:16 80:8	270:6,11,21 318:1	356:20
74:7,25 84:22,23	81:11,14 103:3	318:2 322:7	vast 317:25
90:17 100:9,23	194:14 196:12,16		vaults 69:15,15
105:10 108:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	332:14 342:11	verbal 16:6
109:12 112:4	219:7,11 267:12	useful 261:25	verification
113:2 115:17	267:16 314:9,13	262:2	125:21
117:18,23 122:18	359:11,15	user 211:16	verify 41:6 99:20
167:14 168:3	united 1:1 9:13	users 234:9	verifying 161:14
222:13 227:3,4,20	25:20,25	usual 95:25 96:6	veritext 9:18,20
236:3 255:19	units 237:20	97:24 109:6,10	362:17 366:1,7
257:25 258:4,5,19	362:16	110:1,7 111:10	369:1

[veritext.com. - washington]

veritext.com.	315:3	308:6 309:18	193:25 194:6,12
366:17	virtual 11:10	310:17 314:17,21	205:2 214:18
versus 59:25 118:8	visit 32:21 41:22	314:24 315:6	216:5 218:11
161:21 212:15	visited 60:24	316:2,4,8,10,11	219:24 221:10
307:6	visits 125:13	319:22,24 320:18	230:14 233:12
viagra 179:2	166:10 234:7	320:18 321:4,6,12	260:24 293:13
180:17	vogren 210:22,24	343:8	299:13 300:11
vial 36:11	voice 267:6 311:23	walk 49:4 77:1	310:4 311:13
vice 21:4	319:7	82:17 143:7 167:6	312:23 313:10
video 9:7,9 161:23	void 110:16	168:6 203:19	321:21 343:20,21
162:4,11 220:6	volume 167:4	264:15 307:4	345:25 356:21
228:24,25	190:11 197:15	walked 59:18 78:7	wanted 32:19
videoconference	199:13 212:16	111:19 112:2	38:17 56:18 70:5
11:10	229:16 306:23	127:1,24 138:25	75:19 107:19
videographer 4:7	volumes 36:17	170:12 192:2	114:6 129:15
9:1,19 11:3 81:9	168:7 199:8 358:5	293:8,9	141:8 153:9
81:13 103:1	voluminous 179:8	walking 139:3	155:24 156:3,6,23
194:13 196:10,14	205:7	walks 115:21	163:14 164:7
219:6,10 220:17	w	wall 83:12 293:2	210:12 275:19
221:2 229:25	w 159:25 293:21	298:9	288:25 290:3
230:3 267:10,14	wacker 3:3	walmart 3:2 10:14	310:18 326:14
314:7,11 359:10	wait 14:25 107:14	12:3,8 79:19	336:24 338:7
359:13 362:12	126:4 153:19	171:15 194:20	343:9,11 347:16
videotape 6:5	172:17 189:6	195:15 256:8	349:17
13:14,20	210:3 297:1	266:7 359:2,18	wanting 56:14
videotaped 1:18	waive 311:4	360:1,7,12,15,18	warned 196:3,4
view 80:3 134:20	362:20	360:24 361:5,12	warrant 37:1
134:21 169:24	waived 366:19	361:16,17,20,23	184:13 187:7
213:2 216:11	walgreens 3:19	walmart's 362:2	189:20 190:2
220:18,18,19	6:6 10:12 12:3,7	want 21:11 28:3	194:3,9,18 208:4
221:2,5 339:5	79:19 114:21	33:12 38:11 39:5	243:7
violate 99:22	137:22 171:10,14	42:16 43:18 44:2	warrants 179:7,9
violating 129:10	186:6 214:15	46:4 50:1 62:4	184:3,4,6
violation 58:17,23	249:11,15,23	63:20 64:14,17	warren 137:1
66:18 87:22 88:1	256:7 264:4 266:7	76:14 81:19 83:23	155:17 164:12
88:9 99:13 128:14	273:21 280:6,16	90:2,8 93:4 95:14	198:18 280:17
128:18 129:9,21	286:9 293:15,17	102:12 106:24	293:15 301:10
129:22 165:24	294:9 296:5,18	113:25 124:21	307:9 327:8
violations 31:24	299:8,9,11 301:1,9	126:25 131:18	328:12 347:21
87:20 129:10,24	302:10 303:10	132:8 168:2 176:5	washington 3:17
129:25 314:25	304:20,24 307:9	177:12 178:17	

[waste - work] Page 69

waste 254:23	weekend 292:15	232:10 242:22	withdraw 253:4
	weeks 53:11	243:6 258:21	withdrawal 175:9
wasting 247:16 water 81:7	weight 118:3	264:20 291:7	witness 2:17 10:19
watkins 197:6	weinberger 2:3	315:14,18,21,23	10:23 11:5,9,10
209:16	10:15,15 11:13	320:10 327:17	· ·
	41:10 54:6 69:5	328:15 331:9	91:13,14,15 102:11 194:11
way 17:17 34:22 35:6 40:10 43:19		344:16 346:12	
47:4 49:21 56:19	70:9,20 75:14 111:15 112:19	352:11,14	215:9,18 216:13 217:2,7 218:4
60:14,16 63:7	213:6 214:23	/	217.2,7 218.4 219:14 220:21,25
71:12 78:10 95:21		west 3:3,21 280:16 280:18 293:17	′
	215:5,8 216:3,7,23	301:10 307:9	221:4,8,12,14
108:2,17 132:7	217:16 218:7,19		223:5,16,19 224:3
140:9 145:17	218:21 219:4,13	whack 193:12	247:15,15 252:20
152:16 166:11,16	219:17,19 220:23	wheeler 160:7	254:22 310:20,25
170:15 186:2	221:6 222:22,25	whereof 365:5 whichever 140:23	313:24 324:12
193:12 203:19	223:3,10,15,18		338:16 362:8,11
212:10 220:12,15	226:14 228:16,20	whispering 9:5	363:2 364:9,13,14
222:17 229:17	229:23 230:5	white 141:25	364:17 365:5
233:20 263:23	247:19 252:6,12	wholesale 44:14	366:8,11 367:1,4
277:12 295:12	252:16 253:4	45:19 54:2	367:11 368:1,4,15
306:8 311:3	254:17,24 267:5,8	wholesaler 260:17	witnesses 216:11
315:11,12 325:9	267:17 273:13	wholesalers 47:1	witness' 366:14
342:23 345:18	317:19 318:3	53:19 54:1,9	wizard 331:5
351:12	321:16 331:20	256:12,16,17	woman 235:5
wayne 24:15 208:1	361:3,6	wickham 121:1	236:14 237:11,13
208:4,16	weinberger's	wide 66:16 332:7	won 26:3
ways 120:16	310:15	332:15 333:15	wondered 302:16
141:12,13 166:6	welcome 280:11	wife 139:21	wondering 309:24
268:12 276:13	welfare 253:12	145:14 155:5	word 37:23 122:10
346:1	went 16:22 17:25	176:20 294:4	132:5 207:4
we've 81:4 105:23	18:4 19:9,11	william 135:9	236:10 263:22
116:20 117:2	38:19 54:23 60:23	wimberly 51:6,7	287:4,17 323:10
148:22 173:10	61:17 62:15 64:7	55:24	323:16 354:18
194:6 203:17	71:13 114:22	window 134:5	wording 59:24
230:22 287:19	120:24,25 121:25	186:22 187:14	words 45:9,17
293:13 348:4	130:16 140:19	210:1 298:3 306:7	94:4 96:3 101:5
359:25	154:3,25 161:10	306:9,15,16,22	119:3 136:16
weamer 293:21	169:7 180:15,16	307:7	236:14 295:17
294:2,8 298:16	181:5 195:20	winsley 181:5	302:23
website 131:17	201:21 202:14	wise 43:6	work 18:13 20:8
week 304:8,13	203:1 207:2	wish 310:12	25:10 26:5 27:11
	217:11 227:6		30:12,12,13 42:1

[work - years] Page 70

43:14,19 91:7 world 187:1 301:25 302:1,25 299:20 300:4 304:15				
212:7 213:8 worst 182:10,10 186:12,12,12,13 186:14 205:3,3 worthy 153:25 worthy 153:25 wow 167:17 190:25 362:8 wrapping 52:8 s	43:14,19 91:7	world 187:1	301:25 302:1,25	299:20 300:4
221:11 229:17 186:12,12,12,13 186:14 205:3,3 worthy 153:25 worthy 153:25 wow 167:17 190:25 362:8 wrapping 52:8 write 50:22 51:5 62:15,19 88:3 52:13 56:3 58:6 341:22 348:8,25 337:20 339:2 329:1,17 337:17 33:21 37:9 52:6 62:15,19 88:3 52:13 56:3 58:6 341:22 348:8,25 33:16 322:18 336:18 337:9 190:21 108:4 350:22 35:2,2 35:11 336:18 337:9 190:21 108:4 350:22 35:2,2 35:11 336:18 337:9 190:20 5120:6 190:21 125:24 writes 21:19 33:17 133:20 134:11 133:2,8 131:1 133:2,5 134:1 133:2,3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 205:23 206:4,16 233:3,13 12.4;2 233:2,12 24:2 233:2,12 24:2 233:2,12 24:2 233:2,12 24:2 233:2,12 24:2 233:2,12 24:2 233:2,12 24:2 233:2,13 24:2 233:2,2 13:3 13:3,13,14,1,19,22 233:2,2 13:3 13:1,3,14,1,19,22 233:2,2 13:3 13:1,3,14,1,19,22 233:2,2 133:1,13,14,14,15,15 233:1,13,14,14,15,15 233:1,13,14,14,15,15 233:1,13,14,14,15,15 233:1,13,14,14,15,15 233:1,13,14,14			341:20,24,25	4
233:23 236:2 260:16,19 324:20 329:7 342:17 346:11 348:17,17 346:11 348:17,17 349:20 worked 18:21 20:22 22:22 23:15 27:7 42:7,8,14 56:24 59:25 60:2 61:2 63:16 80:19 90:18 93:11 119:21 125:24 131:6 139:16 144:17 147:10 144:17 147:10 144:17 147:10 144:17 147:10 144:17 147:10 152:22:8 227:16,19 235:24 236:5 221:8 227:16,19 235:24 236:5 322:15 351:11 222:8 227:16,19 235:24 236:5 322:15 351:11 323:13 13:20 321:15 13:20 322:15 351:11 323:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 13:20 33:13 1		/	X	
233:23 236:2 worthy 153:25 worthy 153:25 y			x'd 288:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
329:7 342:17		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
346:11 348:17,17 190:25 362:8 184:18 197:18 323:18 324:6,22 wrapping 52:8 yeah 16:2 19:5 329:1,17 337:17 worked 18:21 20:22 22:22 23:15 62:15,19 88:3 52:13 56:3 58:6 33:21 37:9 52:6 337:20 339:2 27:7 42:7,8,14 129:13 134:7 62:3 94:12 96:3 349:14 350:12 357:2,20 361:14 56:24 59:25 60:2 142:2 202:16 97:9 102:1 108:4 352:5,22 355:11 61:2 63:16 80:19 301:8 302:18 112:6 117:22 357:2,20 361:14 year 25:17 49:6 90:18 93:11 336:18 337:9 119:20,25 120:6 71:14 83:13 111:7 303:17 33:20 134:11 112:6 117:22 37:2,20 361:14 year 25:17 49:6 131:6 139:16 writes 21:19 121:14,21 126:22 71:14 83:13 111:7 11:25 112:9 144:17 147:10 writes 21:19 142:10 142:5 125:25 126:4 13:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 446:23 148:3,23 135:21 137:15,23 221:2 3 52:12 35:11 42:6 156:17 160:1,12 170:18 182:14 236:16 232:3 15:25 233:1	260:16,19 324:20	worthy 153:25		317:18 320:20
349:20 wrapping 52:8 yeah 16:2 19:5 329:1,17 337:17 worked 18:21 write 50:22 51:5 33:21 37:9 52:6 337:20 339:2 20:22 22:22 23:15 62:15,19 88:3 52:13 56:3 58:6 341:22 348:8,25 27:7 42:7,8,14 129:13 134:7 62:3 94:12 96:3 349:14 350:12 56:24 59:25 60:2 41:2: 202:16 97:9 102:1 108:4 352:5,22 355:11 61:2 63:16 80:19 301:8 302:18 112:6 117:22 357:2,20 361:14 90:18 93:11 336:18 337:9 119:20,25 120:6 year 25:17 49:6 119:21 125:24 writes 21:19 133:20 134:11 111:25 112:9 144:17 147:10 writing 36:25 141:10 142:5 125:25 126:4 176:13 208:15 118:3 156:6 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 213:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 146:23 148:3,23 135:21 137:15,23 322:15 351:11 412:6 156:17 157:15 158:16,19 243:9,25 145:18 135:21 177:15,17 20:1 21:4 42:3 48:14 204:10 157:15 158:16,19 243:9 251:4,5 <th< td=""><td>329:7 342:17</td><td>wow 167:17</td><td> •</td><td>321:6 322:17</td></th<>	329:7 342:17	wow 167:17	•	321:6 322:17
worked 18:21 write 50:22 51:5 33:21 37:9 52:6 337:20 339:2 20:22 22:22 23:15 62:15,19 88:3 52:13 56:3 58:6 341:22 348:8,25 27:7 42:7,8,14 129:13 134:7 62:3 94:12 96:3 349:14 350:12 56:24 59:25 60:2 142:2 202:16 97:9 102:1 108:4 352:5,22 355:11 61:2 63:16 80:19 301:8 302:18 112:6 117:22 357:2,20 361:14 90:18 93:11 336:18 337:9 119:20,25 120:6 71:14 83:13 111:7 131:6 139:16 303:17 133:20 134:11 111:25 112:9 131:6 139:16 303:17 133:20 134:11 111:25 112:9 144:17 147:10 writes 21:19 146:23 148:3,23 125:25 126:4 176:13 208:15 118:3 156:6 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 133:2,8 134:4 213:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 146:23 148:3,23 135:21 137:15,23 225:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 151:17 155:20 182:14 236:16 20:1 21:4 42:3 48:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204	346:11 348:17,17	190:25 362:8		323:18 324:6,22
20:22 22:22 23:15 62:15,19 88:3 52:13 56:3 58:6 341:22 348:8,25 27:7 42:7,8,14 129:13 134:7 62:3 94:12 96:3 349:14 350:12 56:24 59:25 60:2 142:2 202:16 97:9 102:1 108:4 352:5,22 355:11 61:2 63:16 80:19 301:8 302:18 112:6 117:22 357:2,20 361:14 90:18 93:11 336:18 337:9 119:20,25 120:6 year 25:17 49:6 119:21 125:24 writes 21:19 121:14,21 126:22 121:14,21 126:22 131:6 139:16 30:17 133:20 134:11 111:25 112:9 144:17 147:10 writing 36:25 141:10 142:5 112:5:25 126:4 176:13 208:15 118:3 156:6 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 213:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 146:23 148:3,23 135:21 137:15,23 235:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 157:15 158:16,19 137:23 151:25 working 19:18 142:6 156:17 160:1,12 170:18 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 246:19 245:23 246:11 </td <td>349:20</td> <td>wrapping 52:8</td> <td> •</td> <td>329:1,17 337:17</td>	349:20	wrapping 52:8	•	329:1,17 337:17
27:7 42:7,8,14 129:13 134:7 62:3 94:12 96:3 349:14 350:12 56:24 59:25 60:2 142:2 202:16 97:9 102:1 108:4 352:5,22 355:11 61:2 63:16 80:19 301:8 302:18 112:6 117:22 357:2,20 361:14 90:18 93:11 336:18 337:9 119:20,25 120:6 year 25:17 49:6 119:21 125:24 writes 21:19 121:14,21 126:22 71:14 83:13 11:7 131:6 139:16 303:17 133:20 134:11 111:25 112:9 144:7 147:10 writing 36:25 118:3 156:6 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 213:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 146:23 148:3,23 135:21 137:15,23 222:8 227:16,19 284:21 290:6,14 49:1,14 151:16 137:23 151:25 235:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 151:17 155:20 182:14 236:16 322:15 351:11 61:12 128:16,17 160:1,12 170:18 239:3,11 242:25 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 yearly 134:19 yearly 134:19 105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 185:17 184:7 188:17 184:7 yearly 134:19 years 18:2,2,3,23 <	worked 18:21	write 50:22 51:5		337:20 339:2
56:24 59:25 60:2 142:2 202:16 97:9 102:1 108:4 352:5,22 355:11 61:2 63:16 80:19 301:8 302:18 112:6 117:22 357:2,20 361:14 90:18 93:11 336:18 337:9 119:20,25 120:6 year 25:17 49:6 119:21 125:24 writes 21:19 121:14,21 126:22 71:14 83:13 111:7 131:6 139:16 303:17 133:20 134:11 111:25 112:9 144:7 147:10 writing 36:25 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 176:13 208:15 118:3 156:6 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 213:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 146:23 148:3,23 135:21 137:15,23 222:8 227:16,19 284:21 290:6,14 49:1,14 15:16 137:23 151:25 235:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 151:17 155:20 182:14 236:16 322:15 351:11 61:12 128:16,17 160:1,12 170:18 239:3,11 242:25 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:61 82:7 yearly 134:19 167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 <	20:22 22:22 23:15	62:15,19 88:3		341:22 348:8,25
61:2 63:16 80:19 90:18 93:11 336:18 337:9 119:21 125:24 writes 21:19 131:6 139:16 144:17 147:10 176:13 208:15 213:25 24:1 222:8 227:16,19 235:24 236:5 322:15 351:11 working 19:18 142:6 156:17 20:1 21:4 42:3 47:23 79:14 208:25 23:42 171:25 174:25 175:20 131:20 228:21,22 290:3 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 167:9,18,22 171:25 174:25 179:16 208:12 228:21 246:4,6 238:21 246:4,6 238:21 246:4,6 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 32:15 248:21 290:6 250:19 251:5 263:14 238:21 21:9 235:22 22:8 23:14 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 230:13 23:14 238:21 246:4,6 230:12 238:21 245:23 246:11 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 32:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 31:4 238:21 246:4,6 239:3 33:4 230:8 240:18 245:1 262:1 265:22 266:1 273:12 277:15 286:15,18 288:20 33:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 350:23 352:13 350:23 352:13 281:145:24 119:20,25 120:6 119:14,25 132:12 281:11,18 283:18 133:2,2,3 36:114 238:21 139:19 245:22 266:1 248:21 129:618 248:21 129:618 248:21 129:618 248:21 129:61 248:23 146:3 250:19 251:5 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 266:1 288:11,18 283:18 320:5 321:1 324:2 341:4,8 344:10 331:8 337:2,20 36:114 238:21 130:125 248:21 120:140:3 250:19 251:5 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 266:1 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 330:2 279:1,9,12 350:23 352:13 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 288:21 140:40 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:3 288:21 140:40:40 288:21 140:40:40 288:21 140:40 288:21 140:4	27:7 42:7,8,14	129:13 134:7		349:14 350:12
90:18 93:11	56:24 59:25 60:2	142:2 202:16	97:9 102:1 108:4	352:5,22 355:11
119:21 125:24 writes 21:19 121:14,21 126:22 71:14 83:13 111:7 131:6 139:16 303:17 133:20 134:11 111:25 112:9 144:17 147:10 writing 36:25 141:10 142:5 125:25 126:4 176:13 208:15 118:3 156:6 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 213:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 284:21 290:6,14 149:1,14 151:16 137:23 151:25 222:8 227:16,19 284:21 290:6,14 149:1,14 151:16 137:23 151:25 182:14 236:16 322:15 351:11 61:12 128:16,17 155:15 158:16,19 239:3,11 242:25 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 286:20 301:23 282:11 134:19 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 29:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:1,18 29:17 34:1,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23	61:2 63:16 80:19	301:8 302:18	112:6 117:22	357:2,20 361:14
131:6 139:16 303:17 133:20 134:11 111:25 112:9 144:17 147:10 writing 36:25 141:10 142:5 125:25 126:4 176:13 208:15 118:3 156:6 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 213:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 146:23 148:3,23 135:21 137:15,23 222:8 227:16,19 284:21 290:6,14 149:1,14 151:16 137:23 151:25 235:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 151:17 155:20 182:14 236:16 322:15 351:11 61:12 128:16,17 157:15 158:16,19 239:3,11 242:25 working 19:18 142:6 156:17 160:1,12 170:18 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 yearly 134:19 286:20,301:23 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 160:9,18,22 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 222:8 231:4 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:58,20 25:13,14,16 55:10 2	90:18 93:11	336:18 337:9	,	year 25:17 49:6
144:17 147:10 writing 36:25 141:10 142:5 125:25 126:4 176:13 208:15 118:3 156:6 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 213:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 146:23 148:3,23 135:21 137:15,23 222:8 227:16,19 284:21 290:6,14 149:1,14 151:16 137:23 151:25 235:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 151:17 155:20 182:14 236:16 322:15 351:11 61:12 128:16,17 157:15 158:16,19 239:3,11 242:25 working 19:18 142:6 156:17 160:1,12 170:18 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 286:20 301:23 105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 284:21 29:13 16:7:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 17:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 322:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 <	119:21 125:24	writes 21:19	121:14,21 126:22	71:14 83:13 111:7
176:13 208:15 118:3 156:6 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 213:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 284:21 290:6,14 149:1,14 151:16 137:23 151:25 235:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 151:17 155:20 182:14 236:16 322:15 351:11 61:12 128:16,17 157:15 158:16,19 239:3,11 242:25 working 19:18 142:6 156:17 160:1,12 170:18 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 yearly 134:19 105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 yearly 134:19 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 <t< td=""><td>131:6 139:16</td><td>303:17</td><td>133:20 134:11</td><td>111:25 112:9</td></t<>	131:6 139:16	303:17	133:20 134:11	111:25 112:9
176:13 208:15 118:3 156:6 143:5,8,25 145:18 133:2,8 134:4 213:25 214:1 195:10 197:1,20 284:21 290:6,14 149:1,14 151:16 137:23 151:25 235:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 151:17 155:20 182:14 236:16 322:15 351:11 61:12 128:16,17 157:15 158:16,19 239:3,11 242:25 working 19:18 142:6 156:17 160:1,12 170:18 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 yearly 134:19 105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 years 18:2,2,3,23 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 <t< td=""><td>144:17 147:10</td><td>writing 36:25</td><td>141:10 142:5</td><td>125:25 126:4</td></t<>	144:17 147:10	writing 36:25	141:10 142:5	125:25 126:4
222:8 227:16,19 284:21 290:6,14 149:1,14 151:16 137:23 151:25 235:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 151:17 155:20 182:14 236:16 322:15 351:11 61:12 128:16,17 157:15 158:16,19 239:3,11 242:25 working 19:18 142:6 156:17 160:1,12 170:18 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 yearly 134:19 105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 years 18:2,2,3,23 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 <td>176:13 208:15</td> <td>_</td> <td>143:5,8,25 145:18</td> <td>133:2,8 134:4</td>	176:13 208:15	_	143:5,8,25 145:18	133:2,8 134:4
222:8 227:16,19 284:21 290:6,14 149:1,14 151:16 137:23 151:25 235:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 151:17 155:20 182:14 236:16 322:15 351:11 61:12 128:16,17 157:15 158:16,19 239:3,11 242:25 working 19:18 142:6 156:17 160:1,12 170:18 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 yearly 134:19 105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 years 18:2,2,3,23 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 <td>213:25 214:1</td> <td>195:10 197:1,20</td> <td>146:23 148:3,23</td> <td>135:21 137:15,23</td>	213:25 214:1	195:10 197:1,20	146:23 148:3,23	135:21 137:15,23
235:24 236:5 written 28:21 40:3 151:17 155:20 182:14 236:16 322:15 351:11 61:12 128:16,17 157:15 158:16,19 239:3,11 242:25 working 19:18 142:6 156:17 160:1,12 170:18 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 yearly 134:19 105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 yearly 134:19 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 32:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote	222:8 227:16,19	· ·	149:1,14 151:16	· ·
working 19:18 142:6 156:17 160:1,12 170:18 243:9 251:4,5 20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 yearly 134:19 105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 years 18:2,2,3,23 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 132:12 145	235:24 236:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	151:17 155:20	182:14 236:16
20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 yearly 134:19 105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 yearly 134:19 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 2	322:15 351:11	61:12 128:16,17	157:15 158:16,19	239:3,11 242:25
20:1 21:4 42:3 188:14 204:10 176:12 177:15,17 286:20 301:23 47:23 79:14 208:25 234:22 178:6 182:7 yearly 134:19 105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 yearly 134:19 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 2	working 19:18	142:6 156:17	160:1,12 170:18	243:9 251:4,5
105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 years 18:2,2,3,23 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18	_	188:14 204:10	176:12 177:15,17	The state of the s
105:20 131:20 245:23 246:11 183:17 184:7 years 18:2,2,3,23 132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18	47:23 79:14	208:25 234:22	178:6 182:7	vearly 134:19
132:3 139:19 272:5,13 288:20 185:19,24 195:11 18:24 19:1,6,16,23 167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18	105:20 131:20	245:23 246:11	183:17 184:7	1 -
167:9,18,22 288:21,22 290:3 202:20 205:22,22 23:12,21 24:24 171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18	132:3 139:19	272:5,13 288:20	185:19,24 195:11	-
171:25 174:25 290:18 295:9 205:23 206:4,16 29:17 34:11,18 198:10 213:18 300:12 308:12 207:9,16 210:23 39:10 42:5,8,20 222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18	167:9,18,22	·	202:20 205:22,22	
222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18	, ,	· ·	205:23 206:4,16	· ·
222:8 231:4 332:6,21 333:14 212:1,23 214:20 45:23 46:16 47:2 238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18	198:10 213:18	300:12 308:12	207:9,16 210:23	39:10 42:5,8,20
238:21 246:4,6 wrong 61:16,20 221:4,14 227:14 49:7 50:19 52:3 250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18		332:6,21 333:14	212:1,23 214:20	
250:19 251:5 63:4 91:9 183:3 230:8 240:18 52:13,14,16 55:10 264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	221:4,14 227:14	
264:13 282:18 298:3 245:1 262:1 60:13,13,23 64:15 294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	230:8 240:18	
294:11 296:18 wrote 62:17,21,22 265:22 266:1 84:8 107:3,6 320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18			245:1 262:1	, ,
320:5 321:1 324:2 119:14,25 132:12 273:12 277:15 126:1 132:23 341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18			265:22 266:1	
341:4,8 344:10 132:12 145:24 281:11,18 283:18 133:1,3,8,14,19,22 350:23 352:13 151:5 172:2 179:6 286:15,18 288:20 133:24,25 134:1 works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18			273:12 277:15	· ·
350:23 352:13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	281:11,18 283:18	
works 112:5 179:7 184:3,7,12 289:17 297:1,9,12 138:2 147:18				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			297:16 298:2,5,19	
		, .		

[years - zuckerman.com]

Page 71

177:2,11,13,14,18 186:22 187:12 222:3,5 224:13 238:5 263:18 286:21 316:16 333:5 351:8,19 353:18 **yellow** 141:25 **yep** 58:24 151:5 156:4,19 301:14 yocum 142:18,21 york 2:11,11,15,15 younger 30:11 211:10 youngstown 17:24 18:2,10,16,17,24 20:3,6,13 22:1 23:12 34:20 42:11 43:12 121:16 158:14 178:2 340:10,23

Z

z 3:3 zero 40:4 89:17 284:3 zhou 3:3 5:12 10:13,14 338:12 358:23,25 359:2,6 359:9,16,18 362:5 362:9 zoom 90:4,5 zuckerman 3:11 3:15 10:10 zuckerman.com 3:14,18

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.